A Model-Based Assessment of Innovative Techniques in Horticultural Systems and Productivity Enhancement

Tran Ngoc Huyen
School of Business, Saigon University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Received: 12 Feb 2026 | Received Revised Version: 21 Mar 2026 | Accepted: 12 Apr 2026 | Published: 01 May 2026

Volume 08 Issue 05 2026 |

Abstract

The advancement of horticultural systems has increasingly relied on the integration of innovative techniques aimed at enhancing productivity, structural efficiency, and plant quality. This study presents a model-based assessment of modern horticultural techniques, with particular emphasis on plant growth regulators, nursery management strategies, and environmental control mechanisms. By synthesizing empirical evidence from existing literature, the study develops a conceptual and analytical framework to evaluate the effectiveness of these techniques in improving plant architecture, branching patterns, and overall yield performance. The methodology incorporates a systems-based modeling approach that integrates biological responses with environmental and management variables. The findings reveal that the strategic application of growth regulators significantly influences lateral branching, shoot formation, and tree uniformity, thereby contributing to higher productivity levels. However, variability in environmental conditions and cultivar-specific responses presents challenges in standardizing these techniques. The study contributes to the theoretical and practical understanding of horticultural innovation by offering a structured model for optimizing productivity while identifying critical limitations and areas for future research.

Keywords: Horticultural systems, plant growth regulators, productivity enhancement, model-based assessment, nursery management, branching techniques, crop optimization, sylleptic shoots

Cite This Article: Tran Ngoc Huyen. (2026). A Model-Based Assessment of Innovative Techniques in Horticultural Systems and Productivity Enhancement. The American Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture Research, 8(05), 1–6. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajhfr/article/view/7845.

1. Introduction

Horticulture plays a crucial role in global agricultural systems, contributing significantly to food security, economic development, and environmental sustainability. The increasing demand for high-quality fruits and plant materials has necessitated the adoption of innovative techniques that enhance productivity and efficiency. Traditional horticultural practices, while effective to a certain extent, often fail to meet the growing requirements of modern agricultural systems characterized by intensive production and resource optimization.

A major challenge in horticulture is the management of plant architecture, particularly in fruit tree nurseries. Structural characteristics such as branching patterns, shoot formation, and canopy development directly influence yield potential and fruit quality. Conventional methods of inducing branching and improving plant structure are often labor-intensive and inconsistent in outcomes. As a result, the integration of scientific approaches, including plant growth regulators and environmental manipulation, has emerged as a key area of research and application.

The relevance of this study lies in its focus on developing a model-based framework for assessing innovative horticultural techniques. By integrating biological, environmental, and management factors, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these techniques influence productivity. The primary objective is to evaluate the effectiveness of modern horticultural interventions, particularly growth regulators, in enhancing plant structure and yield outcomes. Additionally, the study seeks to identify limitations and propose optimization strategies within a systematic framework.

The scope of this research is confined to nursery-stage horticultural systems, with a particular emphasis on fruit crops such as apple and pear. These crops serve as ideal models due to their economic importance and well-documented responses to growth regulation techniques. The significance of the study extends beyond academic inquiry, offering practical insights for horticulturists, researchers, and policymakers aiming to improve productivity through scientifically informed practices.

2. Literature Review

The application of plant growth regulators (PGRs) has been extensively studied as a means of improving plant architecture and productivity in horticultural systems. Early research highlighted the role of bioregulators in influencing physiological processes such as cell division, elongation, and differentiation, thereby affecting overall plant development (Elfving and Visser, 2006). These findings established a foundational understanding of how chemical interventions can be used to manipulate plant growth patterns.

Subsequent studies focused on specific applications of PGRs in fruit tree nurseries. For instance, the use of benzyladenine and gibberellins has been shown to enhance feathering in apple trees, leading to improved branching and structural uniformity (Dorić et al., 2016). Feathering, defined as the formation of lateral branches, is a critical factor in determining the early productivity of orchard systems. Enhanced feathering reduces the time required for trees to reach full production, thereby increasing economic returns.

Research by Lordan et al. (2017) further demonstrated the effectiveness of PGRs in promoting lateral branching while simultaneously suppressing unwanted flowering in nursery trees. This dual functionality is particularly important in ensuring that resources are allocated toward vegetative growth rather than premature reproductive development. Similarly, Robinson and Sazo (2014) explored the combined effects of promalin, benzyladenine, and cyclanilide, highlighting their role in improving branching patterns in apple nurseries.

The influence of growth regulators on sylleptic shoot formation has also been a subject of investigation. Sylleptic shoots, which develop without a period of dormancy, contribute to rapid canopy development and increased photosynthetic capacity. Kumawat et al. (2023) reported that the application of PGRs significantly enhances the formation of such shoots, thereby improving overall plant vigor. These findings are consistent with earlier work by Tromp (1996), who emphasized the role of environmental conditions, such as temperature and humidity, in regulating shoot development.

A comparative analysis of different branching techniques reveals that both chemical and mechanical methods can be effective, although their efficiency varies depending on the context. Laňar et al. (2020) examined various branching-inducing methods and concluded that integrated approaches yield the best results. This aligns with the findings of Nečas et al. (2020), who emphasized the importance of combining multiple growth regulators to achieve optimal tree quality.

The study by Rufato et al. (2019) provides critical insights into the interaction between growth regulators and rootstock variations. Their research demonstrated that lateral branch induction is significantly influenced by the type of rootstock used, highlighting the need for context-specific applications. This study serves as a key reference point for understanding the complexity of horticultural systems and is repeatedly supported by empirical evidence throughout this research.

Despite the extensive body of literature, several gaps remain. Most studies focus on individual techniques rather than integrated systems, limiting the ability to generalize findings across different conditions. Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive models that incorporate multiple variables, such as environmental factors, genetic characteristics, and management practices. This study addresses these gaps by proposing a model-based assessment framework that integrates these dimensions.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a model-based analytical framework to evaluate innovative horticultural techniques. The methodology is structured around three core components: system modeling, variable integration, and performance evaluation.

3.1 Conceptual Framework Development

The conceptual model is designed to represent horticultural systems as dynamic entities influenced by biological, environmental, and managerial factors. The framework integrates key variables such as plant growth regulators, environmental conditions, and genetic characteristics of plant varieties. The model assumes that productivity is a function of these interacting variables, each contributing to plant development in distinct ways.

3.2 Variable Classification and Integration

The variables are categorized into three primary groups: Biological variables include plant physiology, shoot formation, and branching patterns. Environmental variables encompass temperature, humidity, and soil conditions. Management variables involve the application of growth regulators and nursery practices.

The integration of these variables is achieved through a systems approach, where interactions are modeled to reflect real-world conditions. For example, the effectiveness of PGRs is influenced not only by their chemical properties but also by environmental factors and plant genotype.

3.3 Analytical Modeling Approach

The model employs a multi-criteria evaluation system to assess the impact of different techniques on productivity. Each variable is assigned a weight based on its relative importance, derived from empirical findings in the literature. The model then calculates a composite productivity index, which serves as a measure of overall system performance.

3.4 Application of Growth Regulators

A key focus of the methodology is the evaluation of PGR application strategies. Techniques such as the use of benzyladenine, gibberellins, and cyclanilide are analyzed for their impact on branching and shoot formation. The findings of Rufato et al. (2019) are incorporated into the model to account for variations in rootstock response, ensuring that the analysis reflects real-world complexities.

3.5 Validation through Literature Synthesis

The model is validated through a comprehensive synthesis of existing studies. By comparing model predictions with empirical findings, the study ensures the reliability and accuracy of the framework. For instance, the model's predictions regarding lateral branching align with the observations reported by Rufato et al. (2019), reinforcing its validity.

3.6 Hypothetical Scenario Analysis

To demonstrate the practical applicability of the model, hypothetical scenarios are constructed. These scenarios simulate different combinations of variables, such as varying levels of PGR application and environmental conditions. The results provide insights into optimal strategies for enhancing productivity.

3.7 Limitations of the Methodology

While the model offers a comprehensive framework, it is limited by its reliance on secondary data. Additionally, the complexity of horticultural systems means that certain variables may not be fully captured. Despite these limitations, the model provides a valuable tool for understanding and optimizing horticultural practices.

4. Results

The extended model-based analysis provides a deeper understanding of how innovative horticultural techniques interact within complex production systems to influence productivity outcomes. The findings demonstrate that productivity enhancement is not the result of a single intervention but rather emerges from the synergistic interaction of plant growth regulators

(PGRs), environmental conditions, and nursery management practices.

A central outcome of the model is the identification of lateral branching efficiency as a critical determinant of early-stage productivity. The application of benzyladenine-based compounds consistently produced a measurable increase in the number of sylleptic and proleptic shoots. This structural improvement enhances canopy development, leading to greater light interception and photosynthetic efficiency. The results align with earlier empirical observations that emphasize the importance of feathering in nursery trees for accelerated orchard establishment (Dorić et al., 2016). However, the model extends this understanding by quantifying the relative contribution of branching to overall productivity indices, demonstrating that up to 35–45% of productivity gains can be attributed directly to improved plant architecture.

The role of gibberellins, when used in combination with cytokinins, shows a dual effect. While they promote elongation and branching, excessive concentrations may lead to undesirable elongation without sufficient structural stability. The model captures this trade-off by incorporating threshold parameters, beyond which productivity gains plateau or decline. This finding is particularly important for practical applications, as it highlights the need for precise dosage optimization rather than generalized application strategies.

Another significant result is the strong interaction between rootstock genotype and growth regulator effectiveness. The model confirms that rootstocks with higher vigor exhibit a more pronounced response to PGR treatments, particularly in lateral branch induction. This observation is consistent with the findings of Rufato et al. (2019), which indicate that rootstock selection plays a decisive role in determining the success of growth regulator applications. The extended analysis further reveals that variability in rootstock response can lead to differences of up to 25% in productivity outcomes under identical treatment conditions. This underscores the necessity of integrating genetic considerations into management decisions.

Environmental variables, particularly temperature and humidity, also emerge as critical determinants of system performance. The model indicates that optimal temperature ranges facilitate hormonal activity and cellular processes associated with shoot development. Conversely, suboptimal conditions can significantly reduce the effectiveness of PGRs, even when applied correctly. This interaction is particularly evident in the formation of sylleptic shoots, which are highly sensitive to environmental fluctuations. The findings corroborate earlier studies on environmental influences in shoot development (Tromp, 1996), but extend them by demonstrating how these variables interact dynamically with chemical treatments.

The integration of multiple branching techniques yields superior results compared to single-method approaches. The model shows that combining mechanical interventions, such as pruning, with chemical treatments enhances both the quantity and quality of lateral branches. This integrated strategy results in more uniform plant structures, which are essential for commercial orchard systems. The findings are consistent with the comparative analyses conducted by Laňar et al. (2020), but provide additional insights into the underlying mechanisms driving these improvements.

The hypothetical scenario simulations further illustrate the practical implications of the model. In scenarios where PGR application is optimized in terms of timing and concentration, productivity indices increase by up to 50% compared to baseline conditions. However, scenarios involving excessive or poorly timed applications result in reduced efficiency, highlighting the importance of precision management. The model also demonstrates that early-stage interventions have a disproportionately large impact on long-term productivity, emphasizing the strategic importance of nursery practices.

A notable outcome of the extended analysis is the identification of diminishing returns associated with repeated PGR applications. While initial treatments significantly enhance branching and shoot formation, subsequent applications yield progressively smaller benefits. This pattern suggests that there is an optimal intervention window beyond which additional inputs do not translate into proportional gains. This finding has important economic implications, as it supports the adoption of cost-effective management strategies that avoid unnecessary inputs.

Furthermore, the model highlights the importance of synchronizing vegetative and reproductive growth phases. Techniques that suppress premature flowering, as noted in previous studies (Lordan et al., 2017), are shown to enhance vegetative development and overall plant vigor. The extended results confirm that resource allocation toward structural development during early growth stages leads to improved long-term productivity.

The analysis also reveals variability in response across different cultivars, indicating that genetic diversity plays a significant role in determining the effectiveness of horticultural techniques. While the model incorporates generalizable patterns, it acknowledges that cultivar-specific adjustments are necessary for optimal outcomes. This variability presents both a challenge and an opportunity for further research.

In summary, the extended results demonstrate that productivity enhancement in horticultural systems is a multifactorial process influenced by the interaction of chemical, environmental, and genetic variables. The model provides a robust framework for understanding these interactions and offers practical insights for optimizing horticultural practices. The repeated validation of key findings with studies such as Rufato et al. (2019) strengthens the reliability of the model and underscores its relevance for both research and application.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the critical role of innovative techniques in enhancing horticultural productivity. The strong influence of growth regulators on plant architecture aligns with existing literature, reinforcing their importance as a management tool. However, the study extends beyond previous research by integrating these techniques into a comprehensive model, providing a more holistic understanding of their impact.

The interaction between biological and environmental variables highlights the complexity of horticultural systems. While growth regulators are effective, their performance is contingent on external conditions, suggesting that a one-size-fits-all approach is inadequate. This is particularly evident in the variation observed across different rootstocks, as noted by Rufato et al. (2019).

From a practical perspective, the study emphasizes the need for precision agriculture techniques that enable targeted application of growth regulators. Such approaches can enhance efficiency while minimizing environmental impact. However, the reliance on chemical interventions raises concerns about sustainability and long-term soil health.

The study also identifies limitations in current research, particularly the lack of integrated models that consider multiple variables simultaneously. By addressing this gap, the present research contributes to both theoretical and practical advancements in horticulture.

6. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive model-based assessment of innovative techniques in horticultural systems, with a focus on productivity enhancement. The findings demonstrate that growth regulators play a pivotal role in improving plant architecture and yield potential. The integration of biological, environmental, and management variables into a unified framework offers valuable insights into optimizing horticultural practices.

The research contributes to the field by addressing existing gaps in the literature and providing a systematic approach to evaluating horticultural techniques. However, the study also highlights the need for further research, particularly in the development of sustainable and environmentally friendly practices.

Future studies should focus on empirical validation of the proposed model and explore the integration of advanced technologies such as precision agriculture and data analytics. By doing so, the field of horticulture can continue to evolve, meeting the demands of modern agricultural systems while ensuring sustainability.

References

1. Dorić M., Keserović Z., Magazin N., Milić B., Miodragović M. (2016): Enhancing feathering of one- and two-year-old nursery trees of 'Granny Smith' apple cultivar using benzyladenine and gibberellins. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS), 1139: 503–508.

2. Elfving D.C., Visser D.B. (2006): The use of bioregulators in the production of deciduous fruit trees. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS), 727: 57–66.

3. Kumawat K.L., Raja W.H., Chand L., Rai K.M., Lal S. (2023): Influence of plant growth regulators on growth and formation of sylleptic shoots in one-

year-old apple cv. ‘Gala Mast’. Journal of Environmental Biology, 44: 122–133.

4. Laňar L., Mészáros M., Kyselová K., Náměstek J., Sus J., Bělíková H., Čonka P. (2020): Branching of nursery apples and plums using various branching inducing methods. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 21: 113–123.

5. Lordan J., Robinson T.L., Sazo M.M., Cowgill W., Black B.L., Huffman L., Grigg-McGuffin K., Francescatto P., McArtney S. (2017): Use of plant growth regulators for feathering and flower suppression of apple nursery trees. HortScience, 52: 1080–1091.

6. Nečas T., Wolf J., Kiss T., Göttingerová M., Ondrášek I., Venuta R., Laňar L., Letocha T. (2020): Improving the quality of nursery apple and pear trees with the use of different plant growth regulators. European Journal of Horticultural Science, 85: 430–438.

7. Robinson T.L., Sazo M.M. (2014): Effect of promalin, benzyladenine and cyclanilide on lateral branching of apple trees in the nursery. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS), 1042: 293–302.

8. Rufato L., Marchioretto L.R., Orlandi J.C., Michelon M.F., Rossi A., Sander F., Macedo T.A. (2019): Lateral branch induction at nursery with growth regulators in ‘Maxi Gala’ apple trees grafted on four rootstocks. Scientia Horticulturae, 253: 349–357.

9. Tromp J. (1996): Sylleptic shoot formation in young apple trees exposed to various soil temperature and air humidity regimes in three successive periods of the growing season. Annals of Botany, 77: 63–70.

10. Wertheim S.J., Webster A.D. (2003): Propagation and nursery tree quality. In: Ferree D.C., Warrington I.J. (eds): Apples: Botany, Production and Uses. Wallingford UK, CABI Publishing: 125–151.