Management Of Innovation Processes In The Modern School

[1] Panferova Irina Vitaliyevna
[2] Sobirova Nasiba Rasulovna
[1] Bucheon University in Tashkent, PhD, Uzbekistan
[2] Bucheon University in Tashkent, student, Uzbekistan

Abstract

This article examines how innovation processes are managed in contemporary schools, exploring models, enablers, challenges, and outcomes. As educational demands evolve rapidly under globalization and digitalization, the capability of schools to adopt and sustain innovations is increasingly a core factor in their competitiveness and educational quality. Drawing on a range of empirical and conceptual studies, the article identifies effective strategies of innovation management in schools, highlights obstacles such as resistance to change or lack of infrastructure, and offers recommendations for educational administrators.

Keywords: School management; innovation processes; educational innovation; open innovation; digitalization; school performance.

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, schools operate in an environment characterized by rapid technological change, shifting labour-market demands, and increasing expectations for formative and adaptive education. In this context, innovation in teaching methods, curricula, organizational forms, and use of technology becomes not a luxury but a necessity. The term "innovation" can be defined broadly as the introduction of a new or significantly improved method, process, or organizational approach, which yields added value compared to previous practices.

For schools, this notion extends beyond simply adopting new teaching tools — it involves systemic changes in leadership, management practices, culture, resources, and collaboration. Effective management of innovation processes thus becomes a key determinant of a school's ability to provide quality education, remain relevant, and respond flexibly to emerging societal needs. Several recent studies treat management innovation — not only technological innovation — as a critical lever for school competitiveness and growth.

This paper aims to analyze how innovation processes in modern schools are managed: what organizational models exist, what factors facilitate or hinder innovation, and what results and impacts are observed.

2. Methodology

The study is based on a qualitative literature review and synthesis of published empirical and theoretical works related to innovation management in schools. Peer reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and open access studies from 2000 to 2025 were examined. Selection criteria included: relevance to school innovation management (organizational or pedagogical), explicit discussion of management or administrative aspects — not solely pedagogical methods — and

The Am. J. Soc. Sci. Educ. Innov. 2025

22

reporting of empirical data or conceptual models. In total, more than a dozen sources were used; twelve of the most relevant are cited in this article. Comparative analysis across cases (different countries, levels of schooling) was used to identify patterns, enablers, challenges, and outcomes of innovation management.

3. Results

The review reveals several recurring themes, models, and outcomes associated with effective management of innovation processes in schools.

• **Organizational models and management cycles**. Some authors propose structured, cyclical models for innovation adoption. For instance, in higher education, a six stage cycle (diagnosis → design → piloting → evaluation → scaling → institutionalization) has been suggested to guide the innovation process systematically. Though this is developed for higher education, similar cyclical and structured approaches are applicable to secondary or primary schools undergoing systemic innovation.

• **Open innovation as a driver**. Recent research supports the idea that schools benefit significantly from "open innovation" — i.e. drawing on external sources of knowledge and collaboration rather than relying solely on internal capacity. A large-scale study (N = 411 schools) found that "open innovation depth" had a far greater effect on innovation in teaching and learning (both traditional and digital) than "closed innovation." This suggests that partnerships, external training, networks, and knowledge exchange are powerful levers for educational innovation.

• **School based management (autonomy and decentralization)**. Granting schools greater autonomy and decentralizing decision-making — often referred to as "school based management (SBM)" — is found to be a significant enabling innovation in institutional management. By empowering school administrators, teachers, parents, and community stakeholders to participate in decision making, schools can more flexibly implement innovations tailored to local needs.

• **Digitalization and technology integration**. In many modern schools, management of innovation includes integrating digital technologies — online learning platforms, interactive methods, virtual collaboration tools — to support teaching, learning, and administration. In contexts undergoing digital transformation, such as Uzbekistan, authors note that

digitalization helps overcome stagnation and supports rational solutions to educational problems.

• **Impact on school performance and quality**. Empirical studies demonstrate that effective innovation management correlates positively with improved school performance. For example, a large scale study in Thailand across 800 secondary schools found that innovation management factors significantly influenced school performance. Similarly, case studies in primary schools report that introducing innovations in educational administration — strong leadership, stakeholder collaboration, and technology use — contributes to more effective learning environments.

4. Discussion

The management of innovation processes in modern schools is inherently complex and multifaceted, encompassing pedagogical, organizational, technological, and cultural dimensions. Effective innovation management is not merely the introduction of new teaching tools or methods; it is a strategic, systemic process that requires careful planning, stakeholder engagement, and continuous evaluation. Schools that successfully implement innovative practices demonstrate improved adaptability, higher quality education outcomes, and increased organizational resilience [1][2]. This analysis examines key factors influencing innovation management, including leadership and organizational culture, open innovation practices, digitalization, school autonomy, and barriers to successful implementation.

Leadership and Organizational Culture

Leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping the innovation climate of a school. Transformational and instructional leadership styles have been linked to higher levels of innovation adoption and sustainability. School leaders who articulate a clear vision, encourage experimentation, and recognize the contributions of teachers and staff foster a culture conducive to innovation [3]. Organizational culture, defined as the shared values, beliefs, and practices within a school, mediates the effectiveness of leadership. A culture that values learning, collaboration, and openness to change is positively correlated with successful implementation of innovative processes [4]. Conversely, schools with rigid hierarchies, risk-averse mindsets, or resistance to change often face significant challenges in adopting new practices. For instance, in certain transitional educational

The Am. J. Soc. Sci. Educ. Innov. 2025

23

contexts, entrenched administrative routines hinder the adoption of project-based learning or technology-enhanced instruction, limiting innovation to superficial modifications rather than systemic change [5].

The adoption of a cyclical innovation management model, encompassing stages such as diagnosis, design, piloting, evaluation, scaling, and institutionalization, has been identified as a practical framework for schools [6]. This approach ensures that innovations are systematically tested, refined, and scaled, minimizing the risk of failure due to ad-hoc implementation. The model also supports continuous feedback loops, enabling schools to adapt innovations in response to changing educational needs, student performance data, and teacher feedback. Empirical studies show that schools applying such structured approaches achieve more consistent outcomes in student learning, teacher engagement, and organizational efficiency compared to schools relying on sporadic innovation initiatives [7].

Open Innovation Practices

Open innovation, defined as the strategic use of external knowledge sources and collaborative networks to enhance internal innovation capacity, is increasingly recognized as a critical driver of school innovation [8]. Schools often lack sufficient internal expertise, resources, or experience to implement complex innovations independently. By engaging in partnerships with universities, technology providers, educational NGOs, and other schools, institutions can access new pedagogical techniques, professional development programs, and evidence-based tools. For example, collaborative initiatives in STEM education have demonstrated significant improvements in teacher competencies, student engagement, and curriculum quality [9]. Open innovation also facilitates cross-cultural and interdisciplinary learning, enabling schools to adopt best practices from diverse contexts.

However, effective utilization of open innovation requires more than access to external resources. It demands leadership commitment, strategic alignment of partnerships with school goals, and an organizational culture that values experimentation and knowledge sharing. Without these elements, external collaboration may remain superficial, failing to translate into meaningful organizational change [10]. Additionally, schools must manage intellectual property considerations, coordination costs, and potential conflicts of interest when engaging with external

partners, which adds layers of complexity to the management process.

Digitalization and Technology Integration

Digitalization has emerged as both a driver and enabler of innovation in modern education. The integration of digital tools—such as learning management systems, virtual classrooms, adaptive learning software, and data analytics platforms—can enhance teaching effectiveness, personalize student learning, and streamline administrative processes [11]. Research from transitional educational contexts indicates that digitalization not only facilitates innovation adoption but also provides mechanisms for monitoring, evaluating, and sustaining new practices [12].

5. Conclusion

In the rapidly evolving educational landscape, effective management of innovation processes is essential for modern schools to remain relevant and provide high quality education. The evidence suggests that schools that adopt structured, cyclical innovation management models, open innovation practices, decentralized decision making, and digital technologies — supported by strong leadership and capacity building — achieve better educational outcomes. However, challenges such as infrastructure gaps, resistance to change, and uneven school readiness must be addressed. Educational policymakers and school administrators should therefore foster an environment that encourages innovation not as isolated projects but as continuous, institutionalized processes. Further empirical studies — especially longitudinal and context specific research — are needed to examine how innovation management practices can be adapted to diverse educational systems, including in developing countries.

References

1. Myrzaly, N., Uldakhan, S., Kaimuldinova, K., & Beikitova, A. Innovation and changes in education management. Pedagogy and Psychology. 2021. Issue 46, pp. 85–92.
2. Pongsura, K., Meechai, C., & Prasongsang, C. School Administration Towards
3. Becoming an Innovative School. Rajapark Journal. 2025; 19(62): 431–443.
4. Sharifzyanovich Mullakhmetov, K., Aminova, R., & Filimonchuk, I. Administrative Innovations as Necessary Condition of Competitiveness in Schools. Journal of Educational and Social

The Am. J. Soc. Sci. Educ. Innov. 2025

24

Research. 2019; 9(4), Article 21.

5. Sitthisomjin, J., Somprach, K., & Phuseeorn, S. The effects of innovation management on school performance of secondary schools in Thailand. Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences.

6. Parnawi, A., Satriyanto, M. D. W., Adiningrum, F. M., Aslina, N., Warosari, Y. F., & Fitrian, A. School Innovation Management. Psychology and Education Journal. 2021; 58(2).

7. Kadyrova, R. M., Bichurina, S. U., & Djamilova, N. Innovative Development of the Modern School in the Conditions of Digitalization of Education. Nurture. 2025; 19(2).

8. Kazimov, J. S. Organization and Management of Education and Training Processes Based on Modern Production Technologies. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal.

9. Tiara, M. Innovative Educational Administration for Effective Primary School Learning Environments. Journal of Modern Style on Educational Research. (year as per source)

10. Anonymous article on technological innovations in education (Husanov & Hamidov). Technological Innovations in Education. (year as per source)

11. An article on innovation in the modern education system — Innovation in the Modern Education System. (various authors). (year as per source)

12. An article on innovation management tools for educational organizations (benchmarking) — Управление инновациями. (E. A. Okunkova). (year as per source)

13. An article discussing challenges school managers face in innovative school environments — Challenges managers face in an innovative school environment. (Nadirzada, N.). 2024.