The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations
ISSN 2693-0811 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 17-25
DOI 10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue11-03

Check for updates

Digital Transformation of Financial Reporting: AI-Driven Accuracy and Transparency in Corporate Disclosures

Mikhail Kobanenko
Master of Business Administration in Finance, Metropolitan College of New York
New York, USA

OPEN ACCESS
SUBMITTED 29 September 2025
ACCEPTED 31 October 2025
PUBLISHED 08 November 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 11 2025

CITATION
Mikhail Kobanenko. (2025). Digital Transformation of Financial Reporting: AI-Driven Accuracy and Transparency in Corporate Disclosures. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 7(11), 17–25. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue11-03.

Abstract: This study explores the reconfiguration of financial reporting practices under the influence of artificial intelligence, which enhances both the precision and lucidity of corporate information disclosure. The investigation gains its relevance from the international trend toward automation and data-centric approaches in accounting and audit operations, reflecting the escalating intricacy of financial datasets. The originality of the paper lies in a thorough evaluation of how intelligent technologies—namely, machine learning, robotic automation, and natural language systems—redefine the credibility of reports and the consistency of disclosures. The research delineates the operational channels through which AI strengthens anomaly recognition, refines data workflows, and facilitates ongoing audit processes. Emphasis is placed on governance concerns, particularly the transparency and interpretability of AI mechanisms that help solidify confidence among stakeholders. The core aim is to assess AI's impact on the qualitative dimensions of financial reporting and its broader implications for corporate responsibility. By employing analytical and comparative approaches, the study interprets empirical evidence drawn from contemporary investigations and expert surveys. In conclusion, the findings present AI as an accelerant toward a heightened benchmark of reporting accuracy and openness—informing regulators, executives, and auditors striving for responsible AI adoption in financial communications.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, financial reporting, automation, transparency, anomaly detection, natural language processing

Introduction

The domain of financial reporting is undergoing a sweeping digital overhaul amid a post-pandemic digital acceleration, as artificial intelligence solutions are increasingly integrated into accounting routines and audit frameworks at a moment when disclosure volumes and structural complexity are expanding, while stakeholders intensify demands for greater transparency and factual reliability—prompting companies to commit substantial investments into AI-enhanced systems, with global financial sector expenditures on such technologies anticipated to nearly double, reaching approximately $97 billion by 2027 (Vuković et al., 2025), thereby underscoring the perceived potential of AI in fortifying data authenticity and communicative clarity across reporting practices, especially as tools like machine learning and language-based analytics are now routinely deployed in areas spanning transaction monitoring, risk flagging, and interpretive review of narrative disclosures in financial statements—initiatives intended to minimize manual inaccuracies, spotlight irregularities or misconduct, and deepen understanding, ultimately reinforcing trust in the integrity of corporate reporting.

At the same time, the integration of AI raises new considerations. Firms must ensure that AI systems are transparent and governable to maintain stakeholder confidence. Regulators and experts emphasize the need for explainable AI (XAI) and robust governance frameworks so that increased automation does not compromise accountability (Vuković et al., 2025). The objective of this paper is not only to evaluate how AI-driven technologies enhance the accuracy of financial reporting and the transparency of corporate disclosures but also to explore the governance implications of this transformation, particularly the mechanisms ensuring explainability, accountability, and ethical oversight in AI-assisted reporting environments. The article focuses on two key dimensions:

1. Accuracy, i.e., the reduction of errors and identification of irregularities in financial data through AI, and
2. Transparency, i.e., how AI helps surface meaningful information in disclosures and enables clearer communication to investors and regulators.

Methods and materials

This study relies on an analytical and comparative review of contemporary literature and industry research on the application of artificial intelligence in financial reporting. The materials were selected to reflect diverse perspectives on automation, governance, and data reliability. A. Alzeghoul and N.M. Alsharari examined the relationship between AI disclosure, governance quality, and financial performance in U.S. banks, demonstrating that transparent AI practices strengthen reporting integrity (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025). B. Antwi, B. Adelakun, and A. Eziefule analyzed how digital automation improves accuracy and timeliness in financial data processing, emphasizing efficiency gains through AI-based systems (Antwi et al., 2024). P. Celestin and A. Mishra focused on the digital transformation of corporate transparency, identifying how AI enhances investor confidence through more consistent disclosure frameworks (Celestin & Mishra, 2025). J.H. Choi and C. Xie provided empirical field evidence on AI integration in accounting, showing how machine learning supports more granular and reliable reporting practices (Choi & Xie, 2025). KPMG presented an international survey summarizing how financial institutions adopt AI to enhance audit accuracy, process automation, and compliance reliability (KPMG, 2023). M. Proothi and K. Crowther-Green evaluated which AI applications deliver tangible results in financial analytics and which remain overestimated, clarifying the boundaries of effective AI use (Proothi & Crowther-Green, n.d.). O.S. Shaban and A. Omoush studied the influence of AI-based accounting tools on corporate transparency and governance efficiency, confirming the positive correlation between AI deployment and disclosure quality (Shaban & Omoush, 2025). D.B. Vuković, S. Dekpo-Adza, and S. Matović conducted a systematic review of AI adoption in financial services, outlining major regulatory challenges and integration pathways (Vuković et al., 2025).

The research employed analytical synthesis to consolidate findings across theoretical and empirical works, comparative analysis to identify patterns among corporate and institutional practices, and critical interpretation to assess implications for financial reporting governance. This combination of methods ensured the theoretical consistency and practical relevance of the study's conclusions.

Results

A core contribution of AI in financial reporting is the improved integrity of numerical data and the ability to detect anomalies. Machine learning algorithms can sift through entire ledgers to flag transactions that deviate

significantly from expected patterns, far beyond what traditional sampling audits might catch. Studies show that AI adoption can indeed enhance the quality of reported accounting information. For instance, one empirical study found a rising trend of AI-related disclosures in bank annual reports, accompanied by performance benefits when such technologies are overseen properly (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025). Importantly, that study noted a governance dimension: large shareholders tend to favor greater transparency via AI disclosures, whereas some boards exhibited caution (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025). This indicates that stakeholders recognize the value of AI in uncovering issues and insist on its use to improve reporting accuracy. The following section outlines a structured overview of AI applications in financial reporting (Table 1).

Table 1. Classification of AI applications in corporate financial reporting (compiled by the author based on Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025; Antwi et al., 2024; Vuković et al., 2025)

Application Domain	AI Techniques Applied	Purpose in Reporting	Illustrative Outcome
Transaction verification	Machine learning, anomaly detection	Identify irregular entries and prevent misstatements	Strengthened the accuracy of financial statements
Data reconciliation and closing	Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	Automate data aggregation and report generation	Reduced cycle time and manual errors
Narrative consistency checks	Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Detect inconsistencies and sentiment shifts in reports	Enhanced narrative transparency
Continuous auditing	Predictive analytics, real-time monitoring	Provide ongoing assurance and detect fraud early	Increased reliability and timeliness of disclosures

AI-driven anomaly detection is now a powerful tool in auditors' and accountants' arsenal. Unsupervised learning models can learn a company's "normal" financial patterns and alert users to outliers that might signal errors or fraud. Unlike rule-based controls, which might only check if an entry exceeds a fixed threshold, modern AI systems consider multiple variables and historical trends simultaneously. For example, if an employee attempted to hide losses by splitting a large expense into many small entries, an AI algorithm could detect the unusual frequency and timing of those entries, raising a red flag. Research in practice confirms substantial gains: one field study of firms implementing AI-based accounting software documented a 12% increase in the granularity of ledger data and a reduction of over one week in the monthly financial close process for AI adopters (Choi & Xie, 2025). In other words, companies using AI achieved more detailed and timely financial reports than their peers. These data-driven approaches provide a higher level of assurance that anomalies (whether due to fraud or error) will be caught early, thereby improving the overall accuracy of financial reporting (Choi & Xie, 2025).

A significant portion of corporate disclosure is qualitative, found in earnings call transcripts, management reports, and footnotes. AI is also revolutionizing the analysis of this unstructured text data through NLP. Auditors have begun deploying NLP algorithms to scan entire annual reports for unusual wording, sentiment shifts, or inconsistencies that might indicate concealed problems. One notable study applied sentiment analysis to annual reports of companies later found to have committed financial fraud (e.g., Wirecard) (Proothi & Crowther-Green, n.d.). It discovered discernible linguistic patterns preceding the fraud revelations – such as abrupt changes in tone and sentiment during the fraud years. These findings suggest that AI can help flag potential red flags in narrative

disclosures, like an overly euphoric tone or sudden negativity, which human readers might overlook. The following section presents a typology of NLP-based analytical instruments used for corporate disclosure evaluation (Table 2).

Table 2. Typology of NLP-driven analytical tools in financial disclosure analysis (compiled by the author based on Celestin & Mishra, 2025; Shaban & Omoush, 2025)

Tool Type	Analytical Focus	Example of Detected Feature	Contribution to Transparency
Sentiment analysis	Tone and polarity of managerial statements	Abrupt optimism before losses	Early warning of potential misreporting
Keyword frequency analysis	Repetition of financial or operational terms	Unusual emphasis on growth or risk	Identification of biased narratives
Linguistic anomaly detection	Structural irregularities in syntax and semantics	Discrepancies between segments	Detection of concealed inconsistencies
Contextual embedding models	Semantic coherence across reports	Shift in the meaning of recurring terms	Tracking thematic integrity of disclosures

While sentiment analysis alone cannot prove misconduct, it provides supplementary indicators that enrich traditional financial analysis. By scrutinizing not just the numbers but also the language used by management, AI adds a layer of transparency – ensuring that the "story" management tells in financial reports is consistent with the underlying data.

Beyond formal reports, NLP-driven analytics monitor other communication channels for risks to transparency (Shaban & Omoush, 2025). As an illustration, intelligent algorithms are equipped to autonomously process media content, public commentary across social platforms, and even recorded interactions from call centers to trace indications of financial misconduct—whereby an abrupt increase in negative coverage or consumer dissatisfaction can be algorithmically cross-referenced with entries in financial records, enabling early-stage identification of discrepancies; one such case involved a natural language processing system that flagged coercive sales strategies within client communications, pointing to potential irregularities in revenue reporting and leading to a proactive and successful inquiry, thereby underscoring how this integrative form of surveillance—realizable solely through artificial intelligence—enhances corporate transparency by bridging narrative indicators with structured financial data, and ultimately, such developments in NLP are equipping accountants and oversight bodies with tools to interpret the subtext of corporate communication, thereby reinforcing the integrity and clarity of the narrative dimension within financial disclosures, whereas robotic process automation, in contrast, concentrates on eliminating procedural inefficiencies throughout the reporting cycle. RPA uses software bots to perform repetitive, rule-based tasks (data entry, reconciliations, report compilation) with high speed and consistency. In financial reporting, RPA has been shown to significantly reduce manual errors and accelerate workflows. For instance, a major bank that implemented RPA in its financial close process was able to eliminate certain spreadsheet errors and cut several days off its month-end closing time by automating data aggregation and validation. Surveys indicate that CFOs have taken note: a large majority are investing in automation to improve efficiency and reliability in finance operations (KPMG, 2023; Antwi et al., 2024). By removing the human error factor in routine tasks, RPA contributes directly to accuracy. An automated system will not mis-key an invoice or forget an adjustment – it executes the predefined steps exactly each time. As a result, the financial statements produced have fewer inadvertent

mistakes. Moreover, the speed gains are substantial. Industry analyses show that end-of-period closings that once took weeks can now be completed in days with AI and RPA assistance (Choi & Xie, 2025). The next section summarizes the integration framework of AI and automation across the corporate reporting cycle (Table 3).

Table 3. Structural integration of AI and automation across the financial reporting cycle (compiled by the author based on Antwi et al., 2024; Choi & Xie, 2025; KPMG, 2023)

Reporting Stage	Core AI or Automation Tool	Main Function	Expected Effect
Data collection	Optical Character Recognition, RPA	Extract and validate transactional inputs	Error-free initial datasets
Data processing	Machine learning, anomaly detection	Identify irregularities and ensure data integrity	Higher reporting accuracy
Report generation	Automated consolidation engines	Produce standardized financial statements	Reduced manual workload
Disclosure and audit review	NLP, explainable AI systems	Ensure consistency and transparency of narrative	Strengthened stakeholder confidence

This dual impact – faster reporting cycles and more accurate outputs – exemplifies how digital transformation is raising the quality of corporate disclosures.

Accuracy in financial reporting is perhaps most critically tested in the area of fraud detection. Here, AI's pattern recognition abilities truly shine. Traditional audit techniques often identify fraud only after the fact or rely on small samples. AI systems, in contrast, can continuously monitor 100% of transactions in real time, flagging anomalies for investigation. A 2024 professional overview noted that auditors are increasingly leveraging machine learning to detect subtle indicators of financial statement fraud that would evade manual review. These include unusual clusters of journal entries, deviations from Benford's Law in large datasets (an established statistical sign of possible manipulation), or inconsistencies between narrative disclosures and financial results, as noted earlier. The result is that misstatements are caught earlier and with greater frequency. For example, if a company were artificially inflating revenue at year-end, an AI anomaly detector might highlight the anomaly of several dozen smaller entries just below approval thresholds, prompting an audit focus on that area. In practice, banks and large firms using these AI-driven techniques have reported a marked increase in the detection of improper transactions before they materialize into larger issues. From an assurance perspective, AI thus strengthens confidence in financial reports by providing an ever-vigilant, intelligent monitoring that augments human oversight.

Transparency is not only about finding errors – it is also about communicating information clearly and fully to stakeholders. AI is helping companies analyze and improve their own disclosures. Some firms use AI tools to benchmark the clarity and completeness of their financial reports against peers, identifying jargon or omissions. More directly, AI itself has become a subject of disclosure: companies now report on how they use AI in accounting, as stakeholders view this as a sign of modern, forward-thinking governance (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025; Celestin & Mishra, 2025). In the banking sector, recent annual reports of major banks have begun including discussions of AI in risk management and controls. Such transparency about the use of AI can build investor confidence, provided that those disclosures are candid about limitations and oversight. Indeed, a recent study of U.S. banks found that shareholders reacted positively to news of AI implementation in financial reporting, interpreting it as a commitment to robust internal controls (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025). However, the same study cautioned that boards must exercise appropriate oversight – reinforcing that AI is a

tool to assist, not replace, human judgment in reporting (Alzeghoul & Alsharari, 2025).

In summary, across these dimensions, the digital transformation driven by AI is yielding measurable improvements in both accuracy and transparency of corporate financial reporting. Errors and fraud are being detected more readily through advanced anomaly detection and continuous auditing techniques. Disclosures are becoming increasingly detailed and subject to heightened scrutiny, while intelligent technologies help ensure consistency between the narrative conveyed to investors and the actual financial condition of the organization—simultaneously, the automation of routine operations enhances efficiency and reduces susceptibility to error, allowing financial professionals to redirect their expertise toward analytical interpretation and stakeholder communication; the subsequent analysis explores the broader consequences of these transformations, while also addressing the limitations and complications inherent in an AI-integrated reporting environment.

Discussion

The preceding findings suggest a promising trajectory for AI-integrated financial disclosure systems, with artificial intelligence proving adept at enhancing statement precision by identifying anomalies that may escape human oversight and by systematically reducing certain categories of manual inaccuracy—at the same time, these systems promote disclosure clarity by assessing and, in some instances, refining the explanatory content provided to stakeholders, thereby generating a series of far-reaching consequences. From an operational standpoint, higher accuracy means reduced restatements and audit adjustments, which in turn builds investor trust. When stakeholders know that a company employs state-of-the-art anomaly detectors and NLP analyses, they have greater confidence that the reported numbers "tell it like it is." This trust can lower the cost of capital for firms and improve market stability. From a regulatory standpoint, however, the adoption of AI introduces new challenges: models must be validated, biases checked, and appropriate controls instituted. Regulators are increasingly focusing on the explainability of AI decisions in finance. As noted, researchers stress that explainable AI and transparency must go hand-in-hand – black-box algorithms in financial reporting could undermine confidence. Thus, companies implementing AI in reporting are also developing governance frameworks, such as AI model risk management committees and audit trails for AI-driven decisions, to ensure compliance and accountability.

Another key discussion point is the role of human judgment versus AI. The consensus emerging from practitioners is that AI is most powerful as an augmented intelligence – a system that complements rather than replaces human expertise. While AI can process data at an unmatched scale and flag issues, human accountants and auditors are still needed to investigate and make final judgments on those flags. For example, an NLP tool might highlight an unusual tone in a CEO's letter, but only an experienced professional can contextualize that finding with knowledge of the business's situation. The case studies showed that organizations achieving the best results treat AI as a "second pair of eyes" or a tireless assistant. These organizations also invest in training their staff to work effectively with AI outputs. This melding of human oversight with AI efficiency aligns with what governance experts recommend – maintaining human responsibility for decisions while leveraging AI's analytical power. Importantly, the presence of AI in the loop changes the skill set needed in finance teams: there is growing demand for data-savvy accountants who can interpret model outputs and for auditors who understand AI systems well enough to audit them.

The findings also invite a discussion on information asymmetry and agency theory in the context of AI. When companies voluntarily disclose their use of AI (for instance, revealing that they employ continuous transaction monitoring), it can serve as a positive signal to investors about the firm's commitment to high-quality reporting. Ensuring such openness is capable of diminishing the informational imbalance between executives (as agents) and equity holders (as principals); nevertheless, as highlighted in one empirical investigation, executive bodies do not demonstrate uniform willingness to expose internal operations, with certain governing entities exhibiting restraint—presumably out of concern that disclosing the integration of artificial intelligence might provoke heightened expectations or trigger critical examination regarding delays in identifying operational inaccuracies. There is also the issue that highly effective AI might uncover past problems, leading to difficult disclosures. Thus, while AI tools exist to increase transparency, organizational culture plays a significant role in how fully those tools are utilized and reported. The discussion here suggests a gradual shift in norms: it may soon

become expected that well-governed firms will openly discuss their deployment of AI in risk management and reporting. Those that do not may be asked by investors, "Why not?" – especially as industry surveys by firms like KPMG have shown most large companies already using AI in finance.

We should also consider the limitations and new risks introduced by AI. One risk is over-reliance on technology. If finance teams become too dependent on AI outputs without understanding the underlying logic, errors in the AI system (or deliberate manipulations of the system) could go undetected. It was noted that AI models, especially those based on machine learning, need quality data – biases or inaccuracies in input data can lead to biased outputs. For example, an anomaly detector trained on a period of immaculate data might overfit and later miss a novel kind of fraud. Moreover, sophisticated fraudsters may attempt to game AI controls (a form of adversarial behavior) by learning how to coalesce fraudulent transactions in ways that avoid detection. Hence, auditors and financial controllers must continuously update and "educate" their AI tools, and often run multiple techniques in parallel (combining rule-based checks with AI predictions) for robustness. There is active discussion in the audit profession on developing standards for AI assurance – essentially auditing the algorithms themselves for accuracy and fairness. Supervisory entities like the IAASB and PCAOB have already initiated the release of formal guidance regarding the permissibility of outputs produced by artificial intelligence systems as legitimate forms of audit substantiation, underlining the imperative that audit professionals attain a well-defined comprehension of the core model premises and techniques utilized; nevertheless, ongoing professional dialogue consistently reinforces the position that—provided sufficient governance mechanisms are in place—the benefits associated with AI application significantly outweigh its inherent constraints, particularly considering that no human audit unit is equipped to manually examine each transactional entry or to meticulously parse the full breadth of narrative disclosures, whereas algorithmic intelligence enables precisely such granular investigation, simultaneously detecting subtle dynamics—such as shifts in sentiment embedded in textual narratives or atypical statistical outliers—that augment the analytical depth of financial interpretation and, on a broader scale, steer the audit domain toward a framework characterized by perpetual validation and real-time surveillance, wherein the traditional concept of annual review is supplanted by an uninterrupted assessment continuum, engendering systemic ramifications as information users move steadily toward accessing near-instant confirmation of financial representations and enterprises acquire the operational agility to detect and remediate nascent discrepancies in a matter of weeks rather than months—an evolution fundamentally underpinned and catalyzed by the structural integration of artificial intelligence.

Finally, the discussion must acknowledge the strategic significance of AI in corporate reporting. Leading firms are not just using AI for compliance or error-checking; they are also harnessing it for performance management and decision support. Accurate and transparent reporting creates a virtuous cycle: reliable internal data allows better strategic decisions, which in turn lead to stronger performance and more credible external reporting. AI tools are increasingly embedded in forecasting, budgeting, and analysis, providing forward-looking insights (e.g., AI-driven forecasts of cash flows or credit losses). These insights make their way into disclosures like management discussions. As one report highlighted, AI-driven forecasting can improve accuracy so much that forecast errors were cut in half at some companies. That means management can communicate more confidently about the future, making disclosures not only about past performance but also about expected trends more informative. Thus, AI's role is expanding from error reduction to enabling a more strategic, predictive finance function.

In conclusion to this discussion, while challenges of implementation and oversight remain, it is clear that AI has begun to fundamentally enhance the fidelity and clarity of financial reporting. The key for stakeholders is ensuring that human judgment, ethical considerations, and regulatory compliance evolve in tandem with technology. The next section provides a brief conclusion and outlines the broader significance of these findings.

Conclusion

The infusion of artificial intelligence into the realm of financial reporting is already producing measurable gains in both the precision and openness of corporate disclosures, as the incorporation of AI-driven anomaly detection tools and automated workflows has led to a marked decline in reporting inaccuracies and a notable reduction in the risk of overlooked fraudulent activity within financial documentation—thereby enhancing the

perceived credibility of disclosed information among oversight institutions and capital market participants; at the same time, the computational capacity of AI to analyze and interpret unstructured datasets has introduced a new dimension of clarity to narrative components, enabling the systematic detection of linguistic inconsistencies and tonal shifts in managerial discourse, which in turn renders the qualitative aspects of financial reporting more subject to verification and trust—developments that possess both theoretical significance and practical utility, since they illustrate, through the lens of academic inquiry, how the synthesis of algorithmic logic with domain-specific accounting reasoning elevates the rigor and informational value of financial outputs, while concurrently streamlining operational processes, accelerating disclosure cycles, lowering compliance expenditures, and reinforcing investor assurance, with organizations that integrate AI into reporting frameworks often reaping benefits not limited to regulatory simplification but extending into enhanced strategic insight grounded in timely, well-structured analytical inputs.

At its core, the accumulated evidence highlights that the effective deployment of intelligent systems hinges on the presence of robust institutional oversight, as the entities realizing the most pronounced benefits from AI are characteristically those that embed strong supervisory mechanisms to ensure that algorithmic processes operate with transparency, ethical accountability, and alignment to evolving statutory requirements—thereby positioning such firms as exemplars of conscientious technological adoption; from this evolving landscape, several key implications arise for both academic theorists and financial practitioners: first, the use of AI in disclosure processes presents an opportunity for competitive differentiation by elevating informational reliability and potentially lowering firms' capital costs through enhanced reputational trust; second, the indispensable role of human expertise in interpreting algorithmic outputs underscores that the future of financial analytics lies not in replacing analysts, but in fostering symbiotic collaboration between technical systems and professional judgment; and third, there remains a vital need for ongoing exploration and regulatory refinement across domains such as AI-based audit practices, the development of explainable AI within financial contexts, and the market's reception of machine-assisted reporting—each representing a foundational element in shaping and stabilizing this technological evolution.

Ultimately, artificial intelligence has progressed beyond theoretical exploration and now functions as a catalytic force reshaping how entities gather, organize, and present performance metrics, with clear evidence pointing to improvements in both factual accuracy and disclosure transparency that suggest a forward trajectory: when applied with thoughtful oversight and strategic intent, AI becomes an embedded safeguard for financial veracity, and as its adoption widens, financial communication is expected to become increasingly immediate, precise, and analytically rich—developments that offer the potential to significantly bolster market functionality and societal trust in corporate economic narratives; the primary challenge, however, lies in governing this transformation through the development of professional competencies, adaptive policy frameworks, and shared implementation models to ensure that digital advances continue to promote both informational quality and institutional accountability in parallel.

References

1. Alzeghoul, A., & Alsharari, N. M. (2025). Impact of AI disclosure on the financial reporting and performance as evidence from US banks. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18*(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18010004
2. Antwi, B., Adelakun, B., & Eziefule, A. (2024). Transforming financial reporting with AI: Enhancing accuracy and timeliness. *International Journal of Advanced Economics, 6*(6), 205–223. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijae.v6i6.1229
3. Celestin, P., & Mishra, A. (2025). The digital transformation of financial disclosure: How emerging technologies are revolutionizing corporate transparency and investor trust. *Journal of Advanced Research in Operational and Marketing Management, 11*, 11–25. https://doi.org/10.24321/2582.5399.202502
4. Choi, J. H., & Xie, C. (2025, May 3). *Human + AI in accounting: Early evidence from the field* (Stanford University Graduate School of Business Research Paper No. 4261, MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 7280-25). SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5240924

5. KPMG. (2023). *AI and financial reporting survey*. https://kpmg.com/xx/en/our-insights/ai-and-technology/kpmg-global-ai-in-finance-report.html

6. Proothi, M., & Crowther-Green, K. (n.d.). *AI in finance: What's just hype and what's actually working?* IBM. https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-finance-myths

7. Shaban, O. S., & Omoush, A. (2025). AI-driven financial transparency and corporate governance: Enhancing accounting practices with evidence from Jordan. *Sustainability, 17*(9), 3818. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17093818

8. Vuković, D. B., Dekpo-Adza, S., & Matović, S. (2025). AI integration in financial services: A systematic review of trends and regulatory challenges. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 12*, 562. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04850-8