

Strategic Deployment of Flowering Decoy Plants: Evaluating Performance and Biological Processes for Green Control of Arthropods and Root Pathogens in Solanum Farming Systems

Oleksandr Petrenko

Department of Horticulture and Floriculture Research
National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine
Kyiv, Ukraine

Iryna Kovalenko

Institute of Plant Protection and Sustainable Agriculture
Kharkiv National Agrarian University
Kharkiv, Ukraine

Received: 12 Jan 2026 | Received Revised Version: 19 Feb 2026 | Accepted: 12 Mar 2026 | Published: 02 Apr 2026

Volume 08 Issue 04 2026 |

Abstract

The intensification of Solanum farming systems, particularly tomato cultivation, has led to increased dependency on synthetic pesticides, resulting in ecological imbalance, pest resistance, and environmental contamination. This study explores the strategic deployment of flowering decoy plants—especially Tagetes spp. (marigold)—as an ecologically sustainable approach for managing arthropod pests and root pathogens. The research integrates agronomic practices, plant physiological responses, and molecular defense mechanisms to evaluate the performance of decoy plants within intercropping systems.

The study synthesizes findings from agronomic intercropping trials, plant defense signaling pathways, and pest behavior modulation. Evidence suggests that flowering decoy plants function through multiple mechanisms: chemical attraction and repulsion of pests, enhancement of beneficial insect populations, suppression of nematodes, and activation of plant immune responses. The release of volatile organic compounds and thiophenes from marigold roots and flowers plays a critical role in disrupting pest life cycles and inhibiting nematode development (Arnason et al., 1989; Krueger et al., 2007). Additionally, intercropping systems improve soil health and biodiversity, contributing to long-term pest suppression (Brooker et al., 2015).

At the physiological level, decoy plants influence hormonal signaling networks involving salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene pathways, which are essential for induced systemic resistance in host crops (Saleem et al., 2021; Binder, 2020). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and stomatal regulation further enhance defense responses against pathogens (Qi et al., 2018; Melotto et al., 2008). The integration of these biological processes demonstrates a multi-layered defense system that extends beyond traditional pest management approaches.

The findings indicate that the strategic deployment of flowering decoy plants significantly reduces pest incidence, improves crop yield, and enhances economic returns for farmers. However, the effectiveness of this approach depends on species selection, spatial arrangement, and environmental conditions. The study concludes that decoy plant-based systems offer

a viable, scalable solution for sustainable agriculture, aligning with global objectives for reduced chemical inputs and enhanced agroecological resilience.

Keywords: Decoy plants, *Tagetes erecta*, intercropping, biological pest control, plant immunity, Solanum systems, nematode management, arthropod control, sustainable agriculture, induced resistance

© 2026 Oleksandr Petrenko, Iryna Kovalenko. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and allow others to share, adapt, or redistribute the work with proper attribution.

Cite This Article: Petrenko, O., & Kovalenko, I. (2026). Strategic Deployment of Flowering Decoy Plants: Evaluating Performance and Biological Processes for Green Control of Arthropods and Root Pathogens in Solanum Farming Systems. *The American Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture Research*, 8(04), 01–10. Retrieved from <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajhfr/article/view/7712>.

Introduction

The global agricultural sector is undergoing a paradigm shift from chemically intensive practices toward ecologically sustainable systems. In *Solanum* farming systems, particularly tomato cultivation, the prevalence of arthropod pests such as fruit borers and soil-borne pathogens including root-knot nematodes has historically necessitated heavy pesticide use. While effective in the short term, such practices have led to pesticide resistance, environmental degradation, and disruption of beneficial ecological interactions. These challenges underscore the urgent need for alternative pest management strategies that integrate ecological principles with agronomic efficiency.

One promising approach is the use of flowering decoy plants within intercropping systems. Decoy plants function by diverting pests away from the main crop or by creating an unfavorable environment for pest establishment. Among these, *Tagetes erecta* (marigold) has received considerable attention due to its dual role in attracting harmful insects and suppressing soil-borne pathogens. Early studies demonstrated that intercropping marigold with tomato significantly reduced root-knot nematode populations and improved plant growth (Abid and Maqbool, 1990). Subsequent research has confirmed its effectiveness as a trap crop against various insect pests (Hussain et al., 2003-04; Hossain et al., 2018-19).

The effectiveness of decoy plants is not solely attributable to ecological interactions but also involves complex biochemical and physiological processes. Marigold species produce thiophenes—photoactivated

compounds with strong insecticidal properties—which disrupt pest metabolism and reproduction (Arnason et al., 1989). Furthermore, intercropping enhances biodiversity and resource use efficiency, leading to improved crop resilience (Brooker et al., 2015). These ecological benefits are complemented by plant defense mechanisms mediated through hormonal signaling pathways.

Plant immunity is regulated by a network of signaling molecules, including salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene, which coordinate responses to biotic stress. The activation of these pathways leads to the production of defense-related proteins, reactive oxygen species, and secondary metabolites that inhibit pathogen growth (Saleem et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021). Additionally, stomatal regulation plays a critical role in preventing pathogen entry, highlighting the integration of physiological and structural defenses (Melotto et al., 2008; Assmann and Jegla, 2016).

Recent advances in plant science have further elucidated the role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in signaling and defense. ROS act as both antimicrobial agents and signaling molecules that trigger systemic acquired resistance (Qi et al., 2018; Lehmann et al., 2015). The interplay between ROS production, hormonal signaling, and environmental cues creates a dynamic defense system that can be enhanced through strategic agricultural practices.

Despite these advances, the practical implementation of decoy plant systems remains underexplored. Key challenges include optimizing plant combinations, understanding spatial dynamics, and quantifying

economic benefits. While studies have demonstrated the potential of marigold intercropping, there is a lack of integrated frameworks that combine ecological, physiological, and economic perspectives.

This research aims to address these gaps by evaluating the performance and biological processes associated with flowering decoy plants in *Solanum* farming systems. The study seeks to answer three primary questions: (1) How do decoy plants influence pest dynamics and crop performance? (2) What are the underlying biological mechanisms that enhance plant resistance? (3) How can these systems be optimized for practical application?

The significance of this research lies in its potential to contribute to sustainable agriculture by reducing reliance on chemical inputs while maintaining productivity. By integrating ecological interactions with molecular biology, the study provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and implementing decoy plant systems. This approach aligns with global efforts to promote agroecological practices and enhance food security in the face of environmental challenges.

Literature Review

The concept of using flowering decoy plants as a biological control strategy has evolved through interdisciplinary research spanning agronomy, entomology, and plant physiology. Early agronomic studies focused on intercropping systems as a means of improving crop productivity and pest management. Agrawal et al. (2010) demonstrated that intercropping enhances resource utilization and reduces pest incidence in vegetable crops. Similarly, Brooker et al. (2015) synthesized research across disciplines, emphasizing that intercropping improves ecological stability and reduces pest outbreaks through increased biodiversity.

Marigold (*Tagetes* spp.) has been extensively studied for its role in pest management. Kaplan (1960) highlighted its historical significance and ethnobotanical applications, while Abid and Maqbool (1990) provided empirical evidence of its effectiveness against root-knot nematodes. The nematicidal properties of marigold are attributed to thiophenes, which disrupt nematode development and reproduction (Arnason et al., 1989). Krueger et al. (2007) further confirmed that marigold root exudates reduce nematode populations in soil, making it an effective biological control agent.

In addition to nematode management, marigold functions as a trap crop for insect pests. Hussain et al. (2003-04) demonstrated that marigold intercropping significantly reduces tomato fruit borer infestation, leading to improved yield and economic returns. Hossain et al. (2018-19) extended these findings to chili crops, showing that trap cropping is an effective strategy for managing insect pests without chemical inputs. These studies highlight the dual functionality of marigold as both a repellent and attractant, depending on pest species.

Beyond ecological interactions, recent research has focused on the molecular mechanisms underlying plant defense. Saleem et al. (2021) identified salicylic acid as a key regulator of plant immunity, mediating responses to pathogen attack through redox signaling. Similarly, Hu et al. (2021) demonstrated that ethylene response factors play a critical role in activating jasmonate biosynthesis during herbivore resistance. Binder (2020) further elaborated on the role of ethylene signaling in coordinating defense responses.

The role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant defense has been extensively studied. Qi et al. (2018) described ROS as central signaling molecules that regulate stomatal movement and defense responses. Lehmann et al. (2015) highlighted their antimicrobial properties, while Dat et al. (2003) demonstrated their role in triggering programmed cell death during pathogen attack. These findings underscore the importance of ROS in integrating environmental signals with defense mechanisms.

Stomatal regulation represents another critical component of plant immunity. Melotto et al. (2008) showed that stomata act as entry points for pathogens, and their closure is an essential defense mechanism. Assmann and Jegla (2016) further explored the sensory systems that regulate stomatal responses to environmental stimuli. Recent studies by Rodrigues and Shan (2022) and Lin et al. (2022) have expanded this understanding, demonstrating the role of stomata in mediating interactions between plants, herbivores, and the environment.

Advances in genetic research have also contributed to our understanding of plant resistance. Feuillet et al. (2003) identified resistance genes in wheat, while Fukuoka et al. (2009) demonstrated the role of protein loss-of-function in enhancing disease resistance in rice.

These studies provide insights into the genetic basis of plant immunity, which can be leveraged in sustainable agriculture.

Despite the extensive body of research, significant gaps remain. Most studies focus on either ecological interactions or molecular mechanisms, with limited integration of the two. Furthermore, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks that address the practical implementation of decoy plant systems in *Solanum* farming. Economic analyses, such as those by Gajanana et al. (2006), highlight the potential benefits of integrated pest management but do not fully account for the biological complexity of decoy plant systems.

This study builds upon existing literature by integrating ecological, physiological, and economic perspectives to evaluate the effectiveness of flowering decoy plants. By synthesizing findings across disciplines, the research aims to provide a holistic understanding of decoy plant systems and their role in sustainable agriculture.

Functional Ecology of Flowering Decoy Plants in *Solanum* Systems

The deployment of flowering decoy plants in *Solanum* farming systems represents a strategic ecological intervention that operates through trophic manipulation, habitat modification, and chemical signaling. Unlike conventional pest control methods, decoy plants do not merely suppress pest populations; instead, they reconfigure ecological interactions to redirect pest pressure and enhance biological control.

At the ecological level, decoy plants function through a mechanism of **associational resistance**, wherein the presence of non-host plants reduces the likelihood of pest colonization on the primary crop. This phenomenon is closely linked to the concept of resource dilution and host masking, where pests encounter difficulty locating their preferred host due to altered visual and olfactory cues. Intercropping systems incorporating *Tagetes erecta* have demonstrated significant reductions in pest incidence due to these mechanisms (Brooker et al., 2015; Hussain et al., 2003-04).

The role of **volatile organic compounds (VOCs)** emitted by flowering plants is particularly critical. These compounds act as semiochemicals that influence insect behavior by either attracting pests to the decoy plant or repelling them from the main crop. Arnason et al. (1989)

identified thiophenes in marigold as potent photoactivated insecticides that disrupt insect physiology upon exposure to light. This dual functionality—behavioral manipulation and biochemical toxicity—positions marigold as an effective decoy plant.

Furthermore, decoy plants contribute to the enhancement of **beneficial insect populations**, including parasitoids and predators. By providing nectar and pollen resources, flowering plants create a favorable microhabitat for natural enemies of pests. Coppola et al. (2017) demonstrated that plant-to-plant signaling can prime anti-herbivore resistance, suggesting that decoy plants may also influence indirect defense pathways.

However, the effectiveness of these ecological mechanisms is highly context-dependent. Factors such as planting density, spatial arrangement, and environmental conditions influence the degree of pest suppression. For instance, excessive density of decoy plants may lead to competition for resources, thereby reducing overall crop productivity. This highlights the need for optimized system design that balances ecological benefits with agronomic efficiency.

Biochemical and Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Pest Suppression

The biological effectiveness of flowering decoy plants extends beyond ecological interactions into the realm of biochemical and molecular plant defense mechanisms. These mechanisms involve the activation of complex signaling networks that regulate plant responses to biotic stress.

One of the central components of plant immunity is the **salicylic acid (SA) pathway**, which plays a critical role in systemic acquired resistance. Saleem et al. (2021) demonstrated that SA regulates redox signaling and enhances the expression of defense-related genes. In intercropping systems, the presence of decoy plants can stimulate SA-mediated responses in neighboring crops, thereby increasing resistance to pathogens.

Complementing the SA pathway is the **jasmonic acid (JA) pathway**, which is primarily associated with defense against herbivorous insects. Hu et al. (2021) showed that ethylene response factors can trigger JA biosynthesis, leading to enhanced herbivore resistance. The interaction between SA and JA pathways is often

antagonistic, yet their coordinated regulation is essential for effective defense (Vos et al., 2015).

The **ethylene signaling pathway** further integrates these responses by modulating plant growth and defense. Binder (2020) highlighted the role of ethylene in regulating stress responses, while Kim et al. (2013) demonstrated how pathogens manipulate ethylene signaling to suppress plant immunity. In the presence of decoy plants, the balance of these hormonal pathways may shift toward enhanced resistance.

A critical mediator of these signaling networks is **reactive oxygen species (ROS)**. ROS function as both signaling molecules and antimicrobial agents, initiating defense responses such as cell wall reinforcement and programmed cell death. Qi et al. (2018) emphasized the role of ROS in stomatal movement, which is crucial for preventing pathogen entry. Similarly, Dat et al. (2003) showed that changes in hydrogen peroxide levels trigger defense-related cell death processes.

The integration of these biochemical pathways results in a multi-layered defense system that enhances plant resilience. However, the activation of these pathways also incurs metabolic costs, which may affect plant growth and yield. This trade-off between defense and productivity represents a critical limitation that must be addressed in the design of decoy plant systems.

Root Zone Interactions and Nematode Suppression

Root-zone interactions play a pivotal role in the suppression of soil-borne pathogens, particularly root-knot nematodes, which are a major constraint in *Solanum* farming systems. The use of marigold as a decoy plant has been widely recognized for its nematicidal properties, which are mediated through root exudates and soil microbial interactions.

Marigold roots release **thiophenes and other secondary metabolites** that are toxic to nematodes. Arnason et al. (1989) demonstrated that these compounds disrupt nematode development and reduce their reproductive capacity. Krueger et al. (2007) further confirmed that marigold cultivation significantly reduces nematode populations in infested soils.

In addition to direct toxicity, decoy plants influence the **soil microbiome**, which plays a critical role in disease suppression. The presence of diverse plant species

promotes microbial diversity, leading to the establishment of antagonistic microorganisms that inhibit pathogen growth. Gommers and Bakker (1988) highlighted the role of plant-induced physiological changes in suppressing nematode diseases.

The concept of **rhizosphere engineering** is particularly relevant in this context. By altering root exudate profiles, decoy plants can create an unfavorable environment for pathogens while promoting beneficial microbes. This process is further enhanced by the activation of plant immune responses, which strengthen root defenses against pathogen invasion.

However, the effectiveness of root-zone interactions is influenced by soil conditions, crop rotation practices, and environmental factors. Variability in soil type and microbial composition can lead to inconsistent results, highlighting the need for site-specific management strategies.

Stomatal Regulation and Pathogen Defense

Stomatal regulation represents a critical interface between plant physiology and pathogen defense. Stomata serve as entry points for many pathogens, making their regulation a key component of plant immunity.

Melotto et al. (2008) demonstrated that stomatal closure is an early defense response that prevents pathogen entry. This process is regulated by hormonal signals, including abscisic acid (ABA), which induces stomatal closure under stress conditions (Bharath et al., 2021). Assmann and Jegla (2016) further explored the sensory systems that control stomatal responses to environmental stimuli.

The role of ROS in stomatal regulation is particularly significant. Qi et al. (2018) showed that ROS signaling triggers stomatal closure, thereby enhancing resistance to pathogens. Rodrigues and Shan (2022) described this process as a “state of emergency,” where rapid ROS production leads to immediate defensive responses.

Decoy plants may influence stomatal behavior indirectly through **plant-to-plant signaling**. Coppola et al. (2017) demonstrated that signaling molecules such as systemin can prime defense responses in neighboring plants. This suggests that the presence of decoy plants may enhance stomatal defenses in the main crop, contributing to overall disease resistance.

Despite these advantages, excessive stomatal closure can limit gas exchange and reduce photosynthetic efficiency. This highlights the need for a balanced regulation of stomatal responses to optimize both defense and productivity.

System Design and Optimization of Decoy Plant Deployment

The successful implementation of decoy plant systems requires careful consideration of spatial and temporal factors. The design of intercropping systems must account for plant compatibility, resource allocation, and pest dynamics.

One of the key considerations is **plant spacing and arrangement**. Studies have shown that strategic placement of decoy plants around the main crop can maximize pest diversion while minimizing competition (Agrawal et al., 2010). The use of border planting or strip intercropping can enhance the effectiveness of decoy plants.

The **timing of planting** is another critical factor. Decoy plants must be established before the onset of pest infestation to ensure their effectiveness. Early flowering is particularly important for attracting pests and beneficial insects.

Economic considerations also play a significant role in system design. Gajanana et al. (2006) demonstrated that integrated pest management practices, including intercropping, can improve economic returns by reducing input costs and increasing yield. Kumari et al. (2021) further highlighted the potential for additional income through the cultivation of marigold flowers.

However, the adoption of decoy plant systems is often limited by lack of awareness and technical knowledge among farmers. Extension services and training programs are essential for promoting the adoption of these practices.

Integration with Sustainable Agriculture Frameworks

The use of flowering decoy plants aligns with broader principles of sustainable agriculture, including biodiversity conservation, resource efficiency, and environmental protection. Botanical pesticides derived from plants, such as those discussed by Divekar (2023),

complement decoy plant systems by providing additional pest control options.

The integration of decoy plants with other sustainable practices, such as crop rotation and organic amendments, can further enhance system resilience. The use of silicon-based amendments, for example, has been shown to improve plant resistance to pathogens by strengthening cell walls and enhancing antioxidant activity (Abdelrhim et al., 2021; Guerriero et al., 2016).

These integrated approaches contribute to the development of **agroecological systems** that are less dependent on external inputs and more resilient to environmental stress.

3. Results

The evaluation of flowering decoy plants within *Solanum* farming systems reveals a multi-dimensional impact on pest suppression, plant health, and overall system productivity. The integration of *Tagetes erecta* as a decoy species consistently demonstrated a reduction in both arthropod pest populations and soil-borne pathogens, particularly root-knot nematodes. Empirical observations align with earlier findings that intercropping marigold significantly reduces nematode infestation and improves plant vigor (Abid and Maqbool, 1990; Krueger et al., 2007).

From an ecological perspective, the presence of flowering decoy plants altered pest behavior patterns. Arthropod pests exhibited preferential attraction toward marigold, thereby reducing feeding pressure on tomato crops. This behavioral diversion is supported by the production of volatile compounds and thiophenes, which function as both attractants and toxic agents (Arnason et al., 1989). Concurrently, an increase in beneficial insect populations was observed, indicating enhanced biological control through trophic interactions (Coppola et al., 2017).

At the physiological level, plants grown in intercropping systems exhibited enhanced defense responses. Increased activity of signaling pathways involving salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene was evident, suggesting that decoy plants contribute to the activation of systemic resistance mechanisms (Saleem et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021). Elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) were also detected, indicating their role in signaling and pathogen inhibition (Qi et al., 2018).

Root-zone analysis revealed significant suppression of nematode populations in soils associated with marigold cultivation. This effect is attributed to the release of bioactive compounds from marigold roots, which disrupt nematode life cycles and reduce their reproductive capacity (Gommers and Bakker, 1988). Additionally, improvements in soil microbial diversity were observed, suggesting a shift toward a more disease-suppressive soil environment.

Economic evaluation indicated that intercropping systems incorporating decoy plants resulted in reduced input costs due to decreased pesticide use and increased yield stability. Studies have shown that such systems can enhance profitability while maintaining environmental sustainability (Gajanana et al., 2006; Kumari et al., 2021).

However, variability in results was noted across different environmental conditions and system configurations. Factors such as soil type, climate, and crop management practices influenced the effectiveness of decoy plants. In some cases, competition for nutrients and water between the main crop and decoy plants led to marginal reductions in yield, highlighting the importance of optimized system design.

Overall, the findings confirm that flowering decoy plants provide a robust, multi-layered approach to pest management in *Solanum* systems. Their effectiveness is derived from the integration of ecological interactions, biochemical processes, and agronomic practices, making them a viable alternative to conventional chemical-based pest control methods.

Discussion

The results of this study reinforce the conceptual framework that flowering decoy plants function as both ecological and physiological regulators within agricultural systems. The observed reduction in pest populations and enhancement of plant defense mechanisms highlight the effectiveness of integrating ecological processes with molecular plant biology.

One of the key insights from this research is the **synergistic interaction between ecological and biochemical mechanisms**. While ecological processes such as pest diversion and enhancement of beneficial insects provide immediate protection, biochemical pathways involving hormonal signaling and ROS

production offer sustained resistance. This dual-layered defense system aligns with the concept of integrated pest management, where multiple strategies are combined to achieve long-term sustainability (Brooker et al., 2015).

The role of hormonal crosstalk in mediating plant defense responses is particularly significant. The interaction between salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene pathways enables plants to respond dynamically to different types of stress (Vos et al., 2015). However, this interaction also introduces complexity, as the activation of one pathway may suppress another. This trade-off underscores the need for a balanced approach to system design that maximizes defense without compromising plant growth.

The findings also highlight the importance of **rhizosphere interactions** in disease suppression. The ability of marigold to alter soil microbial communities and inhibit nematode populations demonstrates the potential of root-mediated processes in sustainable agriculture. This aligns with emerging research on rhizosphere engineering, which emphasizes the role of plant-microbe interactions in enhancing crop resilience.

Despite these advantages, several limitations must be considered. The effectiveness of decoy plant systems is highly dependent on environmental conditions, which can lead to inconsistent outcomes. Additionally, the metabolic cost associated with enhanced defense responses may affect crop productivity under certain conditions. These limitations suggest that decoy plant systems should be integrated with other sustainable practices, such as crop rotation and soil management, to achieve optimal results.

Another critical consideration is the scalability of these systems. While small-scale studies have demonstrated their effectiveness, large-scale implementation requires careful planning and adaptation to local conditions. Farmer awareness and access to technical knowledge are also essential for successful adoption.

Comparatively, the findings of this study are consistent with previous research on intercropping and plant defense mechanisms but extend the understanding by integrating multiple levels of analysis. The study provides a comprehensive framework that bridges the gap between ecological theory and practical application.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that the strategic deployment of flowering decoy plants, particularly *Tagetes erecta*, offers a scientifically robust and ecologically sustainable solution for managing arthropods and root pathogens in *Solanum* farming systems. By integrating ecological interactions, biochemical defense mechanisms, and agronomic practices, decoy plant systems provide a multi-functional approach to pest management.

The study highlights that decoy plants operate through a combination of pest diversion, chemical inhibition, enhancement of beneficial organisms, and activation of plant immune responses. These mechanisms collectively contribute to reduced pest incidence, improved crop health, and enhanced economic returns. Importantly, the approach aligns with global sustainability goals by reducing reliance on synthetic pesticides and promoting biodiversity.

However, the effectiveness of these systems is influenced by environmental conditions, system design, and management practices. Future research should focus on optimizing spatial arrangements, understanding long-term ecological impacts, and integrating decoy plants with other sustainable agricultural practices.

In conclusion, flowering decoy plants represent a viable and scalable strategy for advancing sustainable agriculture. Their adoption has the potential to transform pest management practices by shifting from reactive chemical control to proactive ecological regulation.

References

1. Abdelrhim, A.S.; Mazrou, Y.S.; Nehela, Y.; Atallah, O.O.; El-Ashmony, R.M.; Dawood, M.F. Silicon dioxide nanoparticles induce innate immune responses and activate antioxidant machinery in wheat against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plants* 2021, 10, 2758.
2. Abid, M., and M.A. Maqbool. 1990. "Effects of Inter-Cropping of *Tagetes erecta* on Root-Knot Disease and Growth of Tomato." *International Nematology Network Newsletter*, 7(3): 41-42.
3. Agrawal, M.K., Kar, D.S. and Das, A.B. 2010. Intercropping trial in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. botrytis) cv. Snowball-16. *Asian J. Hort.*, 6(1): 13-15.
4. Amari, K.; Niehl, A. Nucleic acid-mediated PAMP-triggered immunity in plants. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2020, 42, 32–39.
5. Arnason, J.T.B., J.R. Philogene, P. Morand, K. Imrie, S. Iyengar, F. Duval, C. Soucy-Breau, J.C. Scaiano, N.H. Werstiuk, B. Hasspieler and A.E.R. Downe. 1989. Naturally occurring and synthetic thiophenes as photoactivated insecticides. *ACS Symposium Series*, 387: 164-172.
6. Assmann, S.M.; Jegla, T. Guard cell sensory systems: Recent insights on stomatal responses to light, abscisic acid, and CO₂. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2016, 33, 157–167.
7. Bacete, L.; Melida, H.; Miedes, E.; Molina, A. Plant cell wall-mediated immunity: Cell wall changes trigger disease resistance responses. *Plant J.* 2018, 93, 614–636.
8. Battache, M.; Lebrun, M.H.; Sakai, K.; Soudière, O.; Cambon, F.; Langin, T.; Saintenac, C. Blocked at the stomatal gate, a key step of wheat Stb16q-mediated resistance to *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 921074.
9. Bharath, P.; Gahir, S.; Raghavendra, A.S. Abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure: An important component of plant defense against abiotic and biotic stress. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2021, 12, 615114.
10. Binder, B.M. Ethylene signaling in plants. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2020, 295, 7710–7725.
11. Brooker, R.W., Bennett, A.E., Cong, W.F., Daniell, T.J., George, T.S., Hallett, P.D. and White, P.J. 2015. Improving intercropping: a synthesis of research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. *New Phytologist*, 206(1), 107-117.
12. Coppola, M.; Cascone, P.; Madonna, V.; Di Lelio, I.; Esposito, F.; Avitabile, C.; Corrado, G. Plant-to-plant communication triggered by systemin primes anti-herbivore resistance in tomatoes. *Sci Rep.* 2017, 7, 15522.
13. Dat, J.F.; Pellinen, R.; Beeckman, T.; Van De Cotte, B.; Langebartels, C.; Kangasjärvi, J.; Van Breusegem, F. Changes in hydrogen peroxide homeostasis trigger an active cell death process in tobacco. *Plant J.* 2003, 33, 621–632.
14. Denoux, C.; Galletti, R.; Mammarella, N.; Gopalan, S.; Werck, D.; De Lorenzo, G.; Dewdney, J. Activation of defense response pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Mol. Plant.* 2008, 1, 423–445.
15. Dinglasan, E.; Periyannan, S.; Hickey, L.T. Harnessing adult-plant resistance genes to deploy

- durable disease resistance in crops. *Essays Biochem.* 2022, 66, 571–580.
16. Divekar, P. 2023. Botanical Pesticides: An Eco-Friendly Approach for Management of Insect Pests. *Acta Scientific Agriculture*, 7: 75-81.
 17. Ellis, J.G.; Lagudah, E.S.; Spielmeyer, W.; Dodds, P.N. The past, present and future of breeding rust resistant wheat. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2014, 5, 641.
 18. Feuillet, C.; Travella, S.; Stein, N.; Albar, L.; Nublát, A.; Keller, B. Map-based isolation of the leaf rust disease resistance gene Lr10 from the hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2003, 100, 15253–15258.
 19. Fukuoka, S.; Saka, N.; Koga, H.; Ono, K.; Shimizu, T.; Ebana, K.; Yano, M. Loss of function of a proline-containing protein confers durable disease resistance in rice. *Science* 2009, 325, 998–1001.
 20. Gajanana, T.M., Krishna Moorthy, P.N., Anupama, H.L., Raghunatha, R. and Prasanna Kumar G.T. 2006. Integrated Pest and Disease Management in Tomato: An Economic Analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 19: 269-280.
 21. Gommers, F.J. and J. Bakker. 1988. Physiological diseases induced by plant responses or products. Pp. 3-22 in: *Diseases of nematodes*. CRC Press.
 22. Groom, N. 1997. *The new perfume handbook*. Chapman and Hall India publishers, Chennai, India, P. 436.
 23. Guo, X.; Zhang, Y.; Tu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, W.; Yang, Y. Overexpression of an EIN3-binding F-box protein2-like gene caused elongated fruit shape and delayed fruit development and ripening in tomato. *Plant Sci.* 2018, 272, 131–141.
 24. Guerriero, G.; Hausman, J.F.; Legay, S. Silicon and the plant extracellular matrix. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 463.
 25. Hossain, M.M., Singha, A., Haque, M.S., Mondal, M.T.R., Jiku, M.A.S. and Alam, M.A. 2018-19. Management of chilli insect pests by using trap crops. *Thai J. Agric. Sci.*, 54(3).
 26. Hu, C.; Wei, C.; Ma, Q.; Dong, H.; Shi, K.; Zhou, Y.; Yu, J. Ethylene response factors 15 and 16 trigger jasmonate biosynthesis in tomato during herbivore resistance. *Plant Physiol.* 2021, 185, 1182–1197.
 27. Hussain, B., Bilal, S. and Dar, M.H. 2003-04. Economics of Marigold as Trap Crop against Tomato Fruit Borer in Kashmir Valley. *Trends in Biosciences*, 3(1): 39-40.
 28. Kadota, Y.; Sklenar, J.; Derbyshire, P.; Stransfeld, L.; Asai, S.; Ntoukakis, V.; Zipfel, C. Direct regulation of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-associated kinase BIK1 during plant immunity. *Mol. Cell.* 2014, 54, 43–55.
 29. Kang, H.; Wang, Y.; Peng, S.; Zhang, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, D.; Wang, G.L. Dissection of the genetic architecture of rice resistance to the blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Mol. Plant. Pathol.* 2016, 17, 959–972.
 30. Kaplan, L. 1960. Historical and ethnobotanical aspects of domestication in *Tagetes*. *Economic Botany*. 14: 200.
 31. Kaur, H.; Greger, M. A review on Si uptake and transport system. *Plants*. 2019, 8, 81.
 32. Khan, H.K., K.N. Chandre Gowda and G.N. Nagaraja. 1996. Economic analysis of IPM practices in hybrid tomato. *Agricultural Banker*, 20(1): 38-41.
 33. Kim, J.G.; Stork, W.; Mudgett, M.B. Xanthomonas type III effector XopD desumoylates tomato transcription factor SlERF4 to suppress ethylene responses and promote pathogen growth. *Cell Host Microbe* 2013, 13, 143–154.
 34. Krishnamurthy, N.B, Nagaraj, B., Barasa, M., Liny, P. and Dinesh, R. 2012. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using *Tagetes erecta* L. flower extract. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Science*.
 35. Krueger, R., Dover, K. E., McSorley, R. and Wang, K. H. 2007. Marigolds (*Tagetes* spp.) for nematode management. University of Hawaii.
 36. Kumari, A.R., Prakash, S. and Mandal, S.K. 2021. Marigold intercropping with cabbage for pest management. *Progressive Agriculture*.
 37. Lehmann, S.; Serrano, M.; L’Haridon, F.; Tjamos, S.E.; Metraux, J.P. Reactive oxygen species and plant resistance to fungal pathogens. *Phytochemistry* 2015, 112, 54–62.
 38. Lin, P.A.; Chen, Y.; Ponce, G.; Acevedo, F.E.; Lynch, J.P.; Anderson, C.T.; Felton, G.W. Stomata-mediated interactions between plants, herbivores, and the environment. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2022, 27, 287–300.
 39. Liu, F.; Wang, M.; Wen, J.; Yi, B.; Shen, J.; Ma, C.; Fu, T. Overexpression of barley oxalate oxidase gene induces partial leaf resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in transgenic oilseed rape. *Plant Pathol.* 2015, 64, 1407–1416.

40. Liu, Z.; Hou, S.; Rodrigues, O.; Wang, P.; Luo, D.; Munemasa, S.; Shan, L. Phytocytokines signaling reopens stomata in plant immunity and water loss. *Nature* 2022, 605, 332–339.
41. Melotto, M.; Underwood, W.; He, S.Y. Role of stomata in plant innate immunity and foliar bacterial diseases. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2008, 46, 101–122.
42. Mohamed, H.I.; El-Shazly, H.H.; Badr, A. Role of salicylic acid in biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Phenolics Sustain. Agric.* 2020, 1, 533–554.
43. Mott, K.A.; Takemoto, J.Y. Syringomycin, a bacterial phytotoxin, closes stomata. *Plant Physiol.* 1989, 90, 1435–1439.
44. Nekrasov, V.; Wang, C.; Win, J.; Lanz, C.; Weigel, D.; Kamoun, S. Rapid generation of a transgene-free powdery mildew resistant tomato by genome deletion. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 482.
45. Olsson, V.; Joos, L.; Zhu, S.; Gevaert, K.; Butenko, M.A.; De Smet, I. Look closely, the beauty may be small: Precursor-derived peptides in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2019, 70, 153–186.
46. Piffanelli, P.; Zhou, F.; Casais, C.; Orme, J.; Jarosch, B.; Schaffrath, U.; Schulze-Lefert, P. The barley MLO modulator of defense and cell death is responsive to biotic and abiotic stress stimuli. *Plant Physiol.* 2002, 129, 1076–1085.
47. Qi, J.; Song, C.P.; Wang, B.; Zhou, J.; Kangasjärvi, J.; Zhu, J.K.; Gong, Z. Reactive oxygen species signaling and stomatal movement in plant responses to drought stress and pathogen attack. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 2018, 60, 805–826.
48. Rodrigues, O.; Shan, L. Stomata in a state of emergency: H₂O₂ is the target locked. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2022, 27, 274–286.
49. Saleem, M.; Fariduddin, Q.; Castroverde, C.D.M. Salicylic acid: A key regulator of redox signaling and plant immunity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2021, 168, 381–397.
50. Schroeder, J.I. Knockout of the guard cell K⁺ out channel and stomatal movements. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2003, 100, 4976–4977.
51. Sheng, H.; Chen, S. Plant silicon-cell wall complexes: Identification, model of covalent bond formation and biofunction. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020, 155, 13–19.
52. Sun, S.; Yang, Z.; Song, Z.; Wang, N.; Guo, N.; Niu, J.; Chen, S. Silicon enhances plant resistance to Fusarium wilt by promoting antioxidant potential and photosynthetic capacity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 1011859.
53. Survila, M.; Davidsson, P.R.; Pennanen, V.; Kariola, T.; Broberg, M.; Sipari, N.; Palva, E.T. Peroxidase-generated apoplastic ROS impair cuticle integrity and contribute to DAMP-elicited defenses. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2016, 7, 1945.
54. Takahashi, F.; Hanada, K.; Kondo, T.; Shinozaki, K. Hormone-like peptides and small coding genes in plant stress signaling and development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2019, 51, 88–95.
55. Tada, Y.; Spoel, S.H.; Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K.; Mou, Z.; Song, J.; Wang, C.; Dong, X. Plant immunity requires conformational changes of NPR1 via S-nitrosylation and thioredoxins. *Science* 2008, 321, 952–956.
56. Vos, I.A.; Moritz, L.; Pieterse, C.M.; Van Wees, S.C. Impact of hormonal crosstalk on plant resistance and fitness under multi-attacker conditions. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2015, 6, 639.
57. Villalpando-Rodriguez, G.E.; Gibson, S.B. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) regulates different types of cell death by acting as a rheostat. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2021, 1155, 9912436.
58. Wiermer, M.; Feys, B.J.; Parker, J.E. Plant immunity: The EDS1 regulatory node. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2005, 8, 383–389.
59. Yang, X.; Yang, J.; Wang, Y.; He, H.; Niu, L.; Guo, D.; Dong, Y. Enhanced resistance to sclerotinia stem rot in transgenic soybean that overexpresses wheat oxalate oxidase. *Transgenic Res.* 2019, 28, 103–114.