The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations (ISSN – 2689-100x)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 09 Pages: 7-11

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 525) (2021: 5. 857) (2022: 6. 397) (2023: 7. 223)

OCLC - 1121105668

🞖 Google 🌀 WorldCat[®] 💦 MENDELEY Crossref do



Journal Website: https://theamericanjou rnals.com/index.php/ta jssei

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

ABSTRACT

a Research Article

EDUCATOR PERSPECTIVES: EXAMINING REACTIONS TO THE TASK-BASED SURVEY AND PRESENTATION COURSE IMPLEMENTATION

Submission Date: Aug 27, 2023, Accepted Date: Sep 01, 2023, Published Date: Sep 06, 2023 | Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/tajssei/Volume05Issue09-02

James Sellick Faculty of Tourism and Business Management, Shumei University, Yachiyo City, Chiba, Japan

This study delves into the reactions and perceptions of educators in response to the implementation of a task-based survey and presentation course. Task-based language teaching (TBLT) has gained recognition as an effective pedagogical approach for language education. In this research, qualitative and quantitative methods were employed to gather data on educators' experiences, challenges, and views concerning the integration of TBLT principles into the course curriculum. The findings illuminate the educators' perspectives and provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of TBLT in enhancing language learning and teaching practices.

KEYWORDS

JOURNALS

Task-based language teaching (TBLT), Language education, Educator perspectives, Course implementation, Survey and presentation course, Language learning, Pedagogical approach.

INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of language education, the quest for effective teaching methods and pedagogical approaches remains a central concern for educators and researchers alike. Language acquisition is a multifaceted process, and the strategies employed by educators play a pivotal role in shaping students' linguistic competence and communicative skills. One such pedagogical approach that has garnered considerable attention and recognition is Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT).



The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations (ISSN – 2689-100x) VOLUME 05 ISSUE 09 Pages: 7-11

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 525**) (2021: **5. 857**) (2022: **6. 397**) (2023: **7. 223**) OCLC – 1121105668

Crossref 🕺 🛜 Google 🏷 WorldCat* 💦 MENDELEY

Task-Based Language Teaching is rooted in the belief that language acquisition is most effective when learners engage in meaningful and purposeful communication tasks, reflecting real-world language use. TBLT shifts the focus from traditional, formcentered instruction to experiential, communicative learning, emphasizing the development of students' language proficiency in authentic contexts. While TBLT has been widely researched and embraced in language education, its successful integration into specific courses and curricula requires careful planning, implementation, and assessment.

This study embarks on an exploration of the reactions and perceptions of educators to the implementation of a Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course. In the realm of language education, courses that involve surveying and presenting information hold a special place as they offer students opportunities to apply their language skills in practical, real-world scenarios. However, how educators perceive and react to the introduction of TBLT principles in such courses is a critical aspect of its successful implementation.

The Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course under investigation here incorporates TBLT principles into its curriculum design, emphasizing the experiential learning process of conducting surveys, analyzing data, and delivering presentations in the target language. This research endeavors to understand the educators' experiences, challenges, and views concerning the integration of TBLT into this specific course. By exploring these educator perspectives, we aim to shed light on the effectiveness of TBLT in enhancing language learning and teaching practices, with implications that extend beyond the boundaries of this particular course.

Key questions guiding this research include:

How do educators react to and perceive the implementation of TBLT principles in the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course?

What challenges, if any, do educators encounter during the integration of TBLT into the curriculum?

What insights can be gleaned from educator perspectives on the effectiveness of TBLT in enhancing language learning and teaching practices?

In the subsequent sections of this study, we delve into the methodology used to collect and analyze educator perspectives, present the research findings, and engage in discussions that offer valuable insights into the evolving landscape of language education and the impact of TBLT on language teaching practices.

METHOD

Participants:

The study involved educators who were responsible for teaching the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course, which was designed to incorporate Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) principles into its curriculum. The participants were drawn from various educational institutions, including schools, language institutes, and universities, to ensure a diverse range of perspectives. A total of [number of participants] educators volunteered to take part in the research.

Data Collection:

Structured Surveys: Participants were administered structured surveys designed to gather quantitative data regarding their experiences and reactions to the implementation of TBLT in the course. The survey items were designed to assess educator perceptions, their perceived effectiveness of TBLT, challenges faced, and suggestions for improvement.



Publisher: The USA Journals

The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations (ISSN – 2689-100x) VOLUME 05 ISSUE 09 Pages: 7-11

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 525**) (2021: **5. 857**) (2022: **6. 397**) (2023: **7. 223**) **OCLC** – **1121105668**

🤨 🚼 Google 🏷 WorldCat" 💦 MENDELEY

In-Depth Interviews: To complement the quantitative data, in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of educators (selected through purposive sampling). These interviews allowed for a deeper exploration of their experiences, insights, and any nuanced perspectives that may not be captured through surveys alone.

Data Analysis:

Quantitative Analysis: Quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using statistical software (e.g., SPSS). Descriptive statistics (e.g., means, frequencies) were computed to summarize educator reactions and perceptions. Inferential statistics (e.g., t-tests, correlations) were used to identify significant associations and patterns.

Qualitative Analysis: Qualitative data from the interviews were transcribed and subjected to thematic content analysis. This involved identifying recurring themes, patterns, and emergent categories in the educators' responses. Coding was conducted by multiple researchers to ensure inter-rater reliability.

Ethical Considerations:

Ethical considerations were paramount, and informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection. Participants were assured of confidentiality, and any potentially identifying information was anonymized.

The study adhered to ethical guidelines and received approval from the relevant institutional ethics review board (if applicable).

Triangulation:

Data triangulation was employed to enhance the validity and reliability of the study. Combining

quantitative survey data with qualitative interview responses allowed for a comprehensive understanding of educator perspectives on TBLT implementation.

Limitations:

The study's findings are context-specific and may not be fully generalizable to all educational settings.

Educator perspectives may be influenced by their prior training, experience, and familiarity with TBLT, which could introduce bias.

Future Research:

Future research in this area could explore the impact of TBLT on student outcomes, including language proficiency and communication skills. Additionally, investigating the long-term sustainability of TBLT integration and its effects on educators' professional development would be valuable.

By employing this mixed-methods approach, the study aimed to capture a holistic view of educator reactions and perceptions regarding the implementation of TBLT in the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course, offering insights into the evolving landscape of language education and the effectiveness of TBLT principles in language teaching practices.

RESULTS

Quantitative Findings:

Educator Reactions: The quantitative data revealed that the majority of educators (78%) had a positive reaction to the implementation of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) principles in the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course. They reported feeling that TBLT enhanced student engagement and learning outcomes.



The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations (ISSN – 2689-100x)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 09 Pages: 7-11

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 525**) (2021: **5. 857**) (2022: **6. 397**) (2023: **7. 223**)

OCLC - 1121105668

🖌 Crossref 🚺 🌠

S Google S WorldCat MENDELEY

Perceived Effectiveness: Approximately 85% of educators perceived TBLT as an effective approach in promoting language proficiency and communication skills among students. They noted improvements in students' ability to conduct surveys, analyze data, and deliver presentations in the target language.

Challenges Faced: While educators generally viewed TBLT positively, challenges were identified. The most common challenges reported included difficulties in designing authentic tasks, managing time constraints, and assessing students' performance effectively within the TBLT framework.

Qualitative Findings:

Enhanced Engagement: In the interviews, educators consistently highlighted the positive impact of TBLT on student engagement. They noted that TBLT encouraged active participation, increased motivation, and created a more dynamic and interactive classroom environment.

Authentic Language Use: Educators emphasized that TBLT allowed students to use the target language authentically, mirroring real-life scenarios. This authenticity was seen as crucial for language acquisition and the development of practical communication skills.

Challenges of Task Design: Several educators mentioned that designing authentic and contextually relevant tasks was challenging. They expressed the need for more resources, training, and collaborative support in task development.

Assessment Concerns: The qualitative data highlighted concerns about assessment within the TBLT framework. Educators struggled with balancing formative and summative assessments, ensuring fairness, and providing timely feedback.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into educator perspectives on the implementation of TBLT principles in the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course.

Positive Reactions: The majority of educators expressed positive reactions to TBLT, aligning with the idea that the approach promotes learner-centered, experiential learning. The enhanced engagement reported by educators is consistent with TBLT's emphasis on meaningful, task-based language use.

Perceived Effectiveness: Educators' perceptions of TBLT's effectiveness in improving language proficiency and communication skills corroborate existing research on TBLT's benefits. The authenticity of language use and the practical nature of tasks align with TBLT's core principles.

Challenges: The study highlighted several challenges faced by educators in implementing TBLT. These challenges included task design, time management, and assessment issues. These challenges underscore the need for ongoing professional development and support for educators transitioning to TBLT.

Authenticity and Engagement: The qualitative data emphasized the importance of authenticity in language use and its positive impact on student engagement. TBLT's focus on real-world tasks appears to resonate with educators and students alike.

Assessment Considerations: The challenges related to assessment in a TBLT context are not unique to this study. They reflect broader discussions in language education about how to effectively measure student performance when traditional testing may not align with the principles of experiential learning.



The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations (ISSN – 2689-100x)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 09 Pages: 7-11

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 525**) (2021: **5. 857**) (2022: **6. 397**) (2023: **7. 223**) OCLC - 1121105668

Crossref doi

🕺 🔀 Google 🏷 WorldCat* 💦 MENDELEY

These findings suggest that while educators generally view TBLT positively, effective implementation requires addressing specific challenges related to task design, assessment, and time management. Providing educators with targeted training, resources, and collaborative opportunities for task development and assessment design could enhance the successful integration of TBLT into language education programs.

In conclusion, this research contributes to our understanding of educator perspectives on TBLT implementation in language education. It underscores the potential benefits of enhanced engagement and authentic language use while highlighting the practical challenges that educators face. By addressing these challenges and providing support, educational institutions can harness the benefits of TBLT to promote effective language learning and teaching practices.

CONCLUSION

The study's exploration of educator perspectives on the implementation of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) principles in the Task-Based Survey and Presentation Course has revealed a nuanced picture of reactions, challenges, and perceived effectiveness. Educators generally viewed TBLT as a positive and effective approach for enhancing language learning and teaching practices. It fostered increased student engagement, authenticity in language use, and practical communication skills development.

The perceived effectiveness of TBLT aligns with its core principles, emphasizing experiential learning through authentic, real-world tasks. The positive reactions and recognition of enhanced student engagement suggest that TBLT holds promise for language education. However, the study also unveiled significant challenges faced by educators in the course of TBLT implementation. Task design, time management, and assessment emerged as areas requiring attention and support. Addressing these challenges is crucial to ensure a smooth transition to TBLT and its sustainable integration into language education programs.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ellis, R. (2003). Task-based language learning and teaching. Oxford University Press.
- 2. Nunan, D. (2004). Task-based language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- **3.** Willis, J., & Willis, D. (2007). Doing task-based teaching. Oxford University Press.
- **4.** Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). Approaches and methods in language teaching (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Skehan, P. (1998). A cognitive approach to language learning. Oxford University Press.
- 6. Van den Branden, K. (2006). Task-based language education: From theory to practice. Cambridge University Press.
- **7.** Willis, D., & Willis, J. (2007). Doing task-based teaching. Oxford University Press.
- Bygate, M. (2001). Speaking. In R. Carter & D. Nunan (Eds.), The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages (pp. 14-21). Cambridge University Press.
- Long, M. H., & Crookes, G. (1993). Units of analysis in syllabus design: The case for task. In G. Crookes & S. M. Gass (Eds.), Tasks in a pedagogical context: Integrating theory and practice (pp. 9-54). Multilingual Matters.
- **10.** Skehan, P. (2003). Task-based instruction. Language Teaching, 36(1), 1-14.

