



Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

The Role Of Laboratory Biomarkers In The Diagnosis Of Early Cartilage Destruction In Reactive Arthritis

Khalmetova F.I.
Tashkent Medical Academy, Uzbekistan

Akhmedov K.S.
Tashkent Medical Academy, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

Reactive arthritis is an inflammatory lesion of the joints that occurs as a reaction to the invasion of the body by any infectious agents. The high social significance of nosologies dictates the need to search for reliable cartilage biomarkers that have diagnostic value not only in recognizing degenerative changes at an early stage of joint diseases, but also in monitoring the effectiveness of treatment.

KEYWORDS

Reactive arthritis, biomarker, oligomeric matrix protein of cartilage

INTRODUCTION

It is known that reactive arthritis (ReA) is considered as an immune inflammatory articulate pathology, which appears at the same time with intestinal or urinary infectious

process or soon after it [5]. Among rheumatic diseases ReA occupies one of the leading places according to its prevalence. Prevalence of ReA in the structure of rheumatic diseases in

various countries of the world varies from 8 to 41% [14] and in majority of cases people aged 15-40 years old suffer it [14]; that reflects the urgency of the problem.

ReA is characterized by a chronologic link with infection: arthritis develops within the convalescence period or soon after recovery (from 1 week to 1 month). When more than a month passed after recovery chronological link is considered to be lost and ReA diagnosis scarcely probable. Within an acute stage of infectious process ReA develops rarely (but in case of hematogenous infection of joints there can be infectious arthritis) [15].

On the basis of all aforesaid it can be concluded that the major agent in development of ReA is infectious one, causing immune response with production of antibodies circulating in blood and synovial liquid. High level of antibodies preserved for a long time indicates presence of infectious agent from one side and persistence of microbe antigens in tissues and synovial liquid on the other. At the same time immune response is directed both against infectious agent and own tissue cells, causing their damage. Cross reacting antibodies decrease immune response to infectious agent, by these means preventing complete elimination and promoting its persistence [1,8]. Besides that, multiple references mention significance of genetic predisposition [2,4,5,6,7]. HLA-B27 association with urogenic arthritis is observed in 80-90%, and its association with post enterocolitic one in 56%. However, the role of HLA -B27 in the development of ReA is not studied well yet. At the same ReA “sterility” is under discussion, as improvement of methods allowed isolation of certain microbe antigens and even micro organisms able to reproduce [6] from articulate tissues and synovial liquid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The most characteristic feature of ReA is inflammation of peripheral joints (asymmetric mono oligoarthritis) and periarticular soft tissue structures (enthesopathy), in other words, articulate syndrome in this pathology is dominant one. Its expression determines progression and severity of the disease. There are variants of articulate lesion such as transitory arthralgia, synovitis, erosive arthritis, arthrosoarthritis, joint ankylosis. Arthritis can start acute, be accompanied by strong pain and common manifestations (fever, shivers, feebleness). There are sub-acute variants of articulate syndrome with moderate exudative alterations in joints. Joints of lower limbs (knee, ankle, feet) are damaged in most cases. There are no “exclusion” joints for Reuter’s disease, so any joint can be involved into the pathological process. Damages of sacral-ileac joints (sacroiliitis) and vertebral joints (spondyloarthritis) are possible. A special attention should be paid to a manifestation of articulate syndrome with damage of synovial membrane of joints, its hyperplasia and fast increase of synovial tissue volume, accompanied by progressing destruction of cartilage and damage of tendon and alteration in muscles, playing a leading part in the change of articulate structures. Consequently, that kind of damage leads to stiffness in joints and, as a result, decrease in life quality of the patients.

Nowadays ReA modern laboratory diagnosis includes definition of a wide range of biomarkers (BM) such as auto antibodies, indicators of acute inflammation, cytokines, endothelial activation markers, lymphocyte subpopulations and genetic markers in blood, synovial liquid and synovial tissue [2, 3]. These provide assessment of common reaction to

infection, to certain degree reflected in the stage of articular syndrome. However, there is no possibility of accurate prognosis, and those markers do not serve as predictors of articular structures destruction in ReA. That is why, in the modern time a special attention is paid to the search of early markers of articular structure damage, revealing signs of articular tissue damage (synovial membrane, cartilage and underlying bone tissue) at the initial stage of the disease; providing assessment of the stage of damage and prognosis; serving the basis for prescription of therapy adequate to the stage of pathological process; and monitoring of the performed therapy [7].

In the modern time there is work on BM which allow to quantify joint remodeling and progress of the disease. Molecules and molecular fragments, present in cartilage, bone and synovial membrane, as a rule, are very important. These can be specific for one type of articular tissue or be common for all. Lots of studied BM are linked with collagen metabolism in cartilage or bone, or aggrecan metabolism in cartilage. Other BM are linked with non-collagen proteins, inflammation, and fibrosis. According to the stage of disease BM in ReA can be classified to biomarkers used in tests, prognostic, intervention efficiency markers, diagnostic and safety markers [8].

Among BM a special interest is paid to the study of modern cartilage BM, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) in blood serum, as, in our opinion, this protein is the most perspective from the point of view of its diagnostic value as a BM of early cartilage destruction in rheumatic diseases, and particularly ReA. So, due to its great diagnostic value for patients with ReA and OA, there were a lot of researches dedicated not only to the study of its specificity and sensitivity, but also

dependence of its concentration on the activity and stage of disease. Nowadays, direct correlation between disease activity according to DAS 28 in patients with ReA and COMP is proven [10]. The most part of published works confirm that serum COMP provides important information about metabolic alterations occurring in cartilage matrix in case of articular diseases. These studies showed that, serum COMP correlates with cartilage degradation and serves to be a potential prognostic marker in inflammatory articular pathologies, such as OA and ReA. Results also demonstrate a link between elevated serum COMP and progressing joint cartilage destruction, observed using Radiologic method [7, 11].

COMP was first detected in cartilage tissue by Professor Dick Heinegård's research team in Lund University, Sweden, where they described it as a pentamer protein. According to these data [17] that protein consists of five similar subunits linked by disulfide bonds with common molecular mass equal to 434 kDa. However, recently it was determined that, COMP is found in tendon tissues and synovial membranes too. COMP was not found in culture of connective tissue of skin and pulmonary tissue cells. It is well known, that during disease protein fragments formed in case of articular cartilage destruction go to joint fluid. Some of these proteins, such as COMP, later appear in blood and can be used for the monitoring of cartilage destruction in inflammatory joint diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. Quantitative correlation between COMP concentration in blood serum and cartilage degradation stage, determined on the basis of changes on x-Ray as a surrogate clinical final point was defined. Later it was confirmed by an

experiment with induced arthritis in animal models, where serum COMP had a great correlation with the severity of arthritis and clinical assessment of cartilage damage and histological signs of cartilage erosion. High serum COMP considered together with other laboratory and clinical data is useful for the assessment of the risk of aggressive tissue destruction in case of pathologies such as rheumatoid arthritis. Indications for blood COMP definition are the following: monitoring of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis therapy; differential diagnosis of inflammatory articulate diseases. That protein is also a sensitive marker of age-related changed. Its amount in cartilage tissue increases with age. That is why interest in it is growing among scientists, as COMP function are not clarified completely yet. It is supposed to serve as a linking unit with proteoglycans. Taking into account that at the places where collagen fibrils are formed we can find chondrocalcin, there is probability that its function is linking collagen fibril to each other. According to reference data [12], COMP binds collagen I, II and IX types, playing an important role in the maintenance of the properties and integrity of collagen network. Thus, COMP molecules connect collagen fibers to each other, by these means stabilizing collagen network in cartilage tissue. COMP is involved in the development of cartilage, bone metaphysis; its dysfunction leads to development of OA [16]. Therefore, in cases accompanied by cartilage damage matrix proteins go to synovial liquid and then to blood [12]. Moreover, COMP functions, as a member of thrombospondin family, are not studied. It is contained in the growth plates proliferation area. So in the study of growth zone using immune cytological chemical methods with polyclonal antibodies it was registered, that the greatest COMP activity was determined in

the zones of growing cartilage proliferation in cellular local matrix, while the least one was registered in pericellular and interlocal matrix. Low concentration of the protein is found in resting and hypertrophic chondrocytes. On the basis of these data we can suppose, that COMP can be considered as a marker of normal differentiation of proliferating chondrocytes. In joint cartilage that protein is contained in small amounts. It is proven, that rise of serum COMP can be a biochemical marker of osteoarthritis complicated by synovitis [17].

Literature data confirm [17], that serum COMP correlates with presence of OA, age, stage of disease, gender, and the number of involved joints. COMP causes pseudoachondroplasia (PSACH) and multiple epiphysis dysplasia (MED). According to the latest data [18], COMP gene mutations can determine development of joint hyper mobility. That condition is one of early OA risk factors. COMP gene mutations, besides various chondrodysplasia, cause myopathy and pathologies of ligament apparatus in mice. The most severe phenotypes of PSACH are conditioned not only by COMP gene mutation, but also COL9A3 gene defect, leading to more unfavorable clinical progression of the disease; there is also association with the gender. Among the studied patients with PSACH 81% had COL9A3 and COMP gene mutations, 61% of them combined, and 30% only in COMP gene [16]. Thus, alterations in COMP structure affect condition of human ligamentous apparatus as a whole.

Studies showed, that definition of high COMP concentration is more sensitive diagnostic method in cases of cartilage destruction, than changes on x-Ray images. Excretion of COMP to blood correlates with cartilage tissue exchange. It is probably because COMP

molecule plays a central role in cartilage tissue stability, and, consequently, it goes to blood before morphologically expressed cartilage destruction [7, 11].

Rise of COMP can be observed not only in case of pathology. For example, marathon runners have rise of COMP concentration in blood at increased loads. In spite of the actions taken in the field of biochemical markers definition, now there are no markers and their combinations, which indicate reliably strong and consequent correlations with corresponding clinical and structural parameters of OA and ReA, to justify biochemically their wide application in studies and clinical practice [13].

CONCLUSION

An arsenal of clinical strategies for diagnosis of articulate lesions is wide enough, but not all of these methods are equally descriptive. So, radiological research methods are visual only at REA late stages; radioisotopic ones give a notion of location of areas with intensive blood flow, but they are not specific. Implementation into clinical practice of magnetic resonance imaging and ultra sound extended capabilities of nosology diagnosis [19]. However, for many years in the literature there is discussion of the character of echographic structure of joint cartilage, interrelations of stages, activity of the process, and alterations in intra articulate tissues in REA. At the early stages it is involved into immune pathological process under the influence of high concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines on chondrocytes, promoting enzymatic resorption of the matrix. As a result cartilage counter is not more even; it becomes dentate. There is formation of destructive loci in the areas between synovial membrane and hyaline cartilage, covering joint

surfaces, and in the area of cartilage and tendon junction [20]. That is why diagnosis of early ReA is based on complex analysis of clinical (MRI or arthroscopic) and laboratory data, while traditional x-Ray imaging of a joint is not applicable for that purpose. Several studied ReA biomarkers possess a proven potential to intensify diagnostic capabilities in the perspective, but for now they still serve to be a subject of scientific studies. Consequently, on the basis of all the aforesaid, it becomes evident, that suppression of inflammation and prevention of articulate structures destruction together with decrease of pro-inflammatory cytokines, prostaglandins, synovial membranes enzymes, proteolytic enzymes synthesis, is one of priority direction in OA therapy. That is why, the study of the role of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein in the mechanisms of joint cartilage degradation, and its correction are of both scientific and practical interest.

REFERENCES

1. Starodubtseva I.A., Vasilyeva L.V. Comparative analysis of cartilage oligomere matrix protein in blood serum of the patients with skeletal system diseases // Clinical laboratory diagnosis. 2016. Vol. 61. № 2. p. 83-86. (in Russian)
2. Carrasco R., Barton A. Biomarkers of outcome in rheumatoid arthritis // Rheumatology Reports.-2010.-Vol. 2 (1). P. 26-38.
3. Mease P.J. The potential roles for novel biomarkers in rheumatoid arthritis assessment // Clin. Exp. Rheumatol. - 2011. - Vol. 29. - P. 567-574.
4. Kunder Y.V. Reactive arthritis // Medical news. 2015. №11. p. 8-13. (in Russian)

5. Khripunova I.G., Jurbina N.V. Reactive arthritis // Guidelines. Stavropol , 2013, pp 3-5. (in Russian)
6. Alekseyeva Y.I., Jolobova Y.S. Reactive arthritis in children Problems of modern pediatrics, 2013, Vol.2, №1, p. 51-56. (in Russian)
7. Gniloribov A.M. The role of cartilage oligomere matrix protein in the diagnosis of joint damages // Ukr. Rheum. Jour. 2008. 17 (3). p. 8-11. (in Russian)
8. Lotz M., Martel-Pelletier J., Christiansen C., BReAndi M.L., Bruyere O., Chapurlat R. et al. Value of biomarkers in osteoarthritis: current status and perspectives. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2013; 72 (11): 1756-1763.
9. Starodubtseva I.A., Vasilyeva L.V. Secondary osteoarthritis in rheumatic arthritis // Clinician, 2015, №1, p 24-29. (in Russian)
10. Marti C., Neidhart M. Cartilage Oligomerix Matrix Protein (COMP): Die Rolle eines nichtkollagenen Knorpel-Matrix-Proteins als Marker der Krankheitsaktivität und Gelenkzerstörung bei Patienten mit rheumatoider Arthritis und Arthrose. Z Rheum. 2016; 58: 79-87.
11. Tseng S., Reddi A.H., Di Cesare P.E. Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP): a biomarker of arthritis. Biomark. Insights. 2015; 17 (4): 33-44.
12. Novikov a.A., Aleksandrova Y.N. Role of cytokines in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis // scientific-practical rheumatology. 2012. №2, p. 71–82. (in Russian)
13. Gaponova T.V., Lila A.M. Study of cytokine status in patients with reactive arthritis // Medical Immunology. 2018, Vol. 10, № 2-3, pp. 167-172. (in Russian)
14. Belgov A.U. Reactive arthritis; diagnosis and therapy // Treatment. 2013. №2. p. 45-52. (in Russian)
15. Juravleva M.O. assessment of efficacy and tolerance of various courses of azitromycin (sumamed) administration in urogenic reactive arthritis // Archive of internal medicine. Special edition. 2014, p.31-35. (in Russian)
16. Turin V.A. Clinical characteristics and molecular genetic aspects of osteoarthritis in patients with dysplasia of connective tissue // AbstReAct of dict. Thesis. Moscow. 2015. (in Russian)
17. Akmayev I.G. Histology guideline. Vol. 1 St. Petersburg, 2014. p 250-251. (in Russian)
18. Chen, Y. Age at onset of rheumatoid arthritis: association with polymorphisms in the vascular endothelial growth factor A(VEGFA) gene and an intergenic locus between matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 1 and 3 genes / Y. Chen, D.L. Matthey // Clin. Exp. Rheumatol. - 2015. - Vol. 30, № 6. - P. 894-898.
19. Nasonov Y.L. Implementation of high medical technologies in rheumatology; problems and solutions // Scientific practical rheumatology. 2008, №2, p.4-5. (in Russian)
20. Ivanova O.N., Sobolev U.A., Pyadova Y.A. Comparative analysis of arthrosonographic and radiological alterations in joints in rheumatic diseases // Scientific pReActual rheumatology. 2004, №4, p11. (in Russian)