



 Research Article

## PRESCRIPTION PERILS: SAFEGUARDING PATIENT WELFARE THROUGH ENHANCED ELECTRONIC PRESCRIBING PROTOCOLS IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE

Journal Website:

<https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajmspr>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

**Submission Date:** December 23, 2023, **Accepted Date:** December 28, 2023,

**Published Date:** January 02, 2024

**Crossref doi:** <https://doi.org/10.37547/TAJMSPR/Volume06Issue01-02>

**Rima Iskandar**

General Pharmacy and Apothecary Research Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, Bhakti Kencana University, Indonesia

### ABSTRACT

As electronic prescribing becomes ubiquitous in primary health care settings, the imperative to ensure patient safety is paramount. This paper delves into the potential hazards associated with electronic prescribing systems and proposes enhanced protocols to mitigate medication errors. The discussion encompasses the intricate landscape of electronic prescription processes, identifying vulnerabilities that may compromise patient welfare. Through an analysis of current practices, this paper outlines proactive measures and improved guidelines aimed at fortifying the electronic prescribing framework. By emphasizing the importance of a comprehensive approach to medication safety, this research contributes to the ongoing dialogue surrounding electronic prescribing in primary health care.

### KEYWORDS

Electronic Prescribing, Medication Errors, Patient Safety, Primary Health Care, Enhanced Protocols, Prescription Hazards, Medication Safety Guidelines, Healthcare Technology, Risk Mitigation, Prescription Perils.

### INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of primary health care, the integration of electronic prescribing systems has ushered in unprecedented efficiency and accuracy in medication management. While this technological advancement promises numerous benefits, it also brings forth a set of challenges that necessitate careful

consideration. Chief among these challenges is the potential for medication errors, a concern that looms large in the context of patient safety.

This paper, titled "Prescription Perils: Safeguarding Patient Welfare through Enhanced Electronic Prescribing Protocols in Primary Health Care," addresses the critical need to scrutinize and fortify electronic prescribing processes. As healthcare providers increasingly rely on digital platforms to generate and transmit prescriptions, the intricate interplay between technology and patient well-being requires a nuanced understanding.

Our exploration begins by delineating the current landscape of electronic prescribing in primary health care, shedding light on its evolution, adoption, and widespread implementation. Within this framework, we identify potential pitfalls and vulnerabilities that may compromise the safety of patients. By analyzing reported cases of medication errors and adverse outcomes associated with electronic prescribing, we aim to underscore the urgency of proactive measures.

This paper further endeavors to propose enhanced protocols and guidelines designed to mitigate the risks inherent in electronic prescribing. Through a comprehensive examination of existing practices, coupled with insights from healthcare professionals and technology experts, we advocate for a multifaceted approach to safeguard patient welfare. The enhanced protocols outlined herein aim not only to rectify existing shortcomings but also to establish a foundation for continuous improvement in electronic prescribing systems.

As we embark on this exploration of "Prescription Perils," our overarching goal is to contribute to the ongoing discourse on patient safety in the digital age of healthcare. By fostering a deeper understanding of the challenges and opportunities presented by electronic prescribing, we endeavor to empower healthcare providers with the knowledge and tools necessary to navigate this terrain with vigilance and

precision, ultimately ensuring the well-being of the patients entrusted to their care.

## METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively investigate the challenges associated with electronic prescribing in primary health care and to propose enhanced protocols for safeguarding patient welfare. The methodology encompasses both quantitative and qualitative analyses, aiming to provide a holistic understanding of the current landscape and identify areas for improvement.

### Quantitative Analysis:

To assess the prevalence and nature of medication errors related to electronic prescribing, a systematic review of existing literature was conducted. Electronic databases such as PubMed, MEDLINE, and relevant medical journals were searched for studies, reports, and case analyses published in the last decade. Keywords including "electronic prescribing," "medication errors," and "patient safety" were used to identify relevant articles.

Data extraction focused on reported incidents of medication errors, their types, severity, and contributing factors. Quantitative data were then subjected to statistical analysis to derive patterns, trends, and statistical significance, providing a quantitative foundation for understanding the scope and impact of electronic prescribing-related medication errors.

### Qualitative Analysis:

To gain insights into the underlying causes and contextual nuances of electronic prescribing challenges, qualitative methods were employed. Interviews and focus group discussions were

conducted with healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and information technology specialists. The qualitative phase aimed to capture the diverse perspectives of stakeholders involved in the electronic prescribing process.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes and patterns within the qualitative data. This process involved coding and categorizing responses to derive key insights into the challenges faced by healthcare providers in the electronic prescribing environment. These qualitative findings complemented the quantitative data, enriching our understanding of the intricacies of electronic prescribing practices.

#### Protocol Development:

Building upon the insights gained from the quantitative and qualitative analyses, a set of enhanced electronic prescribing protocols was developed. These protocols were informed by best practices, expert opinions, and evidence-based recommendations. Iterative feedback sessions with healthcare professionals and technology experts were conducted to refine and validate the proposed protocols, ensuring their practicality and effectiveness in real-world primary health care settings.

The combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses, along with the collaborative development of enhanced protocols, forms a robust methodological framework for addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by electronic prescribing and, ultimately, for safeguarding patient welfare in primary health care.

## RESULTS

The quantitative analysis revealed a concerning prevalence of medication errors associated with electronic prescribing in primary health care. Incidents

ranged from data entry errors to issues related to system interoperability. The types of errors included dosage discrepancies, drug interactions, and instances of incorrect patient information. Statistical analysis highlighted patterns in error occurrence, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions.

Qualitative exploration illuminated the human and systemic factors contributing to electronic prescribing challenges. Healthcare professionals expressed concerns about workflow disruptions, inadequate training, and the need for clearer communication within interdisciplinary teams. Technology specialists highlighted issues related to system usability and the importance of aligning technological solutions with the clinical workflow.

## DISCUSSION

The juxtaposition of quantitative and qualitative findings underscored the multifaceted nature of electronic prescribing challenges. Systemic issues, such as the lack of standardized protocols and insufficient interoperability between electronic systems, intersected with human factors, including the need for enhanced training and communication strategies. The discussion section delved into these intersections, exploring how both technological enhancements and targeted interventions in healthcare practices could collectively address the identified challenges.

Moreover, the discussion section addressed the proposed enhanced electronic prescribing protocols. Insights from healthcare professionals and technology experts were integrated into the development process, ensuring that the protocols were both evidence-based and practical for implementation. The discussion explored how these protocols could serve as a foundation for systemic improvements, fostering

a culture of patient safety within electronic prescribing processes.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, "Prescription Perils" has shed light on the challenges posed by electronic prescribing in primary health care and has proposed tangible solutions to safeguard patient welfare. The research has provided a comprehensive understanding of the landscape, emphasizing the imperative for a holistic approach that addresses both technological and human elements. The enhanced electronic prescribing protocols put forth in this study offer a roadmap for mitigating medication errors, enhancing communication, and ultimately fortifying the patient safety framework in primary health care settings.

As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve with technological advancements, it is crucial to remain vigilant and proactive in addressing emerging challenges. "Prescription Perils" contributes to this ongoing dialogue, advocating for a collaborative and adaptive approach that prioritizes patient safety in the digital age. The research serves as a catalyst for further discussions, policy considerations, and practical implementations to ensure that electronic prescribing becomes a cornerstone of efficient, accurate, and, above all, safe healthcare practices.

## REFERENCES

1. Agalu ,Y. Ayele, W. Woldie, "Medication administration errors in an intensive care unit in Ethiopia", *Int. Arch. Med.*, vol.5, pp. 15, 2012
2. Timbongol, "Identification of medication errors in the prescribing step in the Internal Medicine Unit RSUD Bitung", *Pharmacon*, 2016.
3. H. Hartati, N.H. Lolok, A. Fudholi, S. Satibi, "Analysis of medication errors in patients admitted to ICU", *Farm. J. Manag. Pharm. Pract.*, vol. 4, pp.125–132, 2014.
4. P. Kartidjo, R. Puspawati, T. Sutarna, N. Purnamasari, "Evaluation of drug use in degenerative disease in outpatient polyclinic specialist dr. Hasan Sadikin hospital Bandung", *J. Ilm. Farm.*, vol. 2, 2014.
5. D.A. Perwitasari, J. Abror, I. Wahyuningsih, "Medication errors in outpatients of a government hospital in Yogyakarta Indonesia", *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. Rev.*, vol 1, pp. 8–10, 2010.
6. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, E-prescribing. [Online]. Available from <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/E-Health/Eprescribing/index.html>. [Accessed: Jan 8, 2018].
7. Asyary, H. Kusnanto, A. Fuad, "The electronic prescribing system on patient medication", *Kesmas Natl. Public Health J*, vol. 8, pp. 119–124, 2013.
8. O.K. Odukoya, J.A. Stone, M.A. Chui, "E-prescribing errors in community pharmacies: Exploring consequences and contributing factors", *Int. J. Med. Inf.*, vol. 83, pp. 427–437, 2014, Available from
9. MSWI, Dwiprahasto, "The role of electronic prescriptions in improving medication safety in the prescribing", *J. Manaj. Pelayanan Kesehatan*, vol. 17, 2014.
10. K.C. Nanji, J.M. Rothschild, C. Salzberg, C.A. Keohane, K. Zigmont, J. Devita, T.K. Gandhi, A.K. Dalal, D.W. Bates, E.G. Poon, "Errors associated with outpatient computerized prescribing systems", *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.*, vol. 18, pp. 767–773, 2011,
11. I. Risdiana, E. Kristin, "Identification of medication error indicators at PKU



Muhammadiyah Hospital in Yogyakarta”,  
Universitas Gadjah Mada,2008.

12. V. Fano, F. Chini, P. Pezzotti, K. Bontempi, “Estimating the prevalence and the determinants of polypharmacy using data from a health administrative database: a comparison of results obtained employing differential algorithms”, *Adv. Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.*, vol. 3, 2014,

