The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations
ISSN 2693-0811 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 85-92
DOI 10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue11-12

Check for updates

Methods of Adapting Business Processes to Changing Market Conditions

Konstantin Maloroshvilo
Entrepreneur, Sole Proprietor Konstantin Gennadievich Maloroshvilo Founder, Samolet Plus Orenburg LLC, Orenburg, Russia

OPEN ACCESS
SUBMITTED 28 October 2025
ACCEPTED 11 November 2025
PUBLISHED 24 November 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 11 2025

CITATION
Konstantin Maloroshvilo. (2025). Methods of Adapting Business Processes to Changing Market Conditions. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 7(11), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue11-12

Abstract: This paper explores present-day strategies for recalibrating corporate workflows in response to increasingly unpredictable market dynamics. The importance of this inquiry lies in the mounting volatility of economic landscapes and the swift pace of technological innovation, both of which compel enterprises to remain adaptable and structurally resilient. The analysis synthesizes conceptual and applied frameworks for operational adjustment—ranging from business process redesign and adaptive process governance to agile principles and digitization. Special focus is given to how artificial intelligence, automation technologies, and cloud platforms serve as pivotal mechanisms for achieving organizational elasticity. The research sets out to organize and classify contemporary instruments that enhance an enterprise's capacity to respond to external shifts. Through comparative examination and scholarly aggregation, the study uncovers operational patterns and managerial interpretations of organizational adaptability. The findings reveal how such approaches allow firms to recalibrate internal routines, optimize output, and maintain strategic viability under conditions of disruption. The article offers value to academics, executives, and consultants engaged in advancing innovative approaches to business operations and technological integration.

Keywords: business process recalibration, agile strategy, adaptive capability, operational redesign, digital integration, organizational resilience.

Introduction Within today's climate of economic turbulence and persistent technological flux, enterprises are compelled to continuously reconfigure their internal operations to remain viable and competitive; shifts in

consumer behavior, emerging digital tools, evolving regulatory frameworks, and unanticipated global events all generate a demand for structural agility and strategic resilience. The relevance of this topic has been dramatically underscored by events like the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced companies worldwide to reconfigure operations virtually overnight. Companies that previously relied on stable, long-term process planning suddenly needed to embrace flexibility, remote work, and new delivery models. Academic and industry analyses both confirm that an organization's capacity to adapt its business processes swiftly to external change has become a key determinant of sustained performance (Vărzaru & Bocean, 2024).

The goal of this article is to examine and synthesize contemporary methods that firms use to adjust and redesign their business processes in response to volatile market conditions. Key objectives include:

1) outlining theoretical frameworks for process adaptation (such as dynamic capabilities and agile management);

2) reviewing practical techniques like business process reengineering, continuous improvement, and digital transformation initiatives that enable adaptability;

3) analyzing case studies from different sectors (e.g., retail chains and real estate agencies) to illustrate how process adaptation is implemented in practice; and

4) Evaluating the outcomes and challenges of these adaptation efforts.

The scientific significance lies in understanding how modern management approaches can increase organizational agility, while the practical significance involves providing insights to business leaders on navigating change through process innovation.

Methods and materials

The article relies on the analytical interpretation and comparative study of theoretical and empirical sources addressing organizational adaptation. T. J. Andersen examined dynamic strategy-making and its implications for process responsiveness (Andersen, 2020). M. S. A. Ansari, M. Abouraia, R. El Morsy, and V. R. R. Thumiki investigated the impact of leadership styles on the success of agile projects, identifying human and structural enablers of flexibility (Ansari et al., 2024). M. Grego, G. Magnani, and S. Denicolai explored resilience-building through business model transformation, linking structural renewal to adaptability (Grego et al., 2024). F. Mustafa, A. Ausat, and K. Kraugusteeliana assessed the role of business information systems in supporting innovation and decision-making (Mustafa et al., 2024). K. Sneader and S. Singhal analyzed post-crisis trends, emphasizing digital acceleration as a catalyst for operational change (Sneader & Singhal, 2021). R. Steegh, K. Van De Voorde, J. Paauwe, and T. Peeters presented a model of team adaptive performance within agile organizations (Steegh et al., 2025). A. A. Vărzaru and C. G. Bocean studied the influence of digital technologies on innovation intensity and business flexibility (Vărzaru & Bocean, 2024). L. Zhang, Q. Gao, and T. Li proposed a hierarchical feature model for dynamic adaptation of enterprise processes using machine learning (Zhang et al., 2021).

The methodological base of this research includes comparative analysis, systematic literature synthesis, and critical evaluation of managerial and technological frameworks. These methods were applied to identify, generalize, and interpret the key mechanisms that support continuous business process adaptation under volatile market conditions. The analytical framework was ultimately directed toward identifying recurring patterns and underlying regularities in how organizations adjust their business processes to reveal consistent mechanisms of adaptation across diverse managerial and technological environments.

Results

Organizations are compelled to adapt their processes by a variety of external and internal drivers. Key external drivers include market volatility, such as rapid changes in customer demand or competitive pressures, and technological advancements that render old processes obsolete (for example, the rise of e-commerce forcing brick-and-mortar retailers to integrate online order fulfillment). Internal drivers consist of strategic shifts (like a new business model or merger integration) and performance gaps where existing processes no longer meet efficiency or quality requirements. A critical observation in recent research is that companies with higher organizational agility — defined as the ability to quickly and effectively adjust to environmental changes — tend to outperform less agile peers. Agility is rooted in flexible processes that can be reconfigured with minimal disruption. For instance, chain retailers that had invested in agile supply chain processes were able to pivot to curbside pickup and home delivery during pandemic lockdowns far faster than those with rigid,

centralized distribution models. Similarly, real estate agencies that had digitized their client engagement processes (virtual viewings, online paperwork) adapted more smoothly to social distancing constraints, maintaining sales when traditional in-person methods faltered. These examples reflect a wider pattern: process adaptability is now recognized as a core component of business resilience. Below is a synthesis of the primary theoretical frameworks describing organizational process adaptability (Table 1).

Table 1. Conceptual frameworks of business process adaptability (compiled by the author based on Andersen, 2020; Ansari et al., 2024; Grego et al., 2024)

Framework	Core Idea	Mechanism of Adaptation	Organizational Focus
Dynamic Capabilities	Firms reconfigure internal competencies in response to environmental shifts	Continuous sensing, learning, and resource reallocation	Strategic responsiveness
Business Process Reengineering (BPR)	Radical redesign for breakthrough improvement	Elimination of non-value activities; process redesign	Performance transformation
Business Process Management (BPM)	Continuous improvement, ensuring alignment with strategic goals	Iterative monitoring and refinement	Operational alignment
Dynamic BPM	Incorporates adaptive loops for frequent process adjustment	Built-in flexibility and alternative workflows	Process resilience

The scholarly literature often frames this in terms of dynamic capabilities, which are the firm's abilities to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal competencies to address rapidly changing environments (Andersen, 2020). Processes are the routines through which such capabilities manifest; thus, adaptive processes are fundamental to sensing market changes and responding accordingly.

Over the past decades, several approaches have emerged to guide how businesses adapt processes. A classical yet evolving approach is Business Process Reengineering (BPR), which advocates for radical redesign of core processes to achieve dramatic performance improvements. While BPR was popularized in the 1990s, its principles remain relevant in situations where incremental tweaks are insufficient. Modern BPR efforts are often augmented by data analytics and IT tools to map and model processes before redesign. On the other hand, more gradual and continuous methodologies have gained prominence under the umbrella of Business Process Management (BPM). BPM emphasizes continuous monitoring and improvement of processes, ensuring they remain aligned with strategic goals even as conditions change (Zhang et al., 2021). In recent research, BPM is increasingly described as change-driven or dynamic BPM, which explicitly incorporates mechanisms for frequent adjustment and allows processes to be more fluid (Zhang et al., 2021). For example, processes can be designed with built-in decision points and alternative paths that can be activated when certain market indicators shift, thereby embedding flexibility.

Another contemporary approach is adopting agile methodologies beyond IT projects and into general management. Agile principles — such as iterative development, cross-functional teams, and empowered decision-making at lower levels — have been extended to areas like product development and even operations. This fosters an environment where processes are not static protocols but evolving workflows that teams can adjust as they learn from feedback (Steegh et al., 2025; Ansari et al., 2024). An agile organization may, for instance, use short process review cycles (akin to sprints) to continually refine a marketing campaign process based on real-time customer data. Moreover, frameworks like Lean Startup encourage rapid

experimentation and pivoting, essentially treating business process configurations as hypotheses to be validated in the market. The following classification outlines methodological distinctions among contemporary adaptive management approaches (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparative overview of contemporary adaptive management approaches (compiled by the author based on Ansari et al., 2024; Mustafa et al., 2024; Sneader & Singhal, 2021; Steegh et al., 2025)

Approach	Adaptation Logic	Application Scope	Strengths	Limitations
Agile Management	Iterative, feedback-driven refinement	Cross-functional operations and product cycles	High flexibility; team empowerment	Cultural resistance; coordination complexity
Lean Startup	Experimental learning and market validation	Innovation and new process design	Speed of iteration; data-informed pivots	Limited scalability in mature structures
Continuous Improvement (Kaizen)	Incremental refinement of stable processes	Manufacturing, service delivery	Cumulative efficiency gains	Slow in responding to sudden disruptions
Hybrid Adaptive Systems	Integration of agile and continuous paradigms	Enterprise-wide transformation	Balanced stability and adaptability	Requires advanced governance and data infrastructure

Academic work has also highlighted the concept of dynamic process adaptation methods facilitated by emerging technologies. One example is the use of machine learning and process mining to detect when a process is underperforming or when patterns shift, triggering an adaptation. A study proposed a hierarchical feature model for dynamic process adaptation, which enables agile reconfiguration of complex enterprise processes on-the-fly (Zhang et al., 2021). The method involves having a repository of modular process components and business rules that can be assembled in different ways depending on context, somewhat analogous to a "Lego blocks" approach to organizational workflows. Their results showed that this significantly reduced the time needed to redesign processes in response to changes, as the system could automatically map new requirements to existing process features and suggest reconfigurations (Zhang et al., 2021).

To illustrate these methods, consider a large retail chain facing the emergence of a new online competitor. Traditional response might involve a strategic change (e.g., improving online presence), but process adaptation is where strategy meets execution. The retailer may employ process mining on its fulfillment process to identify bottlenecks and then reengineer the process to allow ship-from-store capabilities (using local stores as distribution points for online orders). This could involve training store staff in new picking and packing procedures, investing in inventory visibility systems across stores, and flattening decision hierarchies so local managers can respond to surges in online demand quickly. Essentially, the order fulfillment process shifts from a centralized model to a hybrid model in a matter of months — a transformation that in the past might have taken years. Reports by McKinsey and others noted that the COVID-19 crisis acted as a catalyst for exactly such rapid process changes, creating an imperative for companies to reconfigure operations for digital and remote channels (Sneader & Singhal, 2021). According to McKinsey's analysis, businesses that swiftly transformed processes (especially using digital

tools) during the crisis saw not only short-term survival but also long-term productivity gains (Sneader & Singhal, 2021). In one of their 2021 trend reports, McKinsey stated: "The COVID-19 crisis has created an imperative for companies to reconfigure their operations — and an opportunity to transform them. To the extent that they do so, greater productivity will follow." (Sneader & Singhal, 2021). This underscores that adaptation, while forced by necessity, often yields efficiency benefits.

In the real estate sector, process adaptation is evident in how agencies have responded to changing market and technological landscapes. Traditional real estate transactions involve high-touch, in-person processes (property viewings, paper documentation, wet signatures). With tech-savvy millennial customers and pandemic restrictions, agencies had to pivot to virtual processes. Many firms implemented new Customer Relationship Management (CRM) platforms and digital document signing services, effectively reengineering the sales and closing processes. A brief comparison of outcomes: agencies that adopted virtual home tour processes early (using 360-degree video and interactive online sessions) continued to generate leads and close deals even when physical visits were not possible, whereas those that delayed adaptation saw sharp declines. This aligns with findings in the literature that digitally transformed organizations can respond faster to changes and sustain operations under adverse conditions (Vărzaru & Bocean, 2024). Digital transformation essentially acts as an enabler of process agility by providing tools (cloud services, AI, automation) that allow processes to be adjusted or scaled quickly. To demonstrate the relationship between digital transformation tools and adaptive business process outcomes, the following mapping is presented (Table 3).

Table 3. Digital transformation enablers supporting adaptive business processes (compiled by the author based on Andersen, 2020; Sneader & Singhal, 2021; Vărzaru & Bocean, 2024; Zhang et al., 2021)

Digital Tool	Function in Adaptation	Process Impact	Example Outcome
Cloud Computing	Enables scalability and remote access	Distributed process execution	Rapid deployment of new workflows
Artificial Intelligence	Detects inefficiencies and predicts process changes	Data-driven decision-making	Automated adjustment of workflows
Process Mining	Analyzes performance and identifies deviations	Evidence-based redesign	Reduction of bottlenecks
Low-Code Platforms	Allows managers to reconfigure workflows without deep coding	Accelerated innovation cycles	Faster implementation of process variants
Automation (RPA)	Replaces repetitive manual tasks	Consistent process execution	Improved accuracy and speed

For example, one study found that integrating technologies like AI and cloud computing in innovation processes enabled companies to experiment and iterate more rapidly, thus adapting their value delivery mechanisms to market feedback in near real-time (Vărzaru & Bocean, 2024).

The benefits emerging from the productive recalibration of business processes are manifold—among them: stronger coherence between operations and strategic goals (ensuring processes remain aligned with evolving priorities and market expectations), accelerated execution and improved operational throughput, heightened customer responsiveness (as workflows become attuned to client needs), and more robust risk mitigation capabilities (as flexible processes reduce vulnerability to disruption); for example, a supply chain structured for adaptability is capable of redirecting logistics routes or sourcing alternatives in real-time when core pathways are obstructed, a capacity clearly demonstrated during trade upheavals where companies with agile logistics systems managed inventory continuity far more efficiently. Yet the transition toward

adaptable workflows brings with it significant barriers—foremost among them, institutional pushback, as staff and mid-level leadership frequently resist structural change out of concern for losing familiar routines or facing uncertain operational expectations. If a company tries to implement agile cross-functional teams in a previously siloed organization, cultural pushback can impede the adaptation. Change management techniques (communication, training, and involvement of employees in redesign) are critical to overcome this. Another challenge is the complexity of processes in large enterprises: processes can be entangled with legacy systems and multiple stakeholders. Adapting one process (say, order entry) might necessitate changes in upstream and downstream processes (marketing and billing), leading to a cascading project of considerable scope. This is where methods like modular process design and the use of process automation tools can help manage complexity by isolating components and automating routine adjustments.

Additionally, there's the challenge of timing and pace of adaptation. Adapt too slowly and the market moves faster, causing strategic drift; adapt too frequently or haphazardly and the organization can suffer from change fatigue and loss of efficiency. Thus, companies are adopting governance mechanisms to sense the right time for change (through market analytics and performance monitoring) and to manage a portfolio of process changes with proper prioritization. Tools from project portfolio management are being applied to adaptation initiatives to ensure resources focus on the most impactful changes.

It's also noteworthy that in dynamically changing markets, adaptation is not a one-off task but a continuous capability. Some recent frameworks describe the idea of an organization as a constantly learning and adapting system, where feedback loops from process performance feed into process updates (Andersen, 2020; Mustafa et al., 2024). For example, an approach suggested in the literature is establishing a "process adaptation board" in companies – a cross-functional team that meets regularly to review key process metrics and external trends, and then sponsors rapid experiments or changes in process segments as needed. This institutionalizes adaptability rather than making it an ad-hoc reaction.

Discussion

The analysis of methods for adapting business processes underscores a fundamental shift in management thinking: from viewing processes as static, efficiency-focused routines to treating them as dynamic assets that confer organizational agility. This shift has several implications and is worth a deeper discussion. First, it highlights the interplay between stability and flexibility. Classic management theory, influenced by thinkers like Taylor and Weber, emphasized standardized processes for efficiency. Modern approaches must balance standardization with flexibility — a paradox where processes need to be stable enough to ensure reliability and quality, yet flexible enough to allow variation and change when conditions warrant. Some scholars describe this as achieving "ambidexterity" in process management: simultaneously exploiting existing processes for efficiency and exploring new process variations for innovation (Grego et al., 2024).

These findings suggest that advanced organizations manage this paradox by layering processes: a core of essential activities remains standardized (ensuring, for example, compliance or safety), while non-core or context-specific activities are designed to be malleable. For instance, a real estate company will keep the legal closing process strictly controlled to avoid compliance issues, but allow a variety of marketing and showing processes to coexist (virtual tours, in-person tours by appointment, open houses) depending on the client segment and situation.

Another discussion point is the role of technology in enabling adaptation. Digital tools not only serve as components of processes but also as facilitators of change. The rise of low-code platforms and process automation software means that business managers can modify workflows with less IT dependency, speeding up adaptations. Moreover, artificial intelligence can simulate or predict outcomes of process changes (for example, digital twins of processes), reducing the risk associated with trying new process configurations. However, technology is not a panacea — organizational readiness and skills are critical. A company might invest in a sophisticated process management system, but if employees lack the mindset or training to utilize its adaptive features, the potential remains unrealized. This is why complementary investments in human capital (training in agile, empowering teams, leadership support for experimentation) are repeatedly emphasized in case studies of successful transformation.

The discussion should also acknowledge that not all process adaptations succeed. Some changes might lead to unintended consequences or may overshoot the

needs of the market. One example is when firms hastily cut corners in processes to reduce costs in response to a short-term market dip, only to harm quality or customer trust in the long run. Adaptation must be guided by a clear understanding of market signals — distinguishing between temporary fluctuations and fundamental shifts. Strategic alignment remains key: processes should not be changed in isolation but as part of a coherent strategy. The techniques of scenario planning and real options can support decision-making by anticipating different market scenarios and planning contingent process changes for each.

Despite the growing body of research on adaptive process management, several limitations constrain current understanding. Much of the existing evidence is based on qualitative or case-specific analyses, which restricts generalizability across industries and organizational scales. Quantitative validation of adaptive frameworks, particularly those integrating digital transformation metrics, remains limited. Moreover, longitudinal data capturing the sustainability of process adaptations over time are scarce, leaving open questions about long-term effectiveness and potential regressions once external pressure subsides. Future research should focus on developing standardized indicators for measuring adaptability, examining causal links between technological enablers and performance outcomes, and exploring cross-sectoral comparisons to determine which adaptive configurations yield the most durable competitive advantages. Expanding the empirical base through mixed-method studies and longitudinal assessments will deepen theoretical precision and support the creation of predictive models for organizational adaptation.

Finally, an underlying theme in adapting business processes is organizational culture. A culture that encourages learning, tolerates calculated risks, and does not punish failures harshly is more conducive to proactive process adaptation. This cultural aspect was evident in how different companies responded to the pandemic: those with cultures of innovation quickly found creative ways to keep serving customers (e.g., restaurants moving to contactless delivery) while others were paralyzed waiting for "things to go back to normal." The pandemic arguably has accelerated a cultural shift in many organizations toward valuing adaptability as much as efficiency, breaking many psychological barriers to change. As one CEO succinctly put it, "We implemented in 10 days changes that we had debated for 10 years," capturing how the crisis forced cultural acceptance of rapid adaptation.

Conclusion

Adapting business processes to changing market conditions has become not just an operational requirement, but a strategic capability that differentiates successful organizations. The research and cases examined in this article lead to several key conclusions. First, process adaptability is a critical driver of organizational resilience and competitiveness. Firms that develop agile process management practices are better equipped to handle volatility, seize emerging opportunities, and mitigate risks, as evidenced by their performance during unforeseen disruptions.

Second, there are multiple methodological approaches to achieve process adaptation, ranging from structured frameworks like dynamic BPM and BPR to more emergent methods like agile and continuous improvement loops. Successful adaptation often involves a combination of these methods – for example, using agile teams to rapidly prototype process changes and BPM governance to institutionalize the improvements. The introduction of intelligent tools (AI, process automation, data analytics) significantly enhances a firm's ability to detect when change is needed and execute it quickly, effectively making adaptability a more data-driven and less intuition-driven exercise.

Third, case studies across industries illustrate that while the context may differ, the underlying principles of adaptation remain similar. In retail, quick reconfiguration of supply chains and sales processes allowed companies to meet consumers online and at home; in real estate, digitalizing client interactions kept business flowing even when face-to-face meetings were impossible. These illustrative cases underscore that channeling resources into operational flexibility secures both immediate resilience and lasting customer loyalty—clients value organizations that can pivot effectively to meet their needs amid shifting circumstances; in fact, numerous firms realized that innovations born out of urgency often delivered lasting efficiency gains, a trend corroborated by McKinsey's observation that well-orchestrated workflow transformations frequently lead to measurable productivity growth.

At the same time, hurdles to successful recalibration—such as resistance to new routines, quality assurance

under accelerated change, and strategic misalignment—require deliberate oversight; in this regard, fostering a culture that embraces transformation and embedding structured change leadership are not peripheral concerns but foundational components of any initiative aimed at sustainable adaptation, with leadership playing a pivotal role by modeling adaptive behavior, endorsing experimentation, and celebrating iterative improvements.

Ultimately, the ability to perpetually revise and optimize internal processes emerges as a defining attribute of enduring organizations in today's volatile economy; through the lens of scientific inquiry, this calls for continuous refinement of conceptual models and technological tools that empower structural flexibility without compromising operational coherence, while from a practical angle, it demands that firms deliberately nurture the capacities, infrastructures, and mental frameworks that enable them to reengineer operational logic with the same fluency as they would roll out a new offering—since market dynamics will only intensify, the central insight remains that building adaptability into business processes is not a temporary intervention but a permanent strategic imperative, and those enterprises that embed this principle at their core are poised not just to endure, but to lead. The theoretical contribution of this article lies in systematizing adaptation mechanisms across managerial and technological dimensions. Future research should explore empirical validation of these frameworks across industries and cultural contexts.

References

1. Andersen, T. J. (2020). *Dynamic adaptive strategy-making processes for enhanced strategic responsiveness*. In the *EURAM 2020 Online Conference: The Business of Now: The Future Starts Here*. European Academy of Management. https://research.cbs.dk/en/publications/dynamic-adaptive-strategy-making-processes-for-enhanced-strategic
2. Ansari, M. S. A., Abouraia, M., El Morsy, R., & Thumiki, V. R. R. (2024). Influence of transformational and transactional leadership on agile project success. *Project Leadership and Society, 5*, 100136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2024.100136
3. Grego, M., Magnani, G., & Denicolai, S. (2024). Transform to adapt or resilient by design? How organizations can foster resilience through business model transformation. *Journal of Business Research, 171*, 114359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114359
4. Mustafa, F., Ausat, A., & Kraugusteeliana, K. (2024). The role of business information systems in strategic decision-making: Implications for innovation and market adaptation. *Jurnal Minfo Polgan, 13*(2), 1468–1475. https://doi.org/10.33395/jmp.v13i2.14099
5. Sneader, K., & Singhal, S. (2021). The next normal arrives: Trends that will define 2021—and beyond. *McKinsey & Company*. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/leadership/the-next-normal-arrives-trends-that-will-define-2021-and-beyond
6. Steegh, R., Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Peeters, T. (2025). The agile way of working and team adaptive performance: A goal-setting perspective. *Journal of Business Research, 189*, 115163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.115163
7. Vărzaru, A. A., & Bocean, C. G. (2024). Digital transformation and innovation: The influence of digital technologies on turnover from innovation activities and types of innovation. *Systems, 12*(9), 359. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12090359
8. Zhang, L., Gao, Q., & Li, T. (2021). Dynamic adaptation method of business process based on hierarchical feature model. *Information, 12*(9), 362. https://doi.org/10.3390/info12090362