



**Copyright:** Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

## Method For Constructing Correlation Dependences For Functions Of Many Variables Used Finite Differences

**Sherali Baratovich Ochilov**

Ph.D., Professor, Department Of "Management", Bukhara Engineering Technological Institute, Republic Of Uzbekistan

**Gulrukh Djumanazarovna Khasanova**

Ph.D., Professor, Department Of "Management", Bukhara Engineering Technological Institute, Republic Of Uzbekistan

**Oisha Kurbanovna Khudayberdieva**

Assistant, Department Of "Management", Bukhara Engineering Technological Institute, Republic Of Uzbekistan

### ABSTRACT

The article considers a method for constructing correlation models for finite-type functions using a set of variables. The use of the method of unknown squares in the construction of correlation models and the construction of higher-quality models is also justified. The proposed correlation models are considered on the example of statistical data of the Bukhara region of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

### KEYWORDS

Correlation method, unknown squares method, functional dependence, forecasting, economic and mathematical models, operational factors, mathematical education, alternative method, traditional method

### INTRODUCTION

When it comes to the method of constructing correlation models, researchers usually use the method of unnamed squares and get the dependence  $Y = f(x)$ , but these obtained dependences do not give the real Parin of the actual process. Of course, correlation dependences are not functional dependence.

But sometimes the model values are so different from the real ones that make it difficult to use them in planning and forecasting practice, this explains the not very wide use of economic and mathematical models in forecasting practice and other calculations of the economy.

In our works [1,2,3,4], some attempts were made to obtain a better quality dependence of the type  $Y = f(x)$ ; namely, the rejection of traditional methods based on obtaining the relationship between the factors of feathering; namely, rejection of traditional methods based on obtaining the relationship between factors operating in advance known  $\beta x$  mathematical formulas such as  $Y = a_0 + Bx$ ,  $\gamma = Ae$ ,  $\gamma = A - Lnx$  etc. we proposed to find the relationship from the nature of the most data available to us. In mathematical analysis, we know that  $\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + \Delta x) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x} = f'(x_0)$  or  $\frac{f(x_0 + \Delta x) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x} \approx f'(x_0)$  in other words.

Instead of what we have  $y_i$  at our disposal, we can obtain finite differences of a certain order or we can calculate the value  $\frac{y_{i-1} - y_i}{\Delta x} \approx f'(x)$  Now, instead of getting the dependency  $Y = f(x)$ , we will build a function of  $\gamma^1 = g(x)$  based on this data. Integrating this function and solving the Cauchy problem, we obtain the desired correlation dependence of the type  $Y = f(x)$ .

We will consider the methodology for constructing correlations using the proposed method using a specific example, using the example of real statistical data of the Bukhara region of the Republic of Uzbekistan in the period 2014-2019.

Let  $Y = (t)$  the volume of GDP in the region  $x_1(t)$  - the number of households producing agricultural products (thousand)  $x_2(t)$  the volume of production by farms.

**All data for calculating carrying out in table No. 1 Table No. 1**

| t    | The volume of GDP Y | $\Delta X$<br>(thousand)<br>$x_1$ | $\phi x$<br>$x_2$ | $\Delta \gamma$<br>$(Y_{i-1} - Y_i)$ | $\Delta x_1$<br>$x_{i+1} - x_i$ | $\Delta x_2$<br>$x^2_{i+1} - x^2$ | $\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x_i}$ | $\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x_i}$ |
|------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2014 | 9723                | 401                               | 4301,8            | -                                    | -                               | -                                 | -                             | -                             |
| 2015 | 11816               | 409.7                             | 5267,8            | 2093                                 | 8,7                             | 965                               | 240,57                        | 2,17                          |
| 2016 | 13780               | 417,6                             | 6006,4            | 1964                                 | 7,9                             | 738,6                             | 248,6                         | 2,64                          |
| 2017 | 16504               | 421                               | 7713,9            | 2724                                 | 5                               | 1707                              | 544,8                         | 1,595                         |
| 2018 | 21158               | 430,8                             | 10003,5           | 4654                                 | 8,8                             | 2289                              | 528,86                        | 2,03                          |
| 2019 | 26695               | 438,5                             | 11262             | 5537                                 | 7,7                             | 1259                              | 719,1                         | 4,39                          |

For the  $\frac{\Delta Y_1}{\Delta x_1}$  calculation, we use the data in table No. 1 of the city (8) as well as columns (3) as. Using traditional methods, the dependence  $\frac{\Delta Y_1}{\Delta x_1} = 16,89 x_1 - 6702,46$ . was obtained. Integrating this under the condition  $Y(401) = 9723$  we get the dependence  $\frac{\Delta Y_1}{\Delta x_1} = 16,89 x_1 - 6702,46$ . This is integrated, provided  $Y(401) = 9723$  we get a real function.

$$Y_1 = 8,44 x_1^2 - 66702,46 x_1 + 1340249$$

A thorough methodology for calculating these functions is given in [1,2,3]

By repeating these calculation algorithms, we get  $Y_2 = 0,000117 x_2^2 + 0,682 x_2 + 4984$ .

Since  $dy(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial y(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} \Delta x_1 + \frac{\partial y(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} \Delta x_2$  we find the correlation function  $y(x_1; x_2)$  according to this formula. And so, the final dependency function is as follows.

$$y = 4,22 x_1^2 - 0,3351,23 x_1 + 0,0000585 x_2^2 + 0,341 x_2 + 672616,5 \quad (1)$$

Let's compare the calculated and actual values  $\gamma_p$  и  $\gamma_\phi$

**Table No. 2**

| $x_1$ | $x_2$  | $Y_p$    | $Y_\phi$ | $Y_p - Y_\phi$ |
|-------|--------|----------|----------|----------------|
| 409,7 | 5267,8 | 11381,5  | 11816    | 434,5          |
| 417,6 | 6006,4 | 13226,3  | 13780    | 553,5          |
| 422   | 7714   | 16023,5  | 16504    | 480,6          |
| 430,8 | 1003,5 | 21491,78 | 21158    | 333,7          |
| 438,5 | 11262  | 25793,3  | 26695    | 901,8          |

For traditional methods, we look for the dependence  $y = p(x_1; x_2)$  in the form  $y = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$  and find the specific form of the function  $\gamma$  by the name-squares method  $\gamma = -26477,3 + 68,2 x_1 + 1,94 x_2$  (2)

$$R = 0.98$$

And so we have two functions of the correlation dependence  $y = p(x_1, x_2)$  formula (1) is obtained by the proposed method and formula (2) by the traditional method. For a drive comparison, all the calculations in the following table are here  $\gamma_\phi$ - the actual value of GDP in the area,  $\gamma_p$ - obtained by the proposed method and the value  $\gamma_T$  – obtained by the traditional method

**Table No. 3**

| $x_1$  | $x_2$   | $\gamma_p$ | $\gamma_\phi$ | $\gamma_T$ | $\gamma_\phi - \gamma_p$ | $\gamma_\phi - \gamma_T$ |
|--------|---------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 401    | 430,8   | 9723       | 9903,8        | 9218,3     | 180,8                    | 504,6                    |
| 409,7  | 5287,8  | 11816      | 11381,5       | 11683,7    | 435,5                    | 132,2                    |
| 417,6  | 6006,4  | 13780      | 13226,3       | 13655,4    | 563,7                    | 124,5                    |
| 422    | 7713,9  | 16504      | 16423,4       | 17268,6    | 480,6                    | 764,06                   |
| 430,8  | 10003,5 | 21156      | 21491,7       | 22310,05   | 333,7                    | 115,05                   |
| 0438,5 | 11212   | 26695      | 25793         | 85276,6    | 901,8                    | 1418,32                  |

$$\text{Here } \frac{\sum |\gamma_\phi - \gamma_p|}{n} = 480 \text{ and } \frac{\sum |\gamma_\phi - \gamma_T|}{n} = 682,6$$

The proposed method is an alternative method for constructing correlation dependences to the existing ones.

### CONCLUSION

The main task set before us when writing work [1; 2; 3] and this work is the development of alternative methods to the existing one, such as the method of unnamed squares and others. Here, the correlation between the factors is not known in advance for specific mathematical functions, but is determined based on the nature of the available data.

In mathematical analysis it is assumed that the derivative function gives the saw characteristics of the antiderivative of the function. Fully determined by interns of growth and descent, maximum value and minimum value and minimum. And based on this, you can configure the very antiderivative function

without any difficulty. To solve many problems in mechanics and theoretical physics, to obtain the desired dependence  $Y = f(x)$ , the equation  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \varphi(x)$  or  $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = f(x)$  is first constructed.

Then, after integration, the desired dependence is obtained. So, for example, when obtaining a mixing formula for a mathematical pendulum, first one obtains an equation for accelerating a process of the type  $\ddot{X} = -Ax$ . And after twice integration, the mixing formulas  $X = A \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T} + \varphi\right) = A \sin(\omega t + \varphi_0)$  are obtained

The formulas for radioactive decay are obtained in the same way (M.S. Curie's Law).

$N_x = N_o * 2^{-\frac{t}{T}}$  where  $N_x$  is the number of radioactively non-herded atoms and  $N_o$  the number of atoms before the calculation of the process of herding. T-half-life. And  $N_o$  is determined by a simple  $N_o = \frac{m}{\mu} * N_a$  formula.

Based on the methods of obtaining functional dependencies in other industries at uni, we also wanted to apply this method in mathematical statistics. And the conducted research has shown the possibility and effectiveness of this attempt.

Here is one of the simplest examples of which gives hope to use the proposed method in mathematical statistics and econometrics.

Let the following data be obtained as a result of observation, which are given in the following table. The task is to obtain the dependence  $y = f(x)$  by the finite difference method.

| X | Y  | $\frac{dy}{dx}$ | $\frac{\Delta^2 y}{\Delta x^2}$ | $\frac{\Delta^3 y}{\Delta x^3}$ |
|---|----|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0 | 0  | -               |                                 |                                 |
| 1 | 1  | 1               |                                 |                                 |
| 2 | 8  | 07              | 6                               |                                 |
| 3 | 27 | 19              | 12                              | 6                               |
| 4 | 64 | 37              | 18                              | 6                               |

And so we have  $\frac{\Delta^3 y}{\Delta x^3} = 6$   
 With  $y''_{(0)} = 0$  or  
 $\frac{\Delta^2 y}{\Delta x^2} = 6x + c_1$  and  
 $y'_{(0)} = 0 = 6 * 0 + c_1 = 0$   
 $c_1 = 0$   
 Further  $\frac{\Delta^2 y}{\Delta x^2} = 6x$   $\frac{dy}{dx} = 6$   
 $* \frac{x^2}{2} + c_2$  and  $\frac{\Delta^2 y}{\Delta x^2} = 6x$   $\frac{dy}{dx} = 6$   
 $* \frac{x^2}{2} + c_2$  and  $y'(0) = 0$ ,  
 therefore  $y = x^3$ .

In our work, for simplicity, we basically stopped the computation process at the first step. And having received  $\frac{dy}{dx} = (x)$  immediately after integration, we obtained the required dependence  $y = f(x)$ . With

this method, as indicated above for the example of obtaining the dependence  $y = x^3$ , you can continue the calculation algorithm until  $\frac{\Delta^n y}{\Delta x^n} = \text{const}$  the return by integration has reached the goal.

Sometimes, stopping the process after receiving the data  $\frac{dy}{dx}$ , we apply some methods of obtaining correlation functions, for example, the method of least squares.

The authors propose in the future to increase the need for correlation functions to use a dependence of the type  $\frac{dy}{dx} = (px) = a_0 + a_1(x - x_1) + a_2(x - x_1)(x - x_2) + a_3(x - x_1)(x - x_2) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_{2n-1})$ .

The coefficients are calculated by simple methods of mathematical analysis. And after integration we get the required function. The only drawback of this approach is the difficulty of mathematical calculations.

## REFERENCES

1. Очиллов Ш.Б., Хасанова Г.Д. «Альтернативный метод построения корреляционных моделей» Academy № 6 (57), 2020 Российский импакт-фактор: 0,19 научно-методический журнал, 45-47-стр.
2. Ochilov Sh.B., Khasanova G.D. (Republic of Uzbekistan) Alternative method for construction of correlation models. LXIV "International correspondence scientific and practical conference «European research: innovation in science, education and technology» June 5-9, 2020 London, United Kingdom, pp 19-21
3. Sh. Ochilov A.Nizamov S. Norova. Potential of economic growth in the region Solid State Technology. Volume: 63 Issue: 6 Publication Year: 2020., pp 60-66
4. Кремер Н.Ш. Эконометрика: Учебник. М.: Издательство Юрайт, 2018. 354 с.
5. Khasanova G.D., Yusupov Yu.Kh. Government support for the formation of innovative strategy // European research. № 5 (63), 2020.
6. Хасанова Г.Д. Государственная поддержка формирования инновационной стратегии // Достижения науки и образования. № 8 (62), 2020.
7. Хасанова Г.Д. Роль государства в формировании инновационной стратегии на промышленных предприятиях // Вопросы науки и образования № 14 (98).
8. Djumanazarovna K.G. The role of the state in the formulation of innovation strategy in industrial enterprises // Academy, 2020. № 4 (55).

9. Хасанова Г.Д. Значение и экономическая эффективность внедрения инноваций в развитие малого бизнеса Республики Узбекистан // Ученый XXI века, 2017. С. 112.
10. Хасанова Г.Д. Промышленность—ведущая отрасль национальной экономики (на примере Бухарской области Республики Узбекистан) // Молодой ученый, 2016. № 13. С. 532-535.
11. Таирова М.М., Кодирова Н.Р. Инновация-концептуальная основа модернизации //Наука и образование сегодня, 2020. № 2 (49).
12. Navruz-Zoda B.N., Shomiev G.U. The different approaches of human capital formation // International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Economy, 2017. № 5 (11).
13. Tairova M.M. Optimization of tourism infrastructure in Bukhara // Questions of science and education, 2018. № 15. С. 27.
14. Muhammedrisaevna T.M., Mubinovna R.F., Kizi M.N.U. The role of information technology in organization and management in tourism // Academy, 2020. № 4 (55).
15. Junaydullaevich A.A. Innovative factors for agriculture development // Academy, 2020. № 4 (55).
16. Mukhtorovna N.D., Mukhtorovich N.M. The important role of investments at the macroand microlevels // Economics, 2020. № 2 (45)