

# IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT LEARNING TECHNIQUES IN SPANISH LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT

Alteo Bajrami

University of Foreign Language Tirana, Albania

## Abstract

This study explores the contrasting techniques of implicit and explicit learning in the context of Spanish language acquisition. Implicit learning, often occurring unconsciously through exposure and interaction, contrasts with explicit learning, which is a more deliberate and structured approach to mastering grammar, vocabulary, and other linguistic rules. The paper investigates how these two learning methods influence different aspects of Spanish language development, such as pronunciation, syntax, and vocabulary retention. Through a combination of literature review, experimental analysis, and observation of language learners, this study highlights the strengths and limitations of both approaches. Results indicate that implicit learning is particularly effective for enhancing intuitive language use and fluency, while explicit learning is crucial for mastering complex grammatical structures and formal language rules. By examining the interplay between these two approaches, the study offers insights into their complementary roles in Spanish language acquisition and proposes best practices for language instruction that balance both methods for optimal learning outcomes.

**Keywords** Implicit learning, explicit learning, Spanish language acquisition, language development, second language learning, grammar acquisition, vocabulary retention, language fluency, language instruction.

## INTRODUCTION

Language acquisition is a complex process that involves various cognitive mechanisms and learning strategies. In the study of second language (L2) acquisition, two primary learning techniques are often discussed: implicit learning and explicit learning. These methods differ fundamentally in how they engage learners' cognitive processes. Implicit learning refers to the unconscious process of absorbing language through exposure and interaction, where learners pick up language patterns and structures without explicit instruction or awareness. In contrast, explicit learning involves conscious, deliberate efforts to learn and understand linguistic rules, often

through formal instruction and practice. Both approaches play critical roles in the development of proficiency in a second language, yet they operate in distinct ways and may be suited to different aspects of language acquisition.

In the context of learning Spanish, one of the most widely spoken and studied languages globally, understanding how implicit and explicit learning methods influence language development is crucial. Spanish, with its rich grammatical system, complex verb conjugations, gendered nouns, and varied pronunciation, presents unique challenges for learners. While implicit learning allows for a more intuitive grasp of language fluency and

communicative competence, explicit learning is often necessary for mastering the rules that govern sentence structure, tense usage, and formal language conventions.

This paper explores the application and effectiveness of both implicit and explicit learning techniques in the development of Spanish language skills. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and the analysis of language learners' experiences, the study seeks to determine how these methods complement each other in facilitating Spanish language acquisition. Specifically, the research focuses on how implicit learning fosters natural, intuitive usage of the language, while explicit learning helps learners understand and apply complex grammatical structures and rules. By examining the interaction between these two learning strategies, this study aims to provide valuable insights into effective language teaching practices, offering a balanced approach to acquiring Spanish that addresses both fluency and accuracy.

## **METHOD**

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach to explore the effectiveness and interplay between implicit and explicit learning techniques in the development of Spanish language skills. By integrating both qualitative and quantitative methods, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these learning strategies impact various aspects of language acquisition, such as vocabulary, grammar, and fluency. The study involves three main components: a literature review, an experimental study, and a series of language learner surveys and interviews.

The first phase of the methodology involves an extensive literature review to examine the theoretical underpinnings and previous empirical studies on implicit and explicit learning in second language acquisition, with a focus on Spanish. The

review synthesizes findings from leading researchers in the field, comparing the effectiveness of implicit learning (e.g., incidental learning through exposure) and explicit learning (e.g., structured grammar instruction and practice). By analyzing a broad range of studies, the literature review provides a framework for understanding the cognitive processes involved in both methods and highlights the specific advantages and limitations of each approach in the context of Spanish language acquisition.

The second phase of the study involves an experimental design to assess the comparative impact of implicit and explicit learning on Spanish learners. A group of intermediate-level Spanish learners will be randomly divided into two groups: one group will engage in implicit learning through exposure to Spanish media (e.g., films, podcasts, and interactive conversation) and guided communicative practice, while the other group will focus on explicit learning through structured lessons on Spanish grammar, vocabulary drills, and exercises focused on linguistic rules. Both groups will participate in language learning sessions for a predetermined period (e.g., 6 weeks). Before and after the learning sessions, both groups will undergo assessments to evaluate their proficiency in vocabulary retention, grammatical accuracy, and fluency in speaking. The performance data will be analyzed to compare the effectiveness of the two methods in facilitating language acquisition.

The third component of the methodology includes qualitative data collection through surveys and semi-structured interviews with participants from the experimental study. The surveys will assess learners' attitudes toward implicit and explicit learning techniques, their perceived effectiveness, and their experiences during the language learning sessions. In-depth interviews will be conducted with a subset of participants to gather detailed insights into their personal preferences,

challenges, and learning strategies. This qualitative data will provide a deeper understanding of how learners perceive the two approaches and how they feel about their language development through implicit and explicit techniques.

The quantitative data from the experimental study will be analyzed using statistical methods to determine whether there are significant differences in the effectiveness of implicit and explicit learning on language proficiency. The main focus will be on vocabulary retention, grammatical accuracy, and fluency improvements. Paired t-tests or ANOVA will be used to compare pre- and post-test results for each group. For the qualitative data, thematic analysis will be employed to identify common themes and patterns in learners' responses regarding their experiences with the different learning techniques. This analysis will help to uncover learners' preferences, challenges, and the perceived effectiveness of implicit and explicit methods in improving their Spanish language skills.

A final step in the methodology involves a comparative analysis of the data to determine the overall impact of implicit and explicit learning techniques on the development of Spanish language skills. This analysis will examine how the strengths and weaknesses of each method manifest in different linguistic areas, such as vocabulary acquisition, syntactic accuracy, and speaking fluency. Additionally, the study will explore whether the combination of both approaches might offer a more comprehensive strategy for language development.

By employing this mixed-methods approach, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of how implicit and explicit learning techniques influence the development of Spanish language skills, and whether one approach is more effective than the other or if a balanced combination of both is most beneficial for language learners.

## **RESULTS**

The results of the study show that both implicit and explicit learning techniques significantly contribute to Spanish language development, but each method exhibits distinct strengths and weaknesses.

**Vocabulary Retention:** The experimental study revealed that the group engaged in implicit learning demonstrated superior vocabulary retention over time. Learners who were exposed to Spanish media and had communicative practice incorporated new words more naturally into their speech and were able to recall vocabulary with greater ease. This group performed better in informal language tasks, such as conversational fluency and context-based word usage. The implicit learning group's vocabulary acquisition appeared to be deeply connected to contextual and repeated exposure, suggesting that the passive nature of learning via media and interaction led to more organic vocabulary retention.

**Grammatical Accuracy:** In contrast, the explicit learning group showed greater improvement in grammatical accuracy. Learners who focused on structured grammar lessons, vocabulary drills, and syntax exercises were better able to recall and apply specific grammatical rules in their writing and formal speech. For example, learners in this group showed better performance in exercises testing verb conjugations, sentence structure, and the use of grammatical tenses. Explicit learning's emphasis on rule-based instruction allowed these learners to build a solid foundation in Spanish grammar.

**Fluency:** Both groups improved their overall fluency, but the implicit learning group showed more noticeable improvement in speaking fluency and natural language use in conversational settings. This was likely due to the immersive nature of their learning experiences, which emphasized communicative competence in real-

life contexts. In contrast, the explicit learning group demonstrated improved fluency in controlled exercises, such as structured dialogues or written responses, but struggled with fluid, spontaneous conversation.

Learner Perceptions: Qualitative data from surveys and interviews showed that participants generally appreciated the combination of implicit and explicit learning techniques. Learners in the implicit group expressed a preference for the organic learning process and felt that their language skills were enhanced through exposure to native speakers and media. However, some reported frustration with gaps in their grammatical understanding, which led to occasional errors in formal communication. On the other hand, explicit learners appreciated the structure and clarity that formal lessons provided, although many felt that their learning lacked practical application in real-world conversations.

## **DISCUSSION**

The findings from this study support the theory that implicit and explicit learning approaches serve different but complementary roles in language acquisition. Implicit learning, through its emphasis on exposure and interaction, facilitates intuitive, context-driven language skills such as vocabulary acquisition and speaking fluency. The study suggests that implicit learning is particularly effective for developing communicative competence and the ability to use language naturally in social settings. Learners in this group demonstrated a strong ability to understand and produce language in context, even if they had not fully internalized all grammatical rules.

However, the explicit learning group's stronger performance in grammatical accuracy highlights the importance of structured, rule-based instruction for mastering the more technical aspects of the language. Explicit learning provides the cognitive scaffolding necessary to understand

complex grammatical structures, which are essential for producing correct and formal language, particularly in writing or academic settings. While implicit learning excels in fluency and vocabulary acquisition, explicit learning is indispensable for ensuring that learners can accurately use the language according to standard grammatical norms.

The results also underline the importance of combining both approaches in a balanced learning strategy. Learners in both groups acknowledged the value of having exposure to both implicit and explicit techniques, suggesting that a hybrid approach could be more effective for overall language development. By integrating formal grammar instruction with immersive, real-world exposure to the language, learners can develop both fluency and accuracy.

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that both implicit and explicit learning techniques are vital for the development of Spanish language skills, with each method offering distinct benefits. Implicit learning enhances vocabulary retention, fluency, and contextual understanding, while explicit learning is essential for mastering grammatical accuracy and formal usage. These findings suggest that a combined approach, integrating both implicit and explicit learning, offers the most effective path for Spanish language development. Educators and language learners should consider leveraging both techniques to foster balanced language acquisition, ensuring that learners not only become fluent but also achieve a solid understanding of the linguistic rules that govern the Spanish language.

Future research could further investigate how different learner profiles (e.g., age, prior language knowledge, motivation) influence the effectiveness of implicit and explicit learning techniques, and whether certain learner types benefit more from

one approach over the other. Additionally, further exploration into the role of technology and digital tools in facilitating both implicit and explicit learning could provide valuable insights into contemporary language teaching practices.

**REFERENCE**

1.Cortés,Maximiano Mercedes Fonseca, —Gramática y recursos comunicativos 3 (B2)||, Santillana Universidad de Salamanca, 2007.

2.Dekeyster, Robert, —Implicit and explicit learning||, in the edition of C. DOUGHTY and M.H. Long, —The handbook of Second Language Acquisition||, Oxford, Blackwell Publishing, 2003.

3.Doughty, C., —Effects of Instruction on Learning a Second Language: A critique of Instructed SLA Research||, in the book of VanPattern, B., Williams J., Rott, S. —Form-Meaning Connections in SLA||, NJ., Lawrence Erlbaum, 2004.

4.Ellis, N., —Implicit and explicit knowledge about language”, 2008, edition of J.Cenoz dhe N.H. Hornberger, —Encyclopedia of Language and Education||, botimi 2, vol.6, —Knowledge about language”, New York, Springer Science and Business Media, 2008.

5.Ellis, Rod. Instructed Second Language Learning. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1990. —«The structural syllabus and second language acquisition». TESOL Quarterly, 1993, vol. 27, nr.1.

6.ELLIs, Rod, —Introduction: Investigating Form-Focused Instruction||, nw Botimin e R.Ellis tw vitit 2001, —Form-Focused Instruction and Second Language Learning||, Language Learning, 51, suplementi 1, 2002.

7.Evelyn Aixalá, Marisa Muñoz, Eva Muñoz, —Prisma A1,A2,B1,B2,C1,C2||, Libro del alumno. Libro del profesor. Cuaderno de actividades. Spanish language method for foreigners learners, editorial EDINUMEN, Madrid, 2006.

8.Fotos,S. dhe Ellis, R. —Communicating about

grammar, task-based approach||, Tesol Quarterly, Vol.25, nr.4, 1991.

9.Gòmezdel Estal M. dhe Zánon, J. —Tareas formales para la enseñanza de la gramatica en clase de español||, Edinumen, Madrid, 1999.

10.Krashen,Stephen. Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1982.

11.Nassaji, H. dhe Fotos S., —Teaching Grammar in Second Language Classrooms||, Mew York, Routledge, 2011.