

The Influence of Digital Financial Services on Consumer Behavior and Welfare in Developing Economies

Abdulaziz Pulatjonov

Westminster International University in Tashkent, MSc Applied Economics student, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Received: 24 July 2026 | Received Revised Version: 18 August 2026 | Accepted: 06 September 2026 | Published: 30 September 2026

Volume 08 Issue 09 2026 | Crossref DOI: 10.37547/tajmei/Volume08Issue09-05

Abstract

Digital financial services (DFS) have changed how consumers in developing economies manage income, expenditure, savings, payments, and financial risk. This paper reviews the theoretical and empirical literature on the influence of DFS on consumer behavior and welfare through utility maximization, income and substitution effects, and risk management. Evidence from mobile money and digital finance studies shows that lower transaction costs, easier access to transfers and savings, and improved consumption smoothing can increase welfare, especially for financially excluded households. However, the benefits remain uneven because of differences in digital literacy, infrastructure, affordability, regulation, fraud exposure, and data protection. The review also identifies important limitations in the existing evidence, including the concentration of studies in East Africa and the limited availability of long-term comparative data. The paper argues that inclusive digital infrastructure, consumer protection, financial literacy, and effective regulation are necessary for digital finance to support broad-based welfare gains.

Keywords: Digital financial services, consumer behavior, consumer welfare, financial inclusion, mobile money, developing economies.

© 2026 : Abdulaziz Pulatjonov. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and allow others to share, adapt, or redistribute the work with proper attribution.

Cite This Article: Abdulaziz Pulatjonov. (2026). The Influence of Digital Financial Services on Consumer Behavior and Welfare in Developing Economies. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 8(09), 84–90. <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume08Issue09-05>

1. Introduction

In the contemporary world, digital developments have reshaped how modern finance works. The introduction of digital financial services (DFS), including mobile money and digital banking, has enabled more individuals to manage income, expenditure, payments, and savings through formal financial channels. According to the World Bank Global Findex, account ownership in developing economies increased from about 42% of adults in 2011 to about 71% in 2021 (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). As a result, the financial landscape is not the only area affected. Consumer behavior, access to financial services, utility, and the structure of consumer

preferences have also changed. By reducing transaction frictions and making financial tools accessible to a wider audience, DFS can affect the way consumers allocate limited resources and maximize welfare.

Classical consumer theory provides a useful framework for understanding these effects by modelling individuals as agents who maximize utility subject to a budget constraint. In standard theory, consumers choose bundles $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ to maximize utility $U(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, given income m and prices p_i , subject to $\sum p_i x_i = m$ (Varian, 2014). DFS can affect both sides of this relationship: they can reduce transaction costs and change the relative convenience, accessibility, and

perceived usefulness of different financial and consumption choices. Extending the standard framework to account for these technological effects therefore helps explain the influence of DFS on consumer welfare.

The purpose of this paper is to review how digital financial services affect consumer behavior and welfare in developing economies. The discussion focuses on utility maximization, income and substitution effects, uncertainty and risk management, empirical evidence from mobile-money markets, and the policy conditions required for inclusive welfare gains.

2. Methods

This paper uses a narrative literature review approach. It synthesizes standard microeconomic theory with empirical research on digital financial services in developing economies. The reviewed material includes peer-reviewed journal articles, books, working papers, and reports from institutions such as the World Bank and GSMA. Sources were selected for their relevance to consumer choice, financial inclusion, transaction costs, savings, consumption smoothing, poverty, and risk management. Particular attention is given to evidence from Kenya, Ghana, and other developing economies where mobile money adoption has been widely studied. The paper does not estimate a new econometric model or use a new primary dataset; instead, it critically compares findings, methods, and limitations across the existing literature.

3. Results

3.1 Consumer Preferences and Utility

Through DFS, formal credit, transfer, and savings instruments can become more accessible while monetary and non-monetary transaction costs may fall. In microeconomic terms, lower transaction costs can increase the effective resources available to a consumer. This can be represented in simplified form as:

$$m' = m + T$$

where T represents resources saved through lower transaction costs and time costs, m represents the initial effective budget, and m' represents the expanded effective budget. When these savings are meaningful, consumers may reach higher attainable utility without a corresponding increase in nominal income. DFS can therefore contribute to more efficient income use and greater flexibility in consumption and saving decisions.

The largest welfare effects are often expected where access to formal financial services was previously limited. Evidence from mobile-money systems shows that digital channels can expand access to transfers, savings, and other financial services. Research in urban Ghana, for example, documents the financial-inclusion potential of mobile money while also showing that adoption depends on social and behavioral factors (Dzokoto & Appiah, 2014). Broader cross-country evidence likewise shows substantial growth in digital payments and account ownership in developing economies (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). These developments can reduce liquidity constraints and improve intertemporal consumption planning for some households.

However, DFS is not universally welfare-improving. Digital exclusion, low financial and digital literacy, limited access to smartphones or reliable internet, fraud, and weak data protection can reduce or reverse potential benefits. The Global Findex continues to document important gaps in account ownership and use by income, gender, and other characteristics (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). These barriers mean that the welfare gains predicted by microeconomic models depend on access, affordability, trust, and the ability to use digital services safely.

Overall, DFS can improve welfare by modifying both consumer preferences and effective budget constraints, but outcomes depend on the institutional and technological environment. Empirical research from Sub-Saharan Africa and other developing regions suggests that digital finance can support consumption stability and risk management, while digital literacy, affordability, consumer protection, and infrastructure remain decisive for inclusive outcomes.

3.2 Utility Maximization and Consumer Choice

How can the highest level of satisfaction be achieved with limited income? This is the foundational question of consumer choice theory, in which utility maximization describes how consumers select among feasible combinations of goods and services. Standard microeconomic theory assumes that consumers choose combinations based on prices, income, and preferences in a way that is consistent with utility maximization (Samuelson, 1948; Varian, 2014). DFS extends the practical environment in which these choices are made by reducing transaction frictions and enabling access to additional financial mechanisms such as digital savings

and transfers. Technological access can therefore affect the attainable utility frontier in a digital economy.

Empirical studies show that digital finance can reduce frictions in household decision-making. In Kenya, M-Pesa enabled households to receive transfers more quickly and at lower cost, helping them respond to economic shocks and smooth consumption (Jack & Suri, 2014). By reducing time, distance, and transfer costs, digital transactions can allow consumers to reallocate limited resources more efficiently. In this sense, financial technology can make some of the assumptions of utility-maximizing choice more practically attainable.

Behavioral research also shows that consumers do not always behave as perfectly rational optimizers. Karlan et al. (2016) found that reminder messages can increase progress toward savings goals by bringing planned expenditures and saving commitments back to consumers' attention. Choice architecture, reminders, and automatic features can therefore influence decisions in ways that are consistent with longer-term welfare goals (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). DFS affects not only access to financial instruments but also the environment in which financial decisions are made.

At the same time, the ability to benefit from digital choice remains uneven across income and education groups. Consumers with limited digital literacy may face greater exposure to fraud, unsuitable borrowing, or poor privacy decisions. Behavioral biases can also reduce the quality of financial choices when products are complex or poorly understood (Kahneman, 2011). Consumer education, robust digital protection, and inclusive design are therefore important for translating wider digital choice into higher welfare.

Overall, DFS can expand consumer choice and reduce transaction costs, but behavioral limitations and digital inequalities prevent uniform welfare outcomes. Microeconomic analysis is useful for understanding how digital finance affects effective income, substitution, saving, and spending behavior.

3.3 Income and Substitution Effects of Digital Financial Services

When income or relative prices change, consumers re-optimize spending and consumption in response to income and substitution effects. Standard microeconomic theory predicts that a fall in the effective price of a service can increase real purchasing power and make lower-cost alternatives more attractive (Nicholson

& Snyder, 2017). By lowering transaction costs and making payments faster, DFS can increase effective disposable resources and alter the opportunity cost of cash-based transactions.

An implicit income gain can arise when DFS reduces transaction, travel, and intermediary costs. Evidence from Kenya shows that the long-run expansion of M-Pesa contributed to poverty reduction and changes in household economic activity, with particularly important effects for female-headed households (Suri & Jack, 2016). These gains are consistent with a positive income effect: fewer resources are spent on costly financial transactions, leaving more resources available for consumption, saving, or investment.

DFS can also produce substitution effects by replacing informal, higher-risk, or higher-cost mechanisms. Mbiti and Weil (2016) found that greater use of M-Pesa in Kenya reduced reliance on some informal savings mechanisms while increasing the probability of being banked. Consumers may therefore substitute from informal transfer and savings channels toward formal digital alternatives when the latter are more convenient, secure, or accessible.

These effects vary across demographic and institutional contexts. Aker and Wilson (2013), studying northern Ghana, examined whether mobile money could be used to promote savings and showed that the effectiveness of digital financial tools depends on local adoption conditions and user behavior. For marginalized groups, DFS may increase financial agency, while limited literacy or weak consumer protection can reduce potential benefits. The overall influence of DFS is therefore heterogeneous rather than uniform.

In summary, lower transaction costs and wider financial access can affect consumption, savings, and investment through both income and substitution channels. These mechanisms help explain how DFS can reshape consumer demand and welfare in developing economies.

3.4 Uncertainty and Risk Management in the Context of DFS

When consumers face uncertainty in income or consumption outcomes, expected utility and risk preferences influence their choices. Risk-averse households generally value stable consumption streams and may save or insure against future shocks (Deaton, 1992; Varian, 2014). DFS can support this process by making transfers, savings, and credit more accessible

during emergencies, thereby expanding the set of mechanisms available for managing risk.

Evidence from Kenya shows that M-Pesa helped households share risk and smooth consumption when faced with negative shocks. Jack and Suri (2014) found that non-users experienced larger reductions in consumption after adverse shocks, while M-Pesa users were better able to receive support through their social networks. Fast, relatively low-cost transfers can therefore operate as an informal insurance mechanism where formal insurance markets are limited.

Access to formal savings and other financial tools can also support precautionary behavior. Models of precautionary saving show that income uncertainty can increase the value of liquid buffers and influence consumption decisions (Carroll & Kimball, 1996). Digital accounts can make it easier for previously unbanked consumers to hold, transfer, and access funds, although the strength of this effect depends on regular use and the design of the service (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

DFS also introduces new vulnerabilities. Digital fraud, data misuse, weak consumer protection, and poorly regulated digital credit can create additional risks for low-income or low-literacy consumers. Ozili (2020) emphasizes that digital finance for poor households can expose users to risks that are not always matched by immediate socioeconomic benefits. These digital uncertainties need to be addressed through regulation, data protection, and consumer education.

Overall, consumption stability and access to savings and transfers are among the main risk-management benefits of DFS. At the same time, these benefits are sensitive to digital literacy, infrastructure, trust, and policy safeguards.

3.5 Empirical Evidence and Results from Existing Studies

3.5.1 Data Considerations

Empirical analysis of DFS requires reliable data on access, use, transaction behavior, and welfare outcomes. The World Bank Global Findex Database 2021 provides internationally comparable information on account ownership, digital payments, saving, borrowing, and financial resilience across economies (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). Other sources, including mobile-money industry reports and household surveys, provide

additional information on transaction frequency, demographic inclusion, and network coverage.

Such data allow researchers to examine financial-inclusion gaps between rural and urban consumers and across gender and income groups. Andrianaivo and Kpodar (2012), for example, used cross-country evidence from Africa to study the relationship between mobile-phone development, financial inclusion, and economic growth. Jack and Suri (2014) used household-level panel data from Kenya to estimate the role of M-Pesa in risk sharing and consumption smoothing.

These datasets also have limitations. Informal and cash-based transactions are often under-recorded, while indicators such as account ownership do not necessarily measure active or effective use. Household surveys can contain recall and self-reporting errors, particularly where financial literacy is limited. For this reason, account-based indicators should ideally be combined with transaction data and localized household evidence when evaluating the welfare effects of DFS.

3.5.2 Methodological Frameworks in the Reviewed Literature

Researchers have used several econometric and experimental methods to estimate the relationship between digital finance and welfare outcomes. Panel regressions use cross-sectional and time-series variation in financial access, mobile penetration, and economic indicators. Andrianaivo and Kpodar (2012), for example, used panel methods to examine the relationship between mobile-phone development, financial inclusion, and growth in African economies.

Difference-in-differences and related quasi-experimental methods are also used to compare behavioral or welfare changes across groups with different levels or timing of DFS exposure. Jack and Suri (2014) used household panel data and variation in access to the M-Pesa agent network to study risk sharing and transaction costs. Suri and Jack (2016) extended the analysis to estimate long-run effects on poverty and occupational outcomes.

Randomized controlled trials have been used to test specific financial interventions under controlled conditions. Dupas and Robinson (2013), for example, studied the effects of access to simple savings technologies on saving and investment behavior in Kenya. Endogeneity remains a central challenge because DFS users may differ systematically from non-users in education, income, location, or risk preferences.

Researchers therefore use experimental designs, instrumental variables, fixed effects, and natural variation in network access to strengthen causal interpretation.

These approaches complement one another. Cross-country regressions provide broader macro-level patterns, while household panels, quasi-experiments, and randomized trials provide more detailed evidence on micro-level mechanisms. Together they form the empirical foundation for evaluating the welfare effects of digital finance.

3.5.3 Interpretation and Critical Evaluation of Empirical Findings

Empirical studies generally show that DFS can improve access to financial services, strengthen households' ability to manage shocks, and widen opportunities for saving and transfers. Suri and Jack (2016) estimated that the expansion of M-Pesa lifted about 2% of Kenyan households out of extreme poverty and contributed to occupational shifts among women. Andrianaivo and Kpodar (2012) also found a positive relationship between mobile-phone development, financial inclusion, and economic growth in African economies.

However, the magnitude and distribution of these benefits depend heavily on context. Weak digital infrastructure, low financial literacy, fraud, and poorly designed digital credit can increase consumer vulnerability. Ozili (2018) argues that digital finance can support financial inclusion and stability, but its effects depend on the wider financial and regulatory environment. The welfare assessment of DFS therefore needs to consider both direct gains, such as lower transaction costs and expanded choice, and indirect risks, including information asymmetry and weak consumer protection.

The empirical literature therefore supports the view that DFS can increase utility by expanding feasible consumption and financial choices and by improving intertemporal management of income. At the same time, these benefits are conditional on reliable services, effective use, institutional support, and consumer safeguards.

3.5.4 Robustness and Limitations of Current Research

Despite the growing empirical literature, important methodological and contextual limitations remain. A

large share of influential DFS research has focused on East Africa, particularly Kenya and M-Pesa, which limits the direct generalizability of findings to other regions and institutional settings. Comparative work on emerging technologies also emphasizes that market structure, regulation, infrastructure, and user behavior differ substantially across developing economies (Vong & Song, 2015).

Longitudinal and multi-country evidence would provide a better understanding of the deeper and longer-term welfare effects of digital finance. Combining socioeconomic surveys with transaction-level or usage data could also improve measurement. Although global datasets such as the Global Findex provide standardized indicators, they cannot fully explain the behavioral mechanisms behind individual consumer decisions (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

For these reasons, more detailed comparative research is needed to assess the long-term sustainability and distributional equity of DFS, even though the existing literature provides substantial evidence of potential welfare gains.

4. Discussion

4.1 Promoting Inclusive Financial Ecosystems

The World Bank (2020) emphasizes the importance of enabling infrastructure, consumer protection, digital identification, and interoperable payment systems for inclusive digital financial services. This implies that policy design is central to whether digital finance produces sustainable and broadly shared benefits.

Financial and digital literacy programs can reduce misuse, fraud, and unsuitable borrowing. Investment in mobile connectivity, cybersecurity, identification systems, and payment infrastructure is also important, together with competition among banks, fintech companies, and other providers. Without these conditions, digital finance can reproduce or deepen existing inequalities rather than reduce them.

Inclusive financial ecosystems should therefore be accessible, affordable, reliable, and capable of serving low-income households while protecting users against fraud, data misuse, and other financial risks.

4.2 Empowering Households and Microenterprises

Suri and Jack (2016) found that access to M-Pesa contributed to occupational shifts, particularly among

women, and improved households' ability to manage consumption and poverty risks. Andrianaivo and Kpodar (2012) likewise linked mobile-phone development and financial inclusion to broader economic performance. These findings indicate that DFS can support economic activity when digital access is combined with useful financial products and reliable infrastructure.

Policy attention should therefore include low-income households, informal workers, and microentrepreneurs, with emphasis on affordable savings, payments, credit, and insurance products. Gender-sensitive financial policies and consumer-protection measures can further strengthen welfare effects. With these safeguards, developing countries can translate digital access into more durable improvements in financial resilience and economic opportunity.

5. Conclusion

In summary, DFS has a substantial influence on consumer behavior and welfare in developing economies according to both theoretical and empirical evidence. Lower transaction costs, wider access to transfers and savings, and improved mechanisms for managing financial shocks can help households increase utility and stabilize consumption. However, these benefits are not automatic. They depend on digital literacy, affordability, reliable infrastructure, consumer protection, and effective regulation.

Future research should extend beyond the heavily studied East African cases and examine South Asian, Latin American, Central Asian, and other developing-economy contexts in greater detail. More longitudinal and comparative evidence is needed to understand distributional effects and long-term welfare outcomes. Policy should therefore combine innovation with proportionate regulation so that financial digitalization supports economic inclusion rather than reinforcing existing inequalities.

References

1. Aker, J.C. and Wilson, K. (2013) Can Mobile Money Be Used to Promote Savings? Evidence from Northern Ghana. SWIFT Institute Working Paper.
2. Andrianaivo, M. and Kpodar, K. (2012) "Mobile phones, financial inclusion, and growth", *Review of Economics and Institutions*, 3(2), pp. 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.5202/rei.v3i2.75>
3. Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Levine, R. (2007) "Finance, inequality and the poor", *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), pp. 27-49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6>
4. Carroll, C.D. and Kimball, M.S. (1996) "On the concavity of the consumption function", *Econometrica*, 64(4), pp. 981-992.
5. Deaton, A. (1992) *Understanding Consumption*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198288247.001.0001>
6. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D. and Ansar, S. (2022) *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
7. Dupas, P. and Robinson, J. (2013) "Why don't the poor save more? Evidence from health savings experiments", *American Economic Review*, 103(4), pp. 1138-1171. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.4.1138>
8. Dzokoto, V.A. and Appiah, E. (2014) *Making Sense of Mobile Money in Urban Ghana: Personal, Business, Social and Financial Inclusion Prospects*. Institute for Money, Technology & Financial Inclusion, University of California, Irvine.
9. GSMA (2022) *State of the Industry Report on Mobile Money 2022*. London: GSMA.
10. Jack, W. and Suri, T. (2014) "Risk sharing and transactions costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution", *American Economic Review*, 104(1), pp. 183-223. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.1.183>
11. Kahneman, D. (2011) *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
12. Karlan, D., McConnell, M., Mullainathan, S. and Zinman, J. (2016) "Getting to the top of mind: How reminders increase saving", *Management Science*, 62(12), pp. 3393-3411. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2015.2296>
13. Mbiti, I. and Weil, D.N. (2016) "Mobile banking: The impact of M-Pesa in Kenya", in Edwards, S., Johnson, S. and Weil, D.N. (eds.) *African Successes, Volume III: Modernization and Development*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226315867.003.0007>
14. Nicholson, W. and Snyder, C. (2017) *Microeconomic Theory: Basic Principles and Extensions*. 12th edn. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

15. Ozili, P.K. (2018) "Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability", *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), pp. 329-340.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003>
16. Ozili, P.K. (2020) "Contesting digital finance for the poor", *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 22(2), pp. 135-151.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-12-2019-0104>
17. Samuelson, P.A. (1948) "Consumption theory in terms of revealed preference", *Economica*, 15(60), pp. 243-253.
18. Suri, T. and Jack, W. (2016) "The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money", *Science*, 354(6317), pp. 1288-1292.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah5309>
19. Thaler, R.H. and Sunstein, C.R. (2008) *Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
20. Varian, H.R. (2014) *Intermediate Microeconomics: A Modern Approach*. 9th edn. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
21. Vong, J. and Song, I. (2015) *Emerging Technologies for Emerging Markets*. Singapore: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-381-6>
22. World Bank (2020) *Digital Financial Services*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.