THE USA JOURNALS THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (ISSN – 2689-0984) VOLUME 06 ISSUE09

PUBLISHED DATE: - 26-09-2024 DOI: - https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume06Issue09-13

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PAGE NO.: - 111-115

Open Access

OPTIMISATION OF THE PROCESS OF PREPARATION OF ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID COMPLEX WITH GLYCYRRHIZIC ACID

Tadjibayeva Mahmuda Rikhsibayevna

Assistant, Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology, Republic of Uzbekistan. Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Ziyadullaeva Kamola Khaitboevna

Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, University of Geological Sciences, Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent city, Uzbekistan

Akhmedova Farzona Uktamovna

Master's student,Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology, Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent city, Uzbekistan

Karimov Rikhsibai Kuchkarovich

Candidate of Technical Sciences, Leading Researcher, Institute of Chemistry of Plant Substances, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract

The process of preparation of acetylsalicylic acid complex with glycyrrhizic acid has been developed. Also, the optimal parameter conditions were found by the method of mathematical planning. The results of the quantitative assessment of the contribution of each of the factors selected as one of the tasks of process optimization by the method of mathematical planning of the experiment were analyzed.

Keywords Optimization, activated charcoal, sorbent, filtration, factors, regression equation, glycyrrhizicacid, licorice.

INTRODUCTION

Combinations of drugs, contribute to the prevention of toxic effects. However, an increase in the number of drugs taken by the patient can have an adverse effect on the liver, especially since NSAIDs themselves have a toxic effect on liver cells.In this regard, we have undertaken chemical and pharmacological studies of the complex of acetylsalicylic acid with glycyrrhizic acid, the aim of which was to create a new anti-inflammatory drug of prolonged action with high activity and fewer side effects on the basis of aspirin [1-3].

To determine the optimum conditions, as well as to quantify the influence of a number of factors on the process, to obtain its mathematical model, as well as to determine the conditions for obtaining the maximum yield of the product, we used the Box-

THE USA JOURNALS THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (ISSN – 2689-0984) VOLUME 06 ISSUE09

Wilson method [4-6].

Based on the analysis of the results of experiments

and literature data, we selected the factors, established the main levels and intervals of variation for them.

Table 1

N⁰	Levels of variation	X ₁ ,hour	Х2, SOOT.	X ₃ , ⁰ C
1.	Lower level	2	2:10	30
2.	Main level	3	2:15	40
3.	Upper level	4	2:20	50
4.	Variation interval	1	0:5	10

Factor levels and variation intervals

X₁ – duration of reaction, hour.

X₂ – molar ratio of HA: ethanol, mol/mol

X₃ – reaction temeperature⁰C.

Planning matrix

We conducted a full factorial experiment of type 23. The planning matrix and data of experiments are presented in Table 2.

In a three-neck flask, equipped with a stirrer, reflux condenser, water heating 164 glycyrrhizic acid are placed and 95% ethyl alcohol is poured. To the solution 36 g of acetylsalicylic acid is added and stirred under the conditions specified in the matrix. Then the solvent is evaporated in vacuum at

50 0C in a rotary evaporator. The used solvent is reapplied in the cycle. The residue is dried in vacuum for 2 hours at 50 0C and complex is obtained.

According to the experimental data, a mathematical model of the process was obtained, in which the effects of interaction of factors were also introduced:

Y = 86.31 - 0.57 X1 - 2.03 X2 + 5.61 X3

Table2

Nº of experem, ent	X_0	X_1	X_2	X ₃	\mathbf{y}_1	У ₂	Y _{cp.}
1.	+	-	+	-	80.95	82,60	81,55
2.	+	+	+	-	80,82	83.42,0	82,12

Planning matrix and experemental results

THE USA JOURNALS

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (ISSN - 2689-0984) volume of issue09

3.	+	-	+	+	95.4	91,2	93,3
4.	+	+	+	+	79.3	81,0	80,15
5.	+	-	-	-	76.4	71.1	73,75
6.	+	+	-	-	86,7	84,0	85,35
7.	+	-	-	+	98,1	99,8	98,95
8.	+	+	-	+	98,49	92,17	95,33

According to the experimental data, we obtained the mathematical model of the process

Y = 86.31 - 0.57 X1 - 2.03 X2 + 5.61 X3

Table 3

Nº of exper emen t	У _{ср}	ΔУ	ΔY^2	S _i ²	$\hat{Y}_{\text{pacч.}}$	\hat{Y}_{pac4} - y_{cp}	$(\hat{Y}-Y_{cp})^2$
1.	81,55	1,05	1.10	2.20	79.24	2.31	5.34
2.	82,12	1.3	1.69	3.38	78.1	4.02	16.16
3.	93,30	2.1	4.41	8.82	90.46	2.84	8.07
4.	80,15	0.85	0.72	1.44	80.78	0.63	0.40
5.	73,75	2.65	7.02	14.04	73.3	0.45	0.20
6.	85,35	1,35	1.82	3.64	82.16	3.19	10.18
7.	98,95	0.85	0.72	1.44	95.52	3.43	11.77
8.	95,33	3.16	9.98	19.96	93.38	1.95	3.8
			27.46	54.92			55.92

Statistical analysis

The homogeneity of the variance was tested by the Cochran's criterion

$$G = \frac{S_{\text{max}}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Si^2} = \frac{19.96}{54.92} = 0.36$$

 $G_{\mbox{\scriptsize off}}=0,\,36{<}\,0{,}6798{=}\,G_{\mbox{\scriptsize tabu.}}$ The variances are homogeneous.

We calculated: the mean variance of reproducibility

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Si^{2}$$
 54.92

THE USA JOURNALS

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (ISSN – 2689-0984) **VOLUME 06 ISSUE09**

$$S^{2}_{\{y\}} = ----- = ---- = 6.865$$

The adequacy variance is -

$$S_{ag}^{2} = \frac{2\sum_{i}^{n} (\hat{y} - y_{cp})^{2} 2 * 55.92}{f} = \frac{27.96}{4}$$

where f is the number of degrees of freedom:f= 4

The adequacy of the equation was checked by Fisher's criterion [6].

$$S^{2}_{ag} 27.96
 F = ----= ---- = 4.07
 S^{2}_{y} 6.865$$

Ftable (4.8) = 4,8 Fop>Ftable The model is adequate.

We calculated the confidence interval using the formula $S^2_{\{v\}}$ 6.865

$$S^{2}_{{}_{{}_{{}_{B}}{}_{}}} = \frac{1}{N} = 0.858$$

 $S^{2}_{{}_{{}_{B}{}_{}}} = \sqrt{0.858} = \pm 0.93$
t table = 3.182

 $\pm B_i = t * S^2_{{Bi}} = 3,182 * 0,93 = 2.96$

From comparing the confidence interval with the regression coefficients of the equation, it follows that the value of the optimisation parameter is mainly influenced by

X3 - reaction temperature

According to the obtained regression equation:

 $\mathtt{Y} = \mathtt{86,31} - \mathtt{0,57} \ \mathtt{X_1} - \mathtt{2,03} \ \mathtt{X_2} + \mathtt{5,61} \ \mathtt{X_3}$

In our case the coefficient X3 is significant (Table 4).

Table4 Significance of coefficients

		Bi - values	Symbols	Bi - values	Results
--	--	-------------	---------	-------------	---------

https://www.theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet

THE USA JOURNALS THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (ISSN – 2689-0984) volume 06 issue09

86	>	2.96	Coefficient of significance
-0,57	<	2.96	Coefficient insignificant
-2,03	<	2.96	Coefficient insignificant
5,61	>	2.96	Coefficient of significance

One of the tasks of process optimisation by the method of mathematical planning of experiment is quantitative estimation of contribution of each of the selected factors.

As it can be seen from the table, the factor, X3 - reaction temperature turned out to be significant.

CONCLUSIONS

The conducted researches by the method of mathematical planning of experiment revealed the optimal conditions of the process.

- reaction temperature 45-50 0C,
- extraction time 2 hours.

REFERENCES

- Ammosov A.S, LitvinenekoV.I.Triterpenoids of plants GlycyrrhizaL.
 bMeristotropisFisch.etMey// Chemico-Pharmaceutical Journal.2003.-T.37,No.2. -C31-42.
- **2.** Saidov S.S., Ziyadullaev M.E., Abdurazakov A.S., Karimov R.K., Saidova G.E., Sagdullaev S.S.

Optimisation of the process of obtaining
pharmacopoeial substance 2-
acetylaminobenzimidazole // J. Universum
Techn. sciences -2019. -№ 4. -C. 56-59.

- **3.** Saidov S.S. Optimization of the Process for Producing 5-Nitro-2-Acetylaminobenzimidazole and its Bactericidal and Fungicidal Activity // Pharmaceutical Chemistry Journal. -2021. -54 (10). -P. 1015-1018.
- **4.** R.M. Kondratenko, Avtoref. doc. diss. "Glycyrrhizic acid and related triterpenoids of licorice root in the synthesis of promising biologically active compounds" (Ufa, 2006) 14.
- **5.** Yu.T. Isaev, S.A. Rustamov, I.R. Askarov, Scientific Bulletin of AndSU 4, 26-29 (2018) 15.
- **6.** G.A. Tolstikov, L.A. Baltina, E.E. Shults, A.G. Pokrovsky, Bioorganic chemistry 23(9), 691-709 (1997) 16. Yu.T. Isaev, S.A. Rustamov, I.R. Askarov, Bulletin of the Perm State Pharmaceutical Academy. Scientific and practical journal. Permian 22, 34-35 (2018)