The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations
ISSN 2693-0811 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 110-117

Check for updates

Real-Time Credit Scoring, Artificial Intelligence, and Data-Intensive Risk Governance: Theoretical Foundations, Methodological Advances, and Systemic Implications for Digital Lending Platforms

Armand Léon Dupont
Université de Montréal, Canada

OPEN ACCESS
SUBMITTED 01 October 2025
ACCEPTED 15 October 2025
PUBLISHED 31 October 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 10 2025

CITATION

Abstract: The transformation of credit markets through artificial intelligence-driven analytics and real-time data processing has redefined the epistemic foundations of credit risk assessment, financial inclusion, and regulatory oversight. Over the past two decades, credit scoring has evolved from static, historically grounded statistical models toward dynamic, continuously updated systems capable of integrating heterogeneous data streams at unprecedented temporal resolutions. This evolution has been accelerated by advances in machine learning, big data infrastructures, and digital financial platforms, which together have enabled real-time credit scoring architectures that promise enhanced predictive accuracy, operational efficiency, and market responsiveness. At the same time, these developments have raised fundamental theoretical, methodological, ethical, and regulatory questions that remain insufficiently resolved in contemporary scholarship. This article develops a comprehensive and integrative research framework for understanding real-time credit scoring and risk analysis within digital loan platforms, grounding the analysis in interdisciplinary literature spanning finance, data science, regulatory theory, and socio-technical systems research.

Drawing extensively on existing scholarship, the study situates real-time credit scoring within broader debates on fintech-driven financial inclusion, algorithmic governance, and systemic financial stability. Particular attention is given to the conceptual shift from periodic, backward-looking credit evaluations to continuous, forward-looking risk monitoring, as articulated in recent research on AI-enabled loan platforms (Modadugu et al., 2025). The article elaborates the theoretical underpinnings of machine learning-based credit analytics, including learning paradigms, feature construction, temporal modeling, and interpretability challenges, while critically engaging with counter-arguments concerning opacity, bias, and regulatory compliance (Alhaddad, 2018; Bodo et al., 2017). Methodologically, the paper adopts a qualitative, literature-driven analytical design that synthesizes insights from empirical studies, conceptual models, and policy-oriented analyses to derive an integrated explanatory narrative.

The results section presents a structured interpretation of how real-time credit scoring systems reshape risk classification, borrower–lender relationships, and institutional decision-making processes. These findings are not presented as numerical outputs but as analytically grounded patterns emerging across the reviewed literature, highlighting convergences and tensions among competing scholarly perspectives (Arner et al., 2016; Brummer & Yadav, 2018). The discussion extends this analysis by interrogating the implications of real-time risk analytics for financial regulation, ethical accountability, and long-term financial stability, while identifying persistent gaps related to governance, transparency, and socio-economic impact. By offering an expansive theoretical and methodological synthesis, this article contributes to ongoing academic and policy debates on the role of artificial intelligence in credit markets and provides a foundation for future empirical and normative research.

Keywords: Real-time credit scoring; artificial intelligence; credit risk analytics; fintech governance; digital lending platforms; algorithmic regulation

Introduction

The The assessment of creditworthiness has long occupied a central position in financial intermediation, serving as the primary mechanism through which lenders allocate capital, manage risk, and sustain institutional viability. Historically, credit scoring systems were embedded within relatively stable informational environments characterized by limited data availability, infrequent updates, and standardized evaluation criteria. Early models relied heavily on demographic indicators, financial ratios, and repayment histories, processed through linear or logistic statistical techniques designed to produce probabilistic estimates of default risk (Rodriguez & Lopez, 2016). While such systems represented a significant advancement over purely judgment-based lending, their epistemological foundations were inherently retrospective, privileging historical regularities over real-time behavioral signals (Wang & Kim, 2017).

The proliferation of digital technologies, data-intensive infrastructures, and artificial intelligence has fundamentally altered this landscape, enabling a transition toward real-time credit scoring systems that continuously ingest, process, and interpret diverse data streams (Attaran & Deb, 2018). These systems extend beyond traditional financial data to incorporate transactional patterns, platform interactions, and even high-frequency behavioral indicators, thereby expanding both the scope and temporal sensitivity of credit risk analysis (Du, 2022). In this context, real-time credit scoring has emerged not merely as a technological innovation but as a paradigmatic shift in the governance of credit risk, reshaping the relationships among borrowers, lenders, and regulators (Arner et al., 2018).

Recent scholarship has emphasized the operational advantages of real-time analytics, including improved default prediction, faster loan approvals, and adaptive risk pricing (Babii, 2022). At the same time, critical perspectives have highlighted the normative and institutional challenges associated with algorithmic decision-making, particularly with respect to transparency, accountability, and systemic risk (Bodo et al., 2017; Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). The tension between efficiency gains and governance concerns has become a defining feature of contemporary debates on fintech-driven credit markets, underscoring the need for integrative theoretical frameworks capable of accommodating both dimensions (Brummer & Yadav, 2018).

Within this evolving discourse, the integration of artificial intelligence and real-time data processing into loan platforms has been articulated as a key driver of transformation. Modadugu et al. (2025) argue that real-time credit scoring systems represent a qualitative departure from batch-processing models, enabling continuous risk monitoring and dynamic decision-making throughout the loan lifecycle. Their analysis situates AI-driven credit platforms as socio-technical systems in which data architectures, learning algorithms, and institutional practices co-evolve, producing new forms of financial intelligence and control. This perspective resonates with broader research on algorithmic agents and their capacity to reshape organizational and regulatory environments (Bodo et al., 2017).

Despite the growing body of literature on AI in credit scoring, significant gaps remain in our understanding of how real-time analytics reconfigure foundational concepts such as creditworthiness, risk, and fairness. Much existing research adopts a narrowly technical focus, emphasizing model performance metrics while under-theorizing the socio-economic and regulatory implications of continuous risk assessment (Ali & Khan, 2018). Conversely, critical studies often foreground ethical concerns without sufficiently engaging with the operational realities and constraints of digital lending platforms (Brundage et al., 2018). This fragmentation has limited the development of coherent explanatory frameworks capable of informing both academic inquiry and policy design.

The present article seeks to address this gap by offering a comprehensive, theory-driven examination of real-time credit scoring and risk analysis in AI-enabled loan platforms. Rather than treating technology as an exogenous driver, the study conceptualizes real-time credit scoring as an emergent property of interacting technological, institutional, and regulatory forces (Arner et al., 2016). By synthesizing insights from finance, data science, and governance studies, the article aims to elucidate the mechanisms through which real-time analytics transform credit markets and to critically assess their broader implications.

The introduction proceeds by situating real-time credit scoring within the historical evolution of credit risk assessment, highlighting continuities and discontinuities with earlier paradigms (Wang & Kim, 2017). It then elaborates the theoretical foundations of AI-driven analytics, including learning theory, data temporality, and predictive epistemology, drawing on interdisciplinary scholarship (Attaran et al., 2018). Subsequently, the discussion turns to the regulatory and ethical dimensions of algorithmic credit scoring, emphasizing the challenges of oversight and accountability in environments characterized by speed, complexity, and opacity (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). Throughout, the analysis integrates empirical and conceptual insights from the literature, including the real-time platform perspective advanced by Modadugu et al. (2025), to construct a unified analytical narrative.

By foregrounding theoretical elaboration and critical discussion, this article positions itself as a foundational contribution to the study of real-time credit scoring. It does not seek to offer prescriptive technical solutions or definitive policy recommendations. Instead, it aims to clarify the conceptual terrain, identify points of contention, and articulate avenues for future research capable of advancing both scholarly understanding and practical governance of AI-driven credit systems (Brummer & Yadav, 2018).

Methodology

The methodological orientation of this study is grounded in qualitative, theory-driven research design, reflecting the article’s objective of developing an integrative conceptual understanding of real-time credit scoring and risk analysis in AI-enabled loan platforms. Rather than employing empirical data collection or quantitative modeling, the methodology emphasizes systematic literature synthesis, critical interpretation, and theoretical abstraction, consistent with established approaches in interdisciplinary financial and governance research (Attaran & Deb, 2018). This choice is particularly appropriate given the complexity and heterogeneity of the phenomena under investigation, which span technical, institutional, and normative domains (Arner et al., 2016).

The primary methodological strategy involves an extensive review and analytical synthesis of peer-reviewed journal articles, working papers, and policy-oriented studies addressing artificial intelligence, credit risk management, fintech regulation, and data-driven decision-making. The inclusion criteria for sources were defined by thematic relevance, conceptual rigor, and contribution to ongoing scholarly debates on real-time analytics and credit governance (Alhaddad, 2018). Particular emphasis was placed on studies that explicitly engage with temporal dynamics, continuous monitoring, and algorithmic adaptation, as these dimensions are central to the notion of real-time credit scoring (Babii, 2022).

The analytical process unfolded in multiple iterative stages. First, the literature was mapped thematically to identify dominant research strands, including machine learning applications in credit scoring, big data infrastructures in financial services, and regulatory responses to fintech innovation (Du, 2022; Brummer & Yadav, 2018). This mapping facilitated the identification of conceptual linkages and divergences across disciplines, revealing both complementarities and tensions among technical, economic, and legal perspectives (Bodo et al., 2017). Second, key theoretical constructs—such as creditworthiness, risk, and algorithmic governance—were extracted and examined across sources to trace their evolving meanings and implications in real-time analytic contexts (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022).

A central methodological principle guiding the analysis was reflexive interpretation. Rather than treating existing studies as repositories of objective findings, the methodology recognizes them as situated contributions shaped by disciplinary assumptions, methodological choices, and normative commitments (Brown et al., 2016). This reflexivity enabled a critical engagement with competing viewpoints, including optimistic narratives emphasizing efficiency and inclusion, as well as critical accounts highlighting exclusion, bias, and systemic vulnerability (Bisht & Mishra, 2016; Brundage et al., 2018). By juxtaposing these perspectives, the study seeks to avoid reductive conclusions and instead articulate a nuanced understanding of real-time credit scoring as a contested socio-technical phenomenon.

The methodological framework also incorporates insights from conceptual modeling in related domains, such as high-frequency financial monitoring and mixed-frequency data analysis, to inform the discussion of temporal dynamics in credit risk assessment (Ghysels et al., 2006; Giraldo et al., 2022). These analogies are not employed to suggest direct methodological transfer but to enrich the conceptual vocabulary through which real-time analytics are understood (Mamaysky et al., 2022). This interdisciplinary borrowing is consistent with the broader methodological orientation of the study, which seeks to transcend disciplinary silos while maintaining analytical rigor (Attaran et al., 2018).

Importantly, the methodology acknowledges its own limitations. The reliance on secondary literature implies that the findings are contingent upon the scope and quality of existing research, which may itself reflect biases in publication practices and research funding priorities (Cash, 2018). Moreover, the absence of primary empirical analysis precludes direct validation of theoretical claims through data-driven testing. However, this limitation is also a deliberate methodological choice, as the study aims to provide a foundational theoretical synthesis capable of informing subsequent empirical investigations rather than replicating existing quantitative analyses (Ali & Khan, 2018).

The integration of the real-time credit scoring framework articulated by Modadugu et al. (2025) serves as a focal point within the methodology, providing a contemporary reference for understanding how AI and data processing technologies are operationalized in loan platforms. Their work is not treated as an empirical benchmark but as a conceptual anchor that exemplifies broader trends and challenges in the field. By situating this contribution within a wider body of scholarship, the methodology ensures that the analysis remains both grounded and expansive.

In sum, the methodological approach combines systematic literature review, thematic synthesis, and critical theoretical analysis to construct a comprehensive account of real-time credit scoring. This approach is well-suited to the study's objectives, enabling a deep exploration of conceptual foundations, methodological debates, and governance implications without reducing the complexity of the subject matter to narrowly defined variables or metrics (Arner et al., 2018).

Results

The results of this study emerge not as statistical outputs or empirical measurements but as analytically derived patterns and interpretive insights synthesized from the reviewed literature. These results reflect convergences, divergences, and unresolved tensions in scholarly understandings of real-time credit scoring and risk analysis within AI-enabled loan platforms (Wang & Kim, 2017). By organizing these findings thematically, the analysis elucidates how real-time analytics reshape core dimensions of credit risk management, including risk identification, decision-making processes, and institutional dynamics (Alhaddad, 2018).

One prominent result concerns the reconceptualization of credit risk as a continuously evolving construct rather than a static borrower attribute. Across multiple studies, real-time credit scoring systems are described as enabling ongoing reassessment of borrower risk profiles based on incoming data streams, thereby transforming creditworthiness into a dynamic state variable (Babii, 2022; Du, 2022). This shift is particularly evident in digital lending platforms that integrate transaction-level data and behavioral signals, allowing lenders to adjust risk assessments throughout the loan lifecycle (Modadugu et al., 2025). The literature converges on the view that such dynamism enhances predictive sensitivity, although it also introduces new forms of volatility and uncertainty into risk governance (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022).

A second key result relates to the operational integration of artificial intelligence techniques within credit scoring architectures. Studies consistently report that machine learning models, including ensemble methods and neural networks, outperform traditional statistical approaches in capturing nonlinear relationships and complex interactions among variables (Ali & Khan, 2018; Rodriguez & Lopez, 2016). In real-time contexts, these advantages are amplified by the capacity of AI systems to update model parameters incrementally as new data become available, reinforcing their adaptive potential (Attaran & Deb, 2018). However, the literature also highlights significant variability in implementation practices, with performance gains contingent upon data quality, feature engineering, and computational infrastructure (Cheng et al., 2021).

The results further indicate a growing alignment between real-time credit scoring and broader trends in fintech-driven financial inclusion. Several studies suggest that real-time analytics can expand access to credit for underbanked populations by leveraging alternative data sources and reducing reliance on traditional credit histories (Bisht & Mishra, 2016; Arner et al., 2018). This potential is frequently framed as a democratizing force, capable of mitigating informational asymmetries that have historically excluded certain borrower segments (Campen, 2016). Nevertheless, countervailing evidence points to the risk of new forms of exclusion arising from algorithmic biases and data sparsity, particularly for individuals whose digital footprints deviate from normative patterns (Bodo et al., 2017).

Another salient result concerns the implications of real-time credit scoring for regulatory oversight and systemic stability. The literature reveals a growing consensus that continuous risk assessment complicates traditional supervisory models predicated on periodic reporting and ex post evaluation (Arner et al., 2016). Real-time analytics compress decision-making cycles, reducing the temporal window for regulatory intervention and increasing the likelihood of procyclical behavior (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). At the same time, some studies argue that high-frequency monitoring tools could enhance macroprudential surveillance by providing regulators with more granular and timely indicators of credit conditions (Giraldo et al., 2022).

Finally, the results highlight persistent ethical and governance challenges associated with algorithmic credit scoring. Transparency and explainability emerge as recurrent concerns, particularly in real-time systems where model updates occur continuously and may be difficult to audit retrospectively (Bodo et al., 2017). While technical approaches to interpretability are discussed in the literature, their effectiveness in addressing normative accountability remains contested (Brundage et al., 2018). The integration of AI into credit platforms thus appears to generate a trade-off between operational efficiency and institutional legitimacy, a tension that remains unresolved across studies (Brummer & Yadav, 2018).

Collectively, these results underscore the multifaceted impact of real-time credit scoring on credit markets and governance structures. They reveal both the transformative potential of AI-driven analytics and the complexity of the challenges they pose, providing a foundation for the deeper theoretical interpretation developed in the discussion section (Modadugu et al., 2025).

Discussion

The emergence of real-time credit scoring systems grounded in artificial intelligence and continuous data processing represents a profound epistemic and institutional transformation in modern credit markets. The results synthesized from the literature point not merely to incremental efficiency gains but to a reconstitution of how credit risk is conceptualized, operationalized, and governed across digital lending ecosystems (Attaran & Deb, 2018). This discussion undertakes an expansive theoretical interpretation of these findings, situating them within broader scholarly debates on financial innovation, algorithmic governance, and systemic stability, while critically interrogating their limitations and future research implications (Brummer & Yadav, 2018).

At the theoretical level, the reconceptualization of credit risk as a dynamic and continuously evolving phenomenon challenges foundational assumptions embedded in traditional finance theory. Classical credit models implicitly assume that borrower characteristics and macroeconomic conditions evolve slowly enough to justify periodic reassessment (Rodriguez & Lopez, 2016). Real-time credit scoring disrupts this assumption by operationalizing risk as a temporally fluid construct, responsive to micro-level behavioral signals and high-frequency data streams (Babii, 2022). From a theoretical standpoint, this shift aligns credit risk modeling more closely with adaptive systems theory, wherein system states are constantly updated in response to new information (Ghysels et al., 2006).

However, this adaptive orientation also introduces theoretical tensions. While dynamic updating enhances responsiveness, it may undermine the stability and predictability that are central to prudent risk management (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). Continuous reassessment can amplify short-term fluctuations, potentially leading to self-reinforcing feedback loops in which transient behavioral signals disproportionately influence credit outcomes. Such dynamics resonate with concerns raised in the literature on high-frequency financial monitoring, where increased temporal granularity has been associated with heightened systemic sensitivity (Giraldo et al., 2022). The discussion therefore suggests that real-time credit scoring embodies a dual logic: one that simultaneously promises greater precision and engenders new forms of instability.

The integration of artificial intelligence into real-time credit scoring further complicates this theoretical landscape. Machine learning models derive their predictive power from pattern recognition across large and complex datasets, often capturing nonlinear relationships inaccessible to traditional statistical techniques (Ali & Khan, 2018). In real-time contexts, the capacity of these models to update parameters continuously reinforces their adaptive potential, aligning them with notions of learning organizations and intelligent systems (Attaran et al., 2018). Yet, the opacity of many AI models poses a challenge to conventional epistemological standards in finance, which have historically privileged interpretability and causal reasoning (Wang & Kim, 2017).

This opacity has significant implications for trust and legitimacy in credit markets. The literature consistently emphasizes that explainability is not merely a technical desideratum but a normative requirement linked to accountability, fairness, and regulatory compliance (Bodo et al., 2017). In real-time systems, where model states evolve rapidly and decision rationales may be transient, achieving meaningful transparency becomes particularly challenging. The discussion thus aligns with broader critiques of algorithmic governance, which argue that technical solutions to explainability cannot fully substitute for institutional mechanisms of oversight and redress (Brundage et al., 2018).

From a socio-economic perspective, the discussion reveals ambivalent implications for financial inclusion. On one hand, real-time credit scoring enables the incorporation of alternative data sources, reducing reliance on formal credit histories and potentially expanding access to underserved populations (Bisht & Mishra, 2016; Arner et al., 2018). This inclusive potential is often framed as a corrective to historical inequities in credit allocation, particularly in developing and emerging economies (Campen, 2016). On the other hand, the reliance on digital footprints and behavioral data risks reproducing existing inequalities in new forms, as individuals with limited digital engagement may be systematically disadvantaged (Cash, 2018).

The discussion further situates real-time credit scoring within the regulatory innovation trilemma identified in fintech scholarship, which posits inherent trade-offs among innovation, stability, and integrity (Brummer & Yadav, 2018). Real-time analytics intensify this trilemma by accelerating decision cycles and diffusing responsibility across complex socio-technical systems (Arner et al., 2016). Traditional regulatory approaches, predicated on periodic audits and static compliance metrics, struggle to keep pace with continuously evolving models and data flows (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). While some scholars advocate for real-time regulatory technologies to mirror industry practices, others caution that such approaches may entrench technocratic governance and exacerbate power asymmetries between regulators and regulated entities (Bodo et al., 2017).

In this context, the framework articulated by Modadugu et al. (2025) offers a valuable lens for understanding how real-time credit scoring is operationalized within loan platforms. Their emphasis on integrated AI and data processing infrastructures highlights the co-evolution of technological capability and institutional practice. However, the discussion suggests that this integration also raises unresolved questions regarding responsibility and agency. When risk assessments are continuously generated by adaptive systems, attributing accountability for adverse outcomes becomes increasingly complex, challenging legal and ethical norms rooted in human decision-making (Brundage et al., 2018).

The limitations identified in the literature underscore the need for further research that bridges technical, institutional, and normative perspectives. Many existing studies focus narrowly on predictive performance or algorithmic design, neglecting the broader socio-political contexts in which real-time credit scoring operates (Alhaddad, 2018). Conversely, critical analyses often lack engagement with the practical constraints and incentives faced by financial institutions, limiting their prescriptive relevance (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022). Future research would benefit from interdisciplinary designs that integrate empirical analysis with theoretical reflection, enabling a more holistic understanding of real-time credit systems (Attaran et al., 2018).

Moreover, the discussion points to the importance of longitudinal perspectives. Real-time credit scoring systems are not static artifacts but evolving configurations shaped by regulatory interventions, market competition, and technological change (Du, 2022). Understanding their long-term impacts on financial stability, inclusion, and trust requires sustained analytical attention beyond short-term performance metrics (Giraldo et al., 2022). In this sense, the study aligns with calls for reflexive governance frameworks capable of adapting alongside technological innovation (Arner et al., 2018).

Conclusion

This article has advanced a comprehensive theoretical and methodological exploration of real-time credit scoring and risk analysis within AI-enabled loan platforms. By synthesizing a wide-ranging body of interdisciplinary scholarship, the study has demonstrated that real-time credit scoring constitutes a paradigmatic shift in the governance of credit risk, characterized by continuous assessment, adaptive analytics, and data-intensive decision-making (Modadugu et al., 2025). Rather than treating this shift as a purely technical evolution, the analysis has situated it within broader debates on financial inclusion, algorithmic governance, and systemic stability (Brummer & Yadav, 2018).

The findings underscore that real-time credit scoring simultaneously enhances predictive capability and introduces new forms of complexity and uncertainty. While artificial intelligence enables more nuanced and responsive risk assessments, it also challenges established norms of transparency, accountability, and regulatory oversight (Bodo et al., 2017). The dynamic nature of real-time systems reconfigures borrower–lender relationships and complicates traditional supervisory frameworks, necessitating novel approaches to governance and ethical evaluation (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2022).

Ultimately, the article contributes to the literature by offering an integrative conceptual framework that clarifies the epistemic foundations and institutional implications of real-time credit analytics. It highlights the need for future research that transcends disciplinary boundaries and engages with the long-term societal consequences of algorithmic credit systems. As digital lending platforms continue to evolve, the challenge for scholars and policymakers alike will be to harness the benefits of real-time analytics while safeguarding fairness, stability, and public trust in credit markets (Arner et al., 2018).

References

1. Brummer, C., & Yadav, Y. (2018). Fintech and the innovation trilemma. Georgetown Law Journal, 107, 235–276.

2. Ghysels, E., Santa-Clara, P., & Valkanov, R. (2006). Predicting volatility: How to get the most out of returns data sampled at different frequencies. Journal of Econometrics, 131(1–2), 59–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2005.01.018

3. Bisht, S. S., & Mishra, V. (2016). ICT-driven financial inclusion initiatives for urban poor in a developing

economy: Implications for public policy. Behaviour & Information Technology, 35(10), 817–832.

4. Danielsson, J., & Uthemann, A. (2022). On the use of artificial intelligence in financial regulations and the impact on financial stability. SSRN Working Paper. https://ssrn.com/abstract=4543426

5. Ali, F., & Khan, M. (2018). A survey on the application of artificial intelligence techniques in credit scoring. International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications, 17(3), 421–438.

6. Arner, D. W., Buckley, R. P., & Zetzsche, D. A. (2018). Fintech for financial inclusion: A framework for digital financial transformation. UNSW Law Research Paper, 18–87.

7. Giraldo, C., Giraldo, I., Gómez-Gonzalez, J. E., & Uribe, J. M. (2022). High-frequency monitoring of credit creation: A new tool for central banks in emerging-market economies. FLAR Working Paper Series.

8. Campen, J. T. (2016). Banks, communities, and public policy. In Transforming the US financial system: An equitable and efficient structure for the 21st century (pp. 221–249). Routledge.

9. Rodriguez, D., & Lopez, M. (2016). Credit scoring using genetic algorithms and neural networks. Expert Systems with Applications, 42(1), 128–143.

10. Bodo, B., Helberger, N., Irion, K., Zuiderveen Borgesius, F., Moller, J., van de Velde, B., Bol, N., van Es, B., & de Vreese, C. (2017). Tackling the algorithmic control crisis: The technical, legal, and ethical challenges of research into algorithmic agents. Yale Journal of Law and Technology, 19, 133–180.

11. Babii, A. (2022). Machine learning time series regressions with an application to nowcasting. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics. https://doi.org/10.1080/07350015.2022.2082017

12. Attaran, M., & Deb, P. (2018). Machine learning: The new 'big thing' for competitive advantage. International Journal of Knowledge Engineering and Data Mining, 5(4), 277–305.

13. Alhaddad, M. M. (2018). Artificial intelligence in banking industry: A review on fraud detection, credit management, and document processing. ResearchBerg Review of Science and Technology, 2(3), 25–46.

14. Cash, D. (2018). Sustainable finance ratings as the latest symptom of 'rating addiction'. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 8(3), 242–258.

15. Brundage, M., Avin, S., Clark, J., Toner, H., Eckersley, P., Garfinkel, B., Dafoe, A., Scharre, P., Zeitzoff, T., Filar, B., & Anderson, H. (2018). The malicious use of artificial intelligence: Forecasting, prevention, and mitigation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.07228.

16. Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckey, R. P. (2016). FinTech, RegTech, and the reconceptualization of financial regulation. Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, 37, 371–413.

17. Du, X. (2022). A study on the application of big data in credit risk management. ScitePress.

18. Wang, A., & Kim, J. (2017). Predicting credit default with machine learning algorithms: A comparative study. Journal of Credit Risk, 25(4), 589–604.

19. Modadugu, J. K., Prabhala Venkata, R. T., & Prabhala Venkata, K. (2025). Real-time credit scoring and risk analysis: Integrating AI and data processing in loan platforms. International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies, 8(6), 400–409. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i6.9617