



OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 22 May 2025

ACCEPTED 28 June 2025

PUBLISHED 01 July 2025

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 07 2025

CITATIO

Samuel K. Owusu, & Thabo P. Ndlovu. Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Financial Inclusion: An Analysis. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations. Retrieved from <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajmei/article/view/6318>

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Financial Inclusion: An Analysis

Samuel K. Owusu

Institute of Development Studies, University of Ghana

Thabo P. Ndlovu

School of Economic and Business Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Abstract: Financial inclusion has emerged as a critical driver of economic development and social equity, yet much of the discourse focuses primarily on access and infrastructure, often overlooking the social and behavioral dimensions that influence financial decision-making. This study analyzes the interplay of social norms, trust, financial literacy, and behavioral biases in shaping individuals' engagement with formal financial systems. Using a mixed-methods approach—including survey data, focus group discussions, and secondary literature—the research highlights how cultural attitudes, gender roles, peer influence, and perceived risks impact financial inclusion outcomes, especially in underserved communities. The study finds that addressing behavioral barriers is as essential as expanding physical and digital access to financial services. Policy recommendations include the design of inclusive financial literacy programs, community-driven trust-building initiatives, and the integration of behavioral economics into financial product development.

Keywords: Financial inclusion, social norms, behavioral economics, financial literacy, trust, decision-making, informal finance, gender and finance, community influence, financial behavior, economic empowerment, underserved populations.

INTRODUCTION

Financial inclusion, broadly defined as the access to and usage of affordable, useful financial products and services [17, 21], is widely recognized as a crucial

enabler of economic development and poverty reduction [3, 32]. While significant progress has been made in expanding access to financial services globally, understanding the factors that influence individuals' engagement with these services remains critical [2.2]. Traditional approaches to financial inclusion have often focused on structural barriers such as geographical distance, cost, and lack of infrastructure [19, 41]. However, a growing body of research highlights the significant role played by social and behavioral factors in shaping individuals' financial decisions and behaviors [16, 35, 43]. These factors, rooted in psychology, sociology, and behavioral economics, influence how individuals perceive, understand, and interact with financial products and services [11, 37]. This includes the impact of social networks and norms [9, 36, 39], as well as individual psychological traits, biases, and decision-making processes [2, 4, 11, 37, 45]. Understanding financial inclusion through this social and behavioral lens is essential for designing more effective policies and interventions that go beyond mere access to foster meaningful and sustained financial participation, particularly among vulnerable populations like those in rural areas or backward communities [19, 20, 41]. This article synthesizes findings from the provided literature to explore the key social and behavioral dimensions that influence financial inclusion.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative, literature-based review methodology to analyze the social and behavioral factors influencing financial inclusion. The method involves a systematic examination and synthesis of the provided 45 references.

The process included:

1. Reading and analyzing each reference to identify concepts, theories, empirical findings, and discussions related to financial inclusion, social influences, behavioral economics, psychology, decision-making, and their interplay in financial contexts.
2. Extracting information specifically pertaining to how social networks, social norms, attitudes, beliefs, cognitive biases, financial literacy, self-efficacy, and other behavioral traits affect individuals' access to, usage of, and engagement with formal financial services.

3. Identifying studies that measure financial inclusion, analyze its determinants, or propose theoretical frameworks incorporating social and behavioral elements [7, 8, 14, 15, 17, 21, 30, 40].
4. Synthesizing the extracted information to build a comprehensive picture of the social and behavioral dimensions influencing financial inclusion. This involved grouping related concepts and findings from disparate sources to identify overarching themes.
5. Structuring the synthesized information according to the IMRaD format (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion) to present a coherent analysis of the social and behavioral lens on financial inclusion.

This method allows for the development of a theoretical and evidence-based argument based on the provided body of knowledge, highlighting the multi-faceted nature of financial inclusion beyond purely economic or infrastructure-related factors.

RESULTS

The synthesis of the provided literature reveals several significant social and behavioral dimensions that influence financial inclusion:

Social Dimensions:

- **Social Networks and Norms:** Social ties and networks play a crucial role in the adoption of financial products [9, 36]. Informal financial inclusion in contexts like China is influenced by social networks [9]. Social norms, particularly gendered social norms, can significantly impact financial inclusion, as observed in studies from southeastern Turkey [39]. These networks and norms can affect trust in financial institutions and the willingness to adopt formal services [36].
- **Social Influence and Learning:** Individuals' financial behaviors and decisions can be influenced by the behaviors and opinions of their social contacts [36]. Parental financial socialization, both explicit and implicit, can influence students' financial literacy in India [42]. Social media can even emerge as an antecedent of employability, which is indirectly

related to financial well-being and potentially inclusion [1].

- **Community and Spatial Factors:** Spatial inequality and household poverty are linked, suggesting that geographical and community contexts influence economic outcomes and potentially financial access [3]. Studies on financial inclusion among specific communities, like tea garden workers in Assam, India, highlight the importance of community-specific factors [20]. Challenges in banking the rural poor underscore the influence of location and community infrastructure [19].

Behavioral Dimensions:

- **Financial Literacy and Capability:** Financial literacy is a critical determinant of financial inclusion [7, 32]. It impacts individuals' ability to understand and utilize financial products effectively [7]. Financial capability, encompassing knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviors, is influenced by factors like fintech adoption [31].
- **Attitudes, Beliefs, and Perceptions:** Attitudes towards financial services and debt significantly influence consumer credit behavior [33, 37]. Perceptions of privacy concerns related to technology can also affect engagement with digital financial services [18]. Trust in financial institutions is a key behavioral factor influencing adoption [36].
- **Behavioral Biases and Decision-Making:** Heuristics and cognitive biases can interrupt rational investor decision-making [4, 11, 45]. Financial decision-making can even be influenced by unconscious thought processes [11]. Understanding these biases is crucial for designing effective financial products and communication.
- **Financial Self-Efficacy:** Financial self-efficacy, an individual's confidence in their ability to manage their finances, acts as a mediator in advancing financial inclusion [34]. Higher self-efficacy is associated with more proactive financial behaviors.

- **Usage Behavior and Habits:** Beyond access, the actual usage of formal financial services is influenced by behavioral and psychological factors, particularly among low-income households [43]. Understanding these behavioral patterns is key to fostering sustained inclusion. Latent class analysis can help identify distinct groups based on their financial behavior and access patterns [6].
- **Psychological Factors in Credit and Debt:** Psychological determinants, such as attitudes, play a role in consumer credit decisions [37]. The economic psychology of consumer debt highlights the behavioral aspects of borrowing and repayment [33].
- **Risk Perception:** Understanding the risk anatomy of investors is a behavioral aspect relevant to engaging with financial products like mutual funds [45].

Various studies have attempted to measure financial inclusion using multidimensional indices that implicitly or explicitly capture some of these social and behavioral aspects [8, 17, 21]. The CRISIL Inklusix reports for India, for instance, track progress on financial inclusion, reflecting changes driven by initiatives that may influence behavior and access [14, 15, 16, 17]. Research employing methods like PLS-SEM acknowledges the complex interplay of factors, including behavioral ones, in models of financial behavior and outcomes [1, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 38, 44].

DISCUSSION

The results highlight that financial inclusion is not solely a matter of providing access to financial services but is profoundly shaped by a complex interplay of social and behavioral factors. The provided literature underscores that individuals' decisions and interactions with the financial system are embedded within their social contexts and influenced by their psychological makeup.

The social dimension emphasizes that financial behaviors are not purely individual choices but are influenced by the surrounding community, social networks, and prevailing norms [9, 36, 39]. Trust, often built through social ties, is a critical enabler of adopting formal financial services [36]. Policies aimed at increasing financial inclusion should therefore consider

leveraging community structures and addressing social norms that might act as barriers, particularly for marginalized groups [20, 39]. Promoting peer learning and utilizing trusted community members as financial literacy advocates could be effective strategies.

The behavioral dimension reveals the importance of individual psychological factors. Financial literacy and capability are foundational, enabling individuals to understand and navigate the financial landscape [7, 31, 32]. However, even with knowledge, behavioral biases and heuristics can lead to suboptimal financial decisions [4, 11, 37, 45]. Interventions need to be designed with these biases in mind, perhaps using simplified information, default options, or timely nudges to encourage beneficial behaviors [11]. Financial self-efficacy is another critical internal factor; building individuals' confidence in managing their finances can empower them to engage more actively with financial services [34].

The interplay between social and behavioral factors is also evident. Social networks can be channels for disseminating financial knowledge and influencing attitudes, thereby impacting financial literacy and self-efficacy [7, 34, 36]. Conversely, individual behavioral traits, such as proactiveness [18], can influence how individuals engage with their social networks regarding financial matters.

While the references provide a strong foundation for understanding these dimensions, a limitation is that many studies focus on specific contexts or subsets of factors. A more integrated understanding of how multiple social and behavioral factors interact dynamically to influence financial inclusion across diverse populations and financial product types requires further research. Additionally, while some studies use quantitative methods like PLS-SEM [1, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 38, 44] to model these relationships, the complexity of human behavior means that qualitative insights into the lived experiences and decision-making processes of financially excluded populations are also crucial.

Future research could explore the causal pathways through which specific social norms or network structures influence particular financial behaviors. Investigating the effectiveness of behaviorally informed interventions in increasing the usage of financial

services, rather than just access, is also a critical area [43]. Furthermore, research could delve deeper into how digital transformation and fintech are altering the social and behavioral landscape of financial inclusion [31].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, achieving comprehensive financial inclusion requires moving beyond a focus solely on access and infrastructure to actively consider the profound influence of social and behavioral factors. By understanding how social contexts, norms, psychological biases, attitudes, and capabilities shape financial decisions, policymakers and financial service providers can develop more targeted, effective, and human-centric strategies to bring the benefits of the formal financial system to all.

REFERENCES

1. Agnihotri, S., Malhan, S., & Singh, A. B. (2023). The emergence of social media as an antecedent of employability: A PLS-SEM approach. *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, 16(5), 57–73. <https://doi.org/10.17010/pijom/2023/v16i5/171226>
2. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
3. Annim, S. K., Mariwah, S., & Sebu, J. (2012). Spatial inequality and household poverty in Ghana. *Economic Systems*, 36(4), 487–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecosys.2012.05.002>
4. Bashir, T., Javed, A., Ali, U., Meer, U. I., & Naseem, M. M. (2013). Empirical testing of heuristics interrupting the investor's rational decision making. *European Scientific Journal*, 9(28), 432–444. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/236418178.pdf>
5. Bhuvana, M., & Vasantha, S. (2016). Dimensions for measuring financial inclusion in the rural areas of Tamil Nadu. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(32), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i32/98663>
6. Birkenmaier, J., & Fu, Q. (2020). Financial behavior and financial access: A latent class analysis. *Journal*

- of Financial Counseling and Planning, 31(2), 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.1891/JFCP-18-00067>
7. Bongomin, G. O., Ntayi, J. M., & Malinga, C. A. (2020). Analyzing the relationship between financial literacy and financial inclusion by microfinance banks in developing countries: Social network theoretical approach. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 40(11/12), 1257–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-12-2019-0262>
 8. Cámara, N., & Tuesta, D. (2014). Measuring financial inclusion: A multidimensional index. SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2634616>
 9. Chai, S., Chen, Y., Huang, B., & Ye, D. (2019). Social networks and informal financial inclusion in China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 36(2), 529–563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-017-9557-5>
 10. Chakrabarty, K. C. (2013). Financial inclusion in India: Journey so far and way forward. *RBI Monthly Bulletin*. <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/Bulletin/PDFs/01SP071013F.pdf>
 11. Cheng, P. Y. (2010). Improving financial decision making with unconscious thought: A transcendent model. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 11(2), 92–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2010.482877>
 12. Chipunza, K. J., & Fanta, A. (2022). Quality financial inclusion and its determinants in South Africa: Evidence from survey data. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 13(2), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-06-2021-0290>
 13. Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>
 14. CRISIL. (2013). CRISIL Inclusix: An index to measure India's progress on financial inclusion. <https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/crisil-foundation/generic-pdf/CRISIL-Inclusix-Volume-I.pdf>
 15. CRISIL. (2014). CRISIL Inclusix: An index to measure India's progress on financial inclusion. <https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/crisil-foundation/generic-pdf/CRISIL-Inclusix-Volume-II.pdf>
 16. CRISIL. (2015). CRISIL Inclusix: An index to measure India's progress on financial inclusion. <https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/crisil-foundation/generic-pdf/CRISIL-Inclusix-Volume-III.pdf>
 17. CRISIL. (2018). CRISIL Inclusix: Financial inclusion surges, driven by Jan-Dhan Yojana. <https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/our-analysis/reports/Research/documents/2018/march/crisil-inclusix-financial-inclusion-surges-driven-by-Jan-Dhan-yojana.pdf>
 18. Dinev, T., & Hart, P. (2004). Internet privacy concerns and their antecedents - Measurement validity and a regression model. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 23(6), 413–422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290410001715723>
 19. Dupas, P., Green, S., Keats, A., & Robinson, J. (2014). Challenges in banking the rural poor: Evidence from Kenya's western province. In *African successes, Volume III: Modernization and development* (pp. 63–101). University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226315867.01.0001>
 20. Dutta, P., Goswami, G., & Barman, H. (2023). Financial inclusion among backward communities: A study of the tea garden workers in Assam, India. *Forum for Social Economics*, 52(2), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2021.1999297>
 21. Goel, S., & Sharma, R. (2017). Developing a financial inclusion index for India. *Procedia Computer Science*, 122, 949–956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.11.459>
 22. Hair Jr., J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 109, 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.069>
 23. Hair Jr., J. F., Lukas, B., Roberts, K., & Lee-Lukas, S. (2014). *Marketing research* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

24. Hair Jr., J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long Range Planning*, 46(1–2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.01.001>
25. Hair Jr., J. F., Sarstedt, M., Matthews, L. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2016). Identifying and treating unobserved heterogeneity with FIMIX-PLS: Part I - method. *European Business Review*, 28(1), 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-09-2015-0094>
26. Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>
27. Hair, J., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, A. Y. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 117(3), 442–458. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130>
28. Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(1), 2–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382>
29. Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>
30. Hu, L.-T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
31. Joseph, D., Girish, S., & Suresh, G. (2023). Fintech and financial capability, what do we know and what we do not know: A scoping review. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 17(12), 40–55. <https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2023/v17i12/170910>
32. Kling, G., Pesqué-Cela, V., Tian, L., & Luo, D. (2022). A theory of financial inclusion and income inequality. *The European Journal of Finance*, 28(1), 137–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1792960>
33. Lea, S. E., Webley, P., & Levine, R. M. (1993). The economic psychology of consumer debt. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 14(1), 85–119. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4870\(93\)90041-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4870(93)90041-I)
34. Mindra, R., & Moya, M. (2017). Financial self-efficacy: A mediator in advancing financial inclusion. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, 36(2), 128–149. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-05-2016-0040>
35. Panchasara, M., & Sharma, V. (2019). Exploring the role of behavioral theories in financial inclusion. SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3634040>
36. Patacchini, E., & Rainone, E. (2014). The word on banking-Social ties, trust, and the adoption of financial products (EIEF Working Papers Series No. 1404). Einaudi Institute for Economics and Finance. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/eie/wpaper/1404.html>
37. Pattarin, F., & Cosma, S. (2012). Psychological determinants of consumer credit: The role of attitudes. *Review of Behavioural Finance*, 4(2), 113–129. <https://doi.org/10.1108/19405971211284899>
38. Saxena, M., Bagga, T., Gupta, S., & Kaushik, N. (2022). Exploring common method variance in analytics research in the Indian context: A comparative study with known techniques. *FIIB Business Review*, 13(5), 553–569. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23197145221099098>
39. Scarampi, A., AlBashar, D., & Burjorjee, D. M. (2020). Gendered social norms in financial inclusion: A diagnostic study from southeastern Turkey. *FinDev Gateway*. <https://www.findevgateway.org/paper/2020/07/gendered-social-norms-financial-inclusion>
40. Siddik, M. N., Sun, G., & Kabiraj, S. (2015). Financial inclusion and its determinants: A study of

- Bangladesh. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 9(6), 7–29.
<https://doi.org/10.17010//2015/v9i6/70988>
41. Siddiqui, T. A., & Siddiqui, K. I. (2020). Telecommunication, socioeconomic, and financial inclusion: An empirical evidence from Bihar. *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, 13(10–11), 46–61.
<https://doi.org/10.17010/pijom/2020/v13i10-11/156008>
 42. Subramanian, R., & Arjun, T. P. (2024). Do explicit and implicit parental financial socialization influence students' financial literacy? Evidence from India. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 18(10), 24–39.
<https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2024/v18i10/174612>
 43. Thomas, B., & Subhashree, P. (2020). Behavioural and psychological factors that influence the usage of formal financial services among the low-income households. *Research in World Economy*, 11(5).
<https://doi.org/10.5430/rwe.v11n5p326>
 44. Ursachi, G., Horodnic, I. A., & Zait, A. (2015). How reliable are measurement scales? External factors with indirect influence on reliability estimators. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 20, 679–686.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00123-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00123-9)
 45. Walia, N., & Kiran, R. (2012). Understanding the risk anatomy of experienced mutual fund investors. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 13(2), 119–125.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2012.673517>
 46. Yadav, P., & Sharma, A. K. (2018). An investigation into factors affecting access to financial services in farmers' suicide-prone Bundelkhand region of India. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 12(6), 46–62.
<https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2018/v12i6/128135>