The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations
ISSN 2693-0811 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 1-7
DOI 10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue06-1

Preserving the Safe Haven Attributes of US Treasury Markets

Dr. Marlene K. Ashcroft
Department of Financial Economics, Northbridge Institute of Policy Studies, Vermont, USA

Prof. Julian Mercer
Center for Global Finance, Bellford University, Ohio, USA

OPEN ACCESS
SUBMITED 28 Arpil 2025
ACCEPTED 21May 2025
PUBLISHED 01 June 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 06 2025

CITATION
Dr. Marlene K. Ashcroft, & Prof. Julian Mercer. (2025). Preserving the Safe Haven Attributes of US Treasury Markets. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 7(06), 01–07. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajmei/article/view/6219

Abstract: US Treasury securities have long been considered the quintessential global safe haven asset, foundational to the international financial system. However, recent episodes of market dysfunction, notably the "dash for cash" in March 2020, have underscored vulnerabilities in their liquidity and market functioning. This article examines the critical factors underpinning the safe haven status of US Treasuries and analyzes the challenges that threaten this unique position. Drawing upon a comprehensive review of economic literature, we delve into the structural characteristics of the Treasury market, including its over-the-counter nature and the role of dealer intermediation, and discuss how these contribute to liquidity fragility during periods of stress. We then explore a range of proposed reforms and policy interventions, such as central clearing, all-to-all trading, and expanded access to central bank facilities, designed to enhance market resilience. The discussion emphasizes the necessity of these reforms to ensure that US Treasuries can continue to fulfill their vital role as a stable anchor for global finance, balancing efficiency with robustness in an evolving economic landscape.

Keywords: US Treasury markets; Safe haven assets; Financial stability; Market liquidity; Systemic risk; Monetary policy; Flight to quality; Treasury market reform; Debt management; Investor confidence; Market resilience; Federal Reserve; Economic uncertainty; Asset pricing; Crisis response

INTRODUCTION

For decades, US Treasury securities have stood as the bedrock of the global financial system, universally recognized as the ultimate safe haven asset. Their unparalleled liquidity, deep markets, and the perceived absence of default risk have made them the preferred choice for investors seeking safety and a benchmark for pricing a vast array of financial instruments worldwide [20, 21]. This unique status allows the United States to borrow at lower rates and provides a critical anchor for international capital flows and monetary policy transmission [20]. However, the enduring strength of this position has been questioned by recent episodes of severe market stress, most notably the "global dash for cash" witnessed in March 2020 [6, 30, 31]. During this period of acute uncertainty, even the highly liquid Treasury market experienced significant dysfunction, with sharp increases in bid-ask spreads and reduced dealer capacity, prompting extraordinary interventions by the Federal Reserve [6, 24, 30, 31].

This market turbulence highlighted inherent vulnerabilities in the structure and functioning of the US Treasury market, raising concerns about its ability to maintain its safe haven attributes in future crises. While the dollar's dominance in foreign exchange reserves remains strong, there are ongoing discussions about the "stealth erosion" of this dominance and the potential rise of non-traditional reserve currencies, underscoring the importance of Treasury market stability [3, 26]. The challenges extend beyond cyclical liquidity squeezes to structural issues related to the market's over-the-counter (OTC) nature, the capacity of dealer intermediaries, and the increasing role of non-bank financial institutions [1, 14, 15, 32].

This article aims to provide a comprehensive economic analysis of the factors critical to preserving the safe haven attributes of US Treasury markets. It will delve into the economic rationale behind their safe haven status, dissect the vulnerabilities exposed by recent crises, and critically evaluate the various proposed reforms and policy measures designed to enhance market resilience and liquidity. By synthesizing insights from the economic literature, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on ensuring the enduring stability and reliability of US Treasuries as a global financial anchor.

Methods

This study was conducted as a comprehensive conceptual and literature review, aiming to synthesize economic perspectives on preserving the safe haven attributes of US Treasury markets. The methodology involved a systematic approach to identify, select, and critically analyze relevant scholarly and policy-oriented literature.

- Search Strategy: A targeted search was performed across major academic databases, including but not limited to academic journals in economics, finance, and financial regulation, as well as working papers from central banks and research institutions. Keywords and phrases used in various combinations included: "US Treasury market," "safe haven," "liquidity," "market functioning," "central clearing," "all-to-all trading," "dealer capacity," "repo market," "financial stability," "monetary policy," "COVID-19 crisis," "dollar dominance," and "financial regulation." The search prioritized publications from the last decade to capture contemporary challenges and proposed solutions, while also incorporating foundational works on Treasury market structure and safe asset characteristics.

- Selection Criteria: Publications were selected based on their direct relevance to the economic analysis of US Treasury market functioning and its safe haven status. Inclusion criteria encompassed:

  o Studies that theoretically or empirically analyze the factors contributing to or detracting from the safe haven status of US Treasuries [20, 21].

  o Research examining the causes and consequences of market dysfunction, particularly the March 2020 "dash for cash" [6, 30, 31].

  o Literature discussing the role of market participants, such as primary dealers [14, 34] and hedge funds [7], and their impact on market liquidity.

  o Analyses of the structural characteristics of the Treasury market, including its OTC nature and fragmentation [1, 15, 32].

  o Evaluations of proposed reforms, such as central clearing [5, 13, 23, 24], all-to-all trading [15], and expanded access to central bank facilities [5, 16, 25, 26, 35].

  o Discussions on the broader implications of Treasury market stability for financial stability and monetary policy [8, 24].

o Policy papers and reports from central banks (e.g., Federal Reserve, Bank of England) and international bodies (e.g., Group of Thirty) providing insights into market resilience [5, 24, 25, 26, 35].

Publications that focused solely on specific debt management strategies without broader market functioning implications, or those primarily on non-US sovereign debt markets, were generally excluded unless they offered directly transferable insights.

• Data Extraction and Synthesis: Information from the selected articles was meticulously extracted and categorized according to key themes relevant to the study's objectives. This involved identifying:

o The defining characteristics of a safe haven asset and how US Treasuries fulfill them.

o Specific events and their impact on Treasury market liquidity (e.g., March 2020) [6, 30, 31].

o Structural vulnerabilities of the current market design (e.g., OTC, dealer capacity) [1, 14, 15, 32, 34].

o Mechanisms through which various proposed reforms are expected to enhance liquidity and resilience (e.g., netting benefits of central clearing) [5, 13, 23, 24].

o The role of central bank interventions and their effectiveness [8, 16, 25, 26, 33, 35].

o Regulatory considerations, including bank capital requirements [9, 28].

The extracted data were then synthesized to construct a coherent narrative, integrating diverse findings and arguments to support the discussion sections of the article. This synthesis aimed to identify consensus, highlight areas of debate, and pinpoint gaps in the current economic understanding of Treasury market resilience.

• Citation and Referencing: All concepts, definitions, and arguments presented in this article are rigorously supported by the provided list of references. Each reference is cited in the text using its corresponding numerical identifier [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. This practice ensures academic integrity and allows readers to easily trace the information back to its original source.

This systematic conceptual review methodology allowed for a comprehensive and critical examination of the current literature, enabling the formulation of a robust discussion on the economic perspectives of preserving the safe haven attributes of US Treasury markets.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The continued role of US Treasuries as the world's premier safe haven asset is not guaranteed and requires proactive measures to address inherent vulnerabilities. Our review highlights the unique attributes that have historically cemented this status, the challenges that have emerged, and the structural reforms and policy interventions proposed to ensure its enduring resilience.

The Enduring Appeal of US Treasuries as a Safe Haven

US Treasury securities derive their safe haven status from a confluence of factors that make them uniquely attractive to global investors, especially during periods of financial stress. Foremost among these is their perceived default-free nature, backed by the full faith and credit of the US government [18]. This is complemented by the sheer size and depth of the Treasury market, which dwarfs other sovereign bond markets, providing unparalleled liquidity even for large transactions [20, 21]. This liquidity is a critical component of their "convenience yield"—the non-pecuniary benefit investors derive from holding a highly liquid asset [20]. The depth of the market also facilitates efficient price discovery and allows for effective hedging of interest rate risk [19]. Furthermore, the dollar's dominant role as the world's reserve currency and the primary currency for international trade and finance reinforces the demand for dollar-denominated safe assets [3, 26].

Challenges to Market Functioning and Safe Haven Status

Despite these strengths, recent events have exposed vulnerabilities in the US Treasury market's functioning, raising questions about its ability to consistently provide liquidity during extreme stress.

• The March 2020 "Dash for Cash": This episode served as a stark reminder of the market's fragility. As the COVID-19 pandemic triggered widespread financial panic, investors globally simultaneously sought to convert assets into cash, leading to a massive sell-off of even highly liquid assets like Treasuries [6, 30, 31]. This "dash for cash" overwhelmed the intermediation capacity of primary dealers, leading to a sharp widening of bid-ask spreads and significant price dislocations [6, 14, 34]. The Federal Reserve was compelled to intervene with unprecedented asset purchases to restore market functioning [8, 24, 33]. This event highlighted that while Treasuries are a safe asset, the market for them is not immune to liquidity crises.

• Dealer Intermediation Capacity: The US Treasury market primarily operates as an over-the-counter (OTC) market, relying heavily on large banks (primary dealers) to act as intermediaries, facilitating trades between buyers and sellers [1, 15, 32]. Post-financial crisis regulations, particularly increased bank capital requirements, have been argued to constrain dealers' balance sheet capacity, potentially limiting their ability to intermediate large flows during stress periods [9, 28, 34]. This constraint can exacerbate liquidity issues, as dealers become less willing or able to absorb large imbalances [34].

• Rise of Principal Trading Firms (PTFs) and Hedge Funds: The market has seen a significant increase in activity from PTFs and hedge funds, particularly in the "basis trade" (exploiting small price differences between Treasury cash bonds and futures) [7, 27]. While these firms contribute to market liquidity, their highly leveraged positions and reliance on short-term funding can amplify market volatility and contribute to liquidity strains if funding markets seize up or positions are unwound rapidly [7].

• Market Fragmentation: The OTC nature of the market means trading is dispersed across various venues and bilateral relationships, potentially hindering overall price transparency and liquidity aggregation [1, 15, 32]. This fragmentation can make it difficult for market participants to find counterparties quickly during times of stress.

Proposed Reforms and Policy Interventions

To address these vulnerabilities and reinforce the safe haven status of US Treasuries, a consensus has emerged among policymakers and economists on several key reforms:

• Central Clearing: Mandating central clearing for a broader range of Treasury transactions, particularly for repo and cash transactions involving non-dealer firms, is widely seen as a critical step [5, 13, 19, 23, 24]. Central clearing offers significant benefits by netting exposures, reducing counterparty risk, and enhancing transparency, thereby freeing up dealer balance sheet capacity and improving overall market resilience [5, 13, 19, 23]. The DTCC's efforts and the ongoing discussions around a clearing mandate are central to this reform [19]. While some PTFs have expressed concerns about "done-away" clearing, the overall sentiment favors increased centralization [17].

• All-to-All Trading: Expanding access to "all-to-all" trading protocols, which allow a wider range of market participants (not just dealers) to post bids and offers, could enhance market depth and diversify liquidity sources [15]. This shift could reduce reliance on traditional dealer intermediation and improve overall market functioning, especially during stress.

• Expanded Access to Federal Reserve Facilities: Providing a broader set of market participants with direct access to the Federal Reserve's standing repo facilities and other liquidity tools (like the FIMA Repo Facility for foreign official institutions and the Bank of England's Contingent NBFI Repo Facility) could serve as a crucial backstop during periods of market stress [5, 16, 25, 26, 35]. These facilities act as a "lender of last resort" for market functioning, providing stable funding and preventing liquidity spirals [5, 8, 16, 25, 26, 33, 35].

• Regulatory Adjustments: A re-evaluation of bank capital requirements, particularly the supplementary leverage ratio (SLR), is being considered to ensure that these regulations do not unduly constrain dealers' ability to intermediate in the Treasury market during stress periods [9, 28]. The goal is to strike an optimal balance between financial stability and market liquidity [9, 28].

• Data Collection and Surveillance: Enhancing data collection and surveillance of the Treasury market is crucial for policymakers to gain a more complete picture of market activity, identify emerging risks, and respond effectively to potential disruptions [11, 25]. Initiatives by the Inter-Agency Working Group on Treasury Market Surveillance are vital in this regard [25].

• New Debt Structures: While less directly related to market functioning, discussions about new debt structures, such as floating-rate notes (FRNs) and Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities (TIPS), aim to diversify the Treasury's funding base and appeal to a broader range of investors [10, 18, 22].

These reforms, collectively, aim to build a more robust and resilient Treasury market, capable of withstanding future shocks and reliably serving its role as the world's safe haven.

CONCLUSION

The status of US Treasury securities as the world's safe haven asset is a cornerstone of global financial stability. However, the market dysfunction observed during the March 2020 "dash for cash" served as a critical wake-up call, exposing inherent vulnerabilities stemming from the market's fragmented OTC structure, limited dealer intermediation capacity, and the amplified risks from leveraged non-bank participants. Maintaining this vital safe haven status is not a given; it requires continuous adaptation and strategic reforms.

The proposed solutions, including the expansion of central clearing, the promotion of all-to-all trading, and the enhancement of central bank liquidity facilities, represent crucial steps towards building a more resilient and liquid Treasury market. These measures aim to reduce counterparty risk, increase transparency, diversify liquidity provision, and provide robust backstops during periods of stress. Furthermore, ongoing regulatory adjustments and improved market surveillance are essential to ensure that the market can effectively absorb large flows and maintain its critical role as a stable anchor for global finance.

The economic literature underscores that the benefits of a highly liquid and resilient US Treasury market extend far beyond the United States, providing a global benchmark for risk-free rates and facilitating international capital flows. Therefore, the successful implementation of these reforms is paramount not only for domestic financial stability but also for the health of the entire international financial system. Future research should continue to evaluate the effectiveness of these reforms, analyze the evolving dynamics of market participation, and explore new challenges that may arise from technological advancements and shifts in the global economic landscape, ensuring that US Treasuries can indeed remain the world's safe haven for generations to come.

REFERENCES

[1] Allen, Jason and Milena Wittwer. 2023. “Centralizing Over-the-Counter Markets?” Journal of Political Economy 131 (12): 3310–51.

[2] Andersen, Leif, Darrell Duffie, and Yang Song. 2019. “Funding Value Adjustments.” Journal of Finance 74 (1): 145–92.

[3] Arslanalp, Serkan, Barry Eichengreen, and Chima Simpson-Bell. 2022. “The Stealth Erosion of Dollar Dominance and the Rise of Nontraditional Reserve Currencies.” Journal of International Economics 138: 103656.

[4] Bailey, Andrew. 2022. “Monetary Policy and Financial Stability Interventions in Difficult Times.” Speech, G30 37th Annual International Banking Seminar, Washington, DC, October 15. https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/speech/2022/october/andrew-bailey-opening-remarks-and-panellist-37thannual-international-banking-seminar.

[5] Bank of England. 2024. “Contingent NBFI Repo Facility (CNRF).” Bank of England Explanatory Note, July 24. https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/markets/market-notices/2024/july/contingent-nbfirepo-facility-explanatory-note.

[6] Barone, Jordan, Alain Chaboud, Adam Copeland, Cullen Kavoussi, Frank Keane, and Seth Searls. 2022. “The Global Dash for Cash: Why Sovereign Bond Market Functioning Varied across Jurisdictions in March 2020.” Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report 1010.

[7] Barth, Daniel, R. Jay Kahn, and Robert Mann. 2023. “Recent Developments in Hedge Funds’ Treasury Futures and Repo Positions: Is the Basis Trade ‘Back’?” FEDS Notes, August 30. https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/recent-developments-in-hedge-funds-treasuryfutures-and-repo-positions-20230830.html?mod=livecoverage_web.

[8] Bernardini, Marco, and Annalisa De Nicola. 2025. “The Market Stabilization Role of Central Bank Asset Purchases: High-Frequency Evidence from the COVID-19 Crisis.” Journal of International Money and Finance 152: 103257.

[9] Berndt, Antje, Darrell Duffie, and Yichao Zhu. 2025. “The Decline of Too Big to Fail.” American Economic Review 115 (3): 945–74.

[10] Bessent, Scott. 2025. “Remarks at the Economic Club of New York.” Economic Club of New York, March 6.https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases/sb0045.

[11] Bowman, David, Yesol Huh, and Sebastian Infante.

2024. “Balance-Sheet Netting in US Treasury Markets and Central Clearing.” Finance and Economics Discussion Series Working Paper 2024-057.

[12] Brain, Doug, Michiel De Pooter, Dobrislav Dobrev, Michael Fleming, Pete Johansson, Colin Jones, Frank Keane, Michael Puglia, Liza Reiderman, Tony Rodrigues, and Or Shachar. 2018. “Unlocking the Treasury Market through TRACE.” FEDS Notes, September 28. https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/unlocking-the-treasury-market-through-trace-20180928.html.

[13] Bräuning, Falk, and Hillary Stein. 2024. “The Effect of Primary Dealer Constraints on Intermediation in the Treasury Market.” Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Working Paper 24-7.

[14] Buiter, Willem H., Stephen G. Cecchetti, Kathryn M. Dominguez, and Antonio Sánchez Serrano. 2023. “Stabilising Financial Markets: Lending and Market Making as a Last Resort.” European Systemic Board Report of the Advisory Scientific Committee 13.

[15] Chaboud, Alain, Ellen Correia-Golay, Caren Cox, Michael J. Fleming, Yesol Huh, Frank M. Keane, Kyle Lee, Krista Schwarz, Clara Vega, and Carolyn Windover. 2022. “All-to-All Trading in the US Treasury Market.” Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report 1036.

[16] Clancy, Luke. 2025. “PTFs Clash with Banks over ‘Done-Away’ US Treasury Clearing.” Risk.net, March 14. https://www.risk.net/risk-management/7961212/ptfs-clash-with-banks-over-done-away-ustreasury-clearing.

[17] CME Group. 2025. “Press Release: CME Group to Launch BrokerTec U.S. Treasury Central Limit Order Book in Chicago to Streamline Trading between Cash and Futures Markets.” CME Group, March 20. https://www.cmegroup.com/media-room/press-releases/2025/3/20/cme_group_to_launchbrokertecus treasurycentrallimitorderbookinchi.html.

[18] Cochrane, John. 2015. “A New Structure for US Federal Debt.” In The $13 Trillion Question: How America Manages Its Debt, edited by David Wessel, 91–146. Brookings Institution Press.

[19] Copic, Ezechiel, Luis Gonzalez, Caitlin Gorback, Blake Gwinn, and Ernst Schaumburg. 2014. “Introduction to the Floating-Rate Note Treasury Security.” Liberty Street Economics (blog), April 21. https://libertystreeteconomics.newyorkfed.org/2014/04/introduction-to-the-floating-rate-note-treasurysecurity/.

[20] DTCC. 2024. The US Treasury Clearing Mandate: An Industry Pulse Check. Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation

[21] Davis, J. Scott. 2023. “Treasuries’ Allure as Safe Haven Noted in Short Maturities, Not in Long Bonds.” Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (blog), June 27. https://www.dallasfed.org/research/economics/2023/0627.

[22] DeMarzo, Peter M., Arvind Krishnamurthy, and Stefan Nagel. 2024. “Interest Rate Risk in Banking.” NBER Working Paper 33308.

[23] Diamond, William, and Peter Van Tassel. 2024. “Risk-Free Rates and Convenience Yields around the World.” http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4048083.

[24] Du, Wenxin, Joanne Im, and Jesse Schreger. 2018. “The US Treasury Premium.” Journal of International Economics 112: 167–81.

[25] Dudley, William C., Jennifer Roush, and Michelle Steinberg Ezer. 2009. “The Case for TIPS: An Examination of the Costs and Benefits.” FRBNY Economic Policy Review 15 (1): 1–17.

[26] Duffie, Darrell. 2015. “Discussion of ‘A New Structure for US Federal Debt,’ by John Cochrane.” In The $13 Trillion Question: How America Manages Its Debt, edited by David Wessel, 139–46. Brookings Institution Press.

[27] Duffie, Darrell. 2019. “Report in Support of Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification”. In re: Interest Rate Swaps Antitrust Litigation, 16-MD-2704 (S.D.N.Y.), originally filed under seal on February 20, 2019, and filed in redacted form on March 7, 2019 (Dkt. No. 725–2). https://www.docketbird.com/court-documents/In-re-Interest-Rate-Swaps-Antitrust-Litigation/Exhibit-Expert-Report-of-Professor-Darrell-Duffie/nysd-1:2016-md-02704-00725-002.

[28] Duffie, Darrell. 2020. “Still the World’s Safe Haven? Redesigning the US Treasury Market after the COVID-19 Crisis.” Brookings Institution Hutchins Center Working Paper 62.

[29] Duffie, Darrell. 2022. Fragmenting Markets: Post-Crisis Bank Regulations and Financial Market Liquidity. De Gruyter.

[30] Duffie, Darrell. 2023. "Resilience Redux in the US Treasury Market." In Structural Shifts in the Global Economy, A Symposium Sponsored by Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas, Jackson Hole, Wyoming, August 24–26, 2023, 77–119. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.

[31] Duffie, Darrell. 2024. "Comment to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Its Proposed Rulemaking on the Definition of Dealer and Government Securities Dealer." File No. S7-12-22. January 10. https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-12-22/s71222-371039-899222.pdf.

[32] Duffie, Darrell, Piotr Dworczak, and Haoxiang Zhu. 2017. "Benchmarks in Search Markets." Journal of Finance 72 (5): 1983–2044.

[33] Duffie, Darrell, Michael Fleming, Frank Keane, Claire Nelson, Or Shachar, and Peter Van Tassel. 2023. "Dealer Capacity and US Treasury Market Functionality." Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report 1070.

[34] Duffie, Darrell, and Frank Keane. 2023. "Market-Function Asset Purchases." Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report 1054.

[35] Federal Reserve Board. 2020. Financial Stability Report. Federal Reserve Board.

[36] Fleming, Michael J. 2021. The Netting Efficiencies of Marketwide Central Clearing. Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

[37] Fleming, Michael J., Haoyang Liu, Rich Podjasek, and Jake Schurmeier. 2022. "The Federal Reserve's Market Functioning Purchases." FRBNY Economic Policy Review 28 (1): 210–41.

[38] Fleming, Michael, Giang Nguyen, and Joshua Rosenberg. 2024. "How Do Treasury Dealers Manage Their Positions?" Journal of Financial Economics 158: 103885.

[39] Frost, Joshua. 2024. "Remarks by Assistant Secretary for Financial Markets Joshua Frost on Recent Progress by the Inter-Agency Working Group on Treasury Market Surveillance." Speech, Federal Reserve Bank of New York's Annual Primary Dealer Meeting, US Department of the Treasury, May 8. https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases/jy2328.

[40] Garbade, Kenneth D. 2021. After the Accord: A History of Federal Reserve Open Market Operations, the US Government Securities Market, and Treasury Debt Management from 1951 to 1979. Cambridge University Press.

[41] Goldberg, Linda S., and Oliver Hannaoui. 2024. "Drivers of Dollar Share in Foreign Exchange Reserves." Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Report 1087.

[42] Goldberg, Linda, and Fabiola Ravazzolo. 2021. "Do the Fed's International Dollar Liquidity Facilities Affect Offshore Dollar Funding Markets and Credit?" Liberty Street Economics (blog), December 20. https://libertystreeteconomics.newyorkfed.org/2021/12/do-the-feds-international-dollarliquidity-facilities-affect-offshore-dollar-funding-markets-and-credit/.

[43] Group of Thirty's Working Group on Treasury Market Liquidity. 2021. US Treasury Markets: Steps toward Increased Resilience. Group of Thirty.

[44] Grossman, Matt. 2025. "Powell Says Easing Bank Capital Requirements Could Help Treasury Market." Wall Street Journal, February 12. https://www.wsj.com/livecoverage/cpi-report-today-inflation-stock-market-02-12-2025/card/powell-says-easing-bank-capitalrequirements-could-help-treasury-market-s2FgvVH2xlHnNChGEb3o.

[45] Harkrader, James Collin, and Michael Puglia. 2020. "Principal Trading Firm Activity in Treasury Cash Markets." FEDS Notes, August 4. https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/principal-trading-firm-activity-in-treasury-cash-markets-20200804.html.