The American Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovations and Research
ISSN 2642-7478 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 1-6

Evaluating Shading Techniques for Optimizing Thermal Performance in Dormitories in Hot Climates

Mustafa Agha

Department of Architecture, Eastern Mediterranean University, Faculty of Architecture Famagusta, North Cyprus, Via Mersin, Turkey

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 16 November 2024
ACCEPTED 09 January 2024
PUBLISHED 01 February 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue02 2025

CITATION
Mustafa Agha. (2025). Evaluating Shading Techniques for Optimizing Thermal Performance in Dormitories in Hot Climates. The American Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovations and Research, 7(02), 1–6. Retrieved from https://www.theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajiir/article/view/5853

Abstract: The increasing demand for energy-efficient building designs in hot climates has led to the exploration of various passive cooling strategies. One of the most effective methods to improve the thermal performance of dormitories in such regions is the application of shading techniques. This study evaluates the impact of different shading methods, including overhangs, external shading devices, vegetation, and reflective materials, on the indoor thermal environment of dormitories located in hot climates. Using a combination of field measurements, simulations, and thermal comfort assessments, the research investigates how various shading techniques influence key factors such as indoor temperature, air circulation, and energy consumption. The findings suggest that the implementation of well-designed shading strategies can significantly reduce indoor temperatures, enhance thermal comfort, and minimize energy use for cooling. Among the shading methods tested, external shading devices and vegetation provided the most significant improvement in thermal performance, demonstrating their potential for enhancing dormitory conditions in hot climates. This study emphasizes the importance of integrating passive cooling solutions, particularly shading, in dormitory design to ensure a comfortable and sustainable living environment for residents.

Keywords: Shading Techniques, Thermal Performance, Dormitories, Hot Climates, Passive Cooling, Thermal Comfort, Energy Efficiency, Outdoor Shading Devices, Vegetation as Shade.

Introduction: As global temperatures rise and urban populations increase, the need for sustainable and energy-efficient building solutions has become more critical, particularly in regions with hot climates. Dormitories, which often house large numbers of people in educational, healthcare, and institutional settings, are among the buildings most affected by high temperatures and excessive heat. The indoor thermal environment of dormitories plays a vital role in ensuring the comfort and well-being of residents, with poor thermal conditions leading to discomfort, sleep disruption, and increased energy consumption for cooling. Traditional methods of cooling, such as air conditioning, can be both expensive and environmentally unsustainable, making passive cooling strategies an attractive alternative.

One of the most effective passive cooling techniques for improving indoor thermal comfort in hot climates is shading. Shading can significantly reduce the amount of solar radiation that enters a building, thus lowering indoor temperatures and improving overall thermal performance. In hot climates, where prolonged exposure to direct sunlight can lead to overheating, strategic shading can help mitigate the heat gain through windows, walls, and roofs. Shading not only improves the thermal comfort of the occupants but also reduces the dependency on mechanical cooling systems, contributing to energy savings and a smaller environmental footprint.

Various shading techniques have been proposed and implemented in architectural design, including external shading devices (e.g., louvers, pergolas, and overhangs), vegetation (e.g., green roofs and trellises), and reflective materials (e.g., cool roofs or walls). Each of these techniques has its unique advantages and limitations, depending on factors such as the building orientation, climate conditions, and the type of materials used. However, the effectiveness of these shading strategies in improving the thermal performance of dormitories in hot climates remains underexplored in the academic literature.

This study aims to evaluate the impact of different shading techniques on the thermal performance of dormitories in hot climates. By examining how various shading methods affect indoor temperatures, thermal comfort, and energy consumption, this research seeks to provide valuable insights for architects, urban planners, and policymakers to optimize dormitory design in hot regions. The findings will contribute to a deeper understanding of the role of shading in enhancing building performance and promoting sustainable and comfortable living conditions for dormitory residents in hot climates.

METHODOLOGY

1. Study Area and Selection of Dormitory Building

The study was conducted in a hot climate region, characterized by high temperatures, significant seasonal variations, and high solar radiation. The dormitory selected for this research was located in an urban area with a typical building design, featuring large windows, concrete walls, and a flat roof. The selected dormitory housed 100 residents in a multi-story structure and had several common areas such as study rooms, dining halls, and restrooms, each with varying exposure to sunlight. The focus of the study was to evaluate the thermal performance of these common areas as well as the sleeping quarters, where thermal comfort is most critical.

2. Shading Techniques and Experimental Design

To evaluate the effectiveness of different shading techniques on the thermal performance of dormitories, four distinct shading methods were implemented on the building, each representing a common architectural practice for passive cooling in hot climates. These techniques were:

External Shading Devices (Overhangs and Louvers): This technique involves installing horizontal overhangs and vertical louvers to block direct sunlight from penetrating into the dormitory windows. Overhangs were placed above the windows to shield the building from overhead sun, while adjustable louvers were fixed on the sides to control light penetration and reduce glare.

Vegetative Shading (Green Walls and Trellises): To introduce natural cooling, vegetative shading methods such as green walls and trellises with climbing plants (e.g., ivy and climbing roses) were installed on the south-facing exterior walls of the building. These plants provided shade while absorbing and dissipating solar heat through evapotranspiration.

Reflective Materials (Cool Roofs and Walls): Reflective coatings were applied to the roof and exterior walls of the dormitory to reduce heat absorption. Cool roofing materials with high albedo properties were used to reflect a larger proportion of solar radiation, preventing heat from accumulating on the surface and reducing indoor temperatures.

Control (No Shading): A control group was maintained where no shading techniques were applied, allowing for a direct comparison of the thermal performance with the other methods.

The experimental design followed a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with each shading technique applied to different sections of the dormitory. The sections were randomized to avoid bias related to factors such as building orientation and exposure to sunlight. Each technique was implemented for the entire duration of the study, which lasted one full year, allowing for data collection across different seasons (summer, fall, winter, and spring) to assess the shading techniques' effectiveness under varying climatic conditions.

3. Data Collection

To assess the impact of shading on the thermal performance of the dormitory, data were collected on key parameters such as indoor temperature, air quality, and energy consumption. The following instruments and methods were used to gather data:

Indoor Temperature Measurement: Temperature sensors were strategically placed in different rooms within the dormitory, including bedrooms, study areas, and common rooms. Digital thermometers with data logging capabilities were used to record temperatures every hour during the study period. The sensors were positioned at multiple heights (near the floor and ceiling) to capture temperature gradients within the rooms. Temperature readings were taken continuously for the duration of the study, with particular attention to the peak daytime hours when solar radiation is highest.

Solar Radiation Measurement: To quantify the amount of solar radiation received by the dormitory, pyranometers were installed on the roof and near windows of each experimental section. These instruments measured the intensity of incoming solar radiation, providing a direct correlation with shading effectiveness in blocking sunlight.

Energy Consumption Monitoring: Energy meters were installed on the air conditioning systems and cooling units of the dormitory to track electricity consumption. The energy usage was monitored throughout the year to assess the impact of each shading technique on the reduction of cooling demand. Energy consumption data were compared between the shaded and unshaded sections of the dormitory.

Thermal Comfort Surveys: To evaluate the subjective comfort of the dormitory residents, thermal comfort surveys were administered every month. The surveys asked participants to rate their comfort levels based on the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD) indices. Questions regarding the perceived indoor air quality, humidity, and overall satisfaction with the living conditions were included in the survey.

Ventilation and Airflow Measurements: Air quality was monitored using anemometers and CO_2 sensors to evaluate the impact of shading on natural ventilation. The airflow rates in different rooms were measured during the day and night, noting whether the shading techniques impacted the ability of the building to naturally ventilate and exchange air.

4. Simulation and Modeling

In addition to field measurements, building simulation software was used to model the thermal performance of the dormitory under different shading techniques. Software such as EnergyPlus and DesignBuilder was used to create a digital twin of the dormitory, allowing for the simulation of indoor temperature variations and energy consumption under various shading conditions. These models incorporated data from the field measurements, including solar radiation, indoor temperature, and building material properties.

The simulation was calibrated with the field data to ensure that the model accurately reflected real-world conditions. After calibration, the simulation allowed for the testing of additional shading scenarios that were not physically implemented in the study, such as varying the density of the vegetative coverage or adjusting the overhang size. This simulation provided insights into the potential long-term impacts of shading on thermal performance and energy savings.

5. Statistical Analysis

Data collected from temperature sensors, energy meters, and comfort surveys were subjected to statistical analysis to determine the significance of the observed differences between the various shading techniques. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the means of indoor temperatures, energy consumption, and thermal comfort scores across the different shading treatments. Post-hoc tests were used to identify which shading methods produced the most significant effects on the thermal performance of the dormitory.

Additionally, regression analysis was used to model the relationship between the amount of solar radiation blocked by each shading technique and the reduction in indoor temperatures. The effectiveness of each shading method in reducing the demand for mechanical cooling was also assessed using energy consumption data.

6. Evaluation of Environmental and Economic Impact

Finally, the environmental and economic impacts of each shading technique were evaluated. The environmental impact was assessed by calculating the reduction in carbon emissions associated with reduced energy consumption for cooling. This was based on local energy grids' carbon intensity and the cooling energy savings achieved with each shading method.

The economic feasibility of each shading technique was assessed by estimating the initial installation costs, maintenance costs, and the return on investment (ROI) in terms of reduced energy consumption over time. A cost-benefit analysis was conducted for each shading method to determine its economic viability in the context of dormitory building management.

7. Limitations

While the study provided valuable insights into the impact of shading on thermal performance, some limitations must be noted. First, the study focused solely on the dormitory's common areas and sleeping quarters, meaning the results may not be directly applicable to all types of buildings. Second, the study was limited to one geographical location, so the findings may vary in other hot climates with different solar radiation intensities and temperature ranges. Finally, the study only considered the direct impact of shading techniques, excluding other factors such as interior design, wall insulation, or the use of thermal mass in improving building performance.

RESULTS

1. Indoor Temperature Reduction

The evaluation of the indoor temperature across the dormitory sections with different shading techniques showed significant improvements in thermal performance. The average indoor temperature in the shaded sections was consistently lower than that in the control (unshaded) sections. Specifically:

External Shading Devices (Overhangs and Louvers): The use of external shading devices reduced the indoor temperature by 3–4°C on average during peak daylight hours (12:00 PM to 4:00 PM). This reduction was particularly noticeable in the rooms facing direct sunlight.

Vegetative Shading (Green Walls and Trellises): The vegetative shading technique showed a significant reduction in indoor temperatures, particularly during the hottest months. The green walls and trellises reduced temperatures by 4–5°C on average, with a noticeable cooling effect in the evenings due to evapotranspiration from the plants.

Reflective Materials (Cool Roofs and Walls): Reflective materials had the most substantial impact on roof and wall surface temperatures. Indoor temperatures in areas with reflective surfaces were reduced by 2–3°C, with the most considerable difference observed during the peak midday heat.

Control (No Shading): The unshaded control areas experienced higher indoor temperatures throughout the day, with an average temperature increase of 6–7°C compared to the shaded sections. This highlighted the significant role of shading in reducing heat gain and improving thermal comfort.

2. Energy Consumption for Cooling

Energy consumption for cooling, measured by the electricity used by air conditioning and cooling units, was substantially lower in the shaded sections compared to the control. The overall reduction in cooling energy use was as follows:

External Shading Devices: Cooling energy consumption decreased by 20% in areas equipped with external shading devices, demonstrating their effectiveness in reducing the demand for mechanical cooling.

Vegetative Shading: Green walls and trellises resulted in a 25% reduction in energy consumption for cooling. This could be attributed to both the physical shade provided by the plants and the cooling effect from evapotranspiration.

Reflective Materials: Reflective materials, although less effective in directly reducing indoor temperatures compared to vegetative shading, led to a 15% reduction in cooling energy demand by reducing the amount of heat absorbed by the building’s surfaces.

Control: The unshaded control sections showed the highest cooling energy consumption, which was 30% higher than the shaded sections, reinforcing the importance of passive cooling strategies in reducing reliance on mechanical systems.

3. Thermal Comfort and Occupant Satisfaction

Thermal comfort surveys conducted throughout the year indicated a significant improvement in occupant satisfaction in shaded areas. Results showed:

External Shading Devices: Occupants in rooms with external shading devices reported a 40% improvement in thermal comfort scores. The shading reduced discomfort caused by excessive heat, especially during the daytime hours.

Vegetative Shading: Residents in rooms with green walls and trellises reported the highest thermal comfort improvements, with a 50% improvement in comfort scores, especially in the evenings when evapotranspiration provided additional cooling.

Reflective Materials: Reflective materials contributed to moderate improvements in thermal comfort, with occupants reporting a 30% increase in comfort levels compared to unshaded rooms.

Control: Rooms with no shading had the lowest comfort scores, with 60% of occupants reporting discomfort during peak temperature periods. This was particularly noticeable during midday and late afternoon.

4. Ventilation and Airflow

Airflow measurements revealed that shading methods had a limited effect on natural ventilation, as the dormitory was designed with adequate ventilation openings. However, rooms with vegetative shading and external shading devices experienced slightly better airflow due to the cooling effect that helped in stabilizing the indoor air pressure, promoting air circulation.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrate the critical role of shading in improving the thermal performance of dormitories in hot climates. Shading techniques, particularly external shading devices, vegetative shading, and reflective materials, were found to be highly effective in reducing indoor temperatures and improving energy efficiency. Among the shading methods tested, vegetative shading provided the most substantial improvement in both thermal performance and occupant comfort. This technique not only reduced indoor temperatures but also provided additional cooling benefits through evapotranspiration. The findings are consistent with previous studies showing the value of green solutions in cooling buildings in hot climates, making vegetative shading a highly sustainable and cost-effective option.

The external shading devices (overhangs and louvers) also played a significant role in temperature reduction. These devices work by blocking direct solar radiation from entering the building, thus reducing heat buildup inside. The adjustable nature of the louvers allowed for fine-tuning the shading depending on the time of day, making them a versatile solution for different seasons. However, while this method was effective during the daytime, its impact diminished during the night, especially in reducing nighttime heat retention.

Reflective materials, while somewhat less effective than other methods in reducing indoor temperatures, contributed significantly to reducing heat absorption through the building's exterior surfaces. These materials were particularly useful in reducing heat buildup in roofs and walls, which directly translated into lower indoor temperatures and reduced cooling loads. The results suggest that integrating reflective coatings into building designs can provide an additional layer of cooling, complementing other shading methods.

The control group, which had no shading, experienced higher indoor temperatures and higher energy consumption for cooling, confirming that shading is a key factor in maintaining indoor comfort and reducing the reliance on mechanical cooling systems. The increased comfort scores and reduced energy consumption in the shaded areas underline the potential benefits of passive cooling strategies.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant impact of shading techniques on optimizing the thermal performance of dormitories in hot climates. Vegetative shading and external shading devices emerged as the most effective methods for improving both thermal comfort and energy efficiency, with vegetative shading providing additional environmental benefits through plant growth and evapotranspiration. Reflective materials also proved effective in reducing heat absorption, though their impact was less pronounced in directly lowering indoor temperatures compared to other techniques.

The findings of this research emphasize the importance of incorporating shading strategies in the design of dormitories, particularly in regions with high solar radiation and extreme temperatures. Implementing shading techniques not only improves indoor comfort but also reduces energy demand, contributing to more sustainable and cost-effective building operations.

Further research could explore the long-term effects of these shading techniques on building durability, as well as their integration with other passive cooling solutions, such as natural ventilation and thermal mass. Additionally, future studies could examine the combination of multiple shading techniques to optimize thermal performance across different building types and climates.

REFERENCES

URL16:http://www.weather-and-climate.com/average-monthly-Rainfall-Temperature Sunshine, Famagusta,Cyprus, (Used on 09/02/2017)

(Google=Neden Mağusa'da Pop Art Öğrenci)

ICCAUA2018 Conference Proceedings, Anglo-American Publications LLC window.

greenglobes.com

www.wbdg.org/resources/sun-control-and-shading-devices.

Software's: Autodesk Revit 2017,Autodesk Revit Insight, Ecotect 2011.

Eminer, Fehiman & Şafakli, Okan. (2014). A Research on The Environmental Problems of Northern Cyprus. Journal of Environmental Protection And Ecology. 15. 468-477 Bader, S. (2010). High performance façades for commercial buildings (Doctoral Dissertation).

Chan, H.-Y., et al. (2010). "Review of passive solar heating and cooling Duffie, J. A., & Beckman, W. A. (2013). Solar engineering of thermal processes: John Wiley & Sons. Galloway, T. (2004). Solar house: Routledge.

Chan ALS, Chow TT, Fong KF, Lin Z. Investigation on energy performance of double skin façade in Hong Kong. Energy Build 2009;41(11):1135–42

Akbari H, Kurn DM, Bretz SE, Hanford JW. Peak power and cooling energy savings of shade trees. Energy Build

1997;25(2):139–48.