



### Journal

Website: <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

## Research Article

# ADVANCING CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR FLEXIBLE ROBOT MANIPULATORS: FEED-FORWARD SCHEMES UNVEILED

Submission Date: Aug 22, 2023, Accepted Date: Aug 27, 2023,

Published Date: Sep 01, 2023|

Crossrefdoi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume05Issue09-01>

Zaharuddin Ismail

Faculty Of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

## ABSTRACT

This research paper delves into the realm of control strategies aimed at enhancing the performance of flexible robot manipulator systems. The study focuses on the exploration and unveiling of novel feed-forward control schemes tailored to mitigate the challenges posed by system flexibility. With an emphasis on precision, stability, and efficiency, the proposed strategies harness the potential of advanced control techniques to elevate the capabilities of these complex systems. Through theoretical analysis and simulation, the effectiveness of the feed-forward schemes is demonstrated, showcasing their potential to revolutionize the operation of flexible robot manipulators across various industries.

## KEYWORDS

Flexible robot manipulators, feed-forward control, control strategies, system flexibility, precision control, stability enhancement, advanced control techniques, simulation, performance optimization, industrial applications.

## INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand for robotic systems with enhanced dexterity, accuracy, and adaptability has propelled the exploration of control strategies for flexible robot manipulators. These manipulators find applications in diverse industries ranging from manufacturing and assembly to medical procedures

and space exploration. However, the inherent flexibility of these systems introduces challenges in achieving precise and stable control, limiting their potential in performing complex tasks with utmost efficiency.

Traditional control methods, primarily relying on feedback mechanisms, often struggle to mitigate the adverse effects of system flexibility. Vibrations, resonance, and inaccuracies become prevalent issues, leading to suboptimal performance and compromised outcomes. In response, researchers have turned their attention to advanced control strategies that can proactively anticipate and counteract the effects of flexibility, thereby enhancing the manipulator's overall performance.

This research paper delves into the realm of advancing control strategies specifically tailored for flexible robot manipulator systems. The focus lies on the exploration and presentation of innovative feed-forward control schemes as a promising solution to address the challenges posed by system flexibility. These feed-forward schemes capitalize on predictive models and real-time input data to pre-compensate for system dynamics, resulting in improved precision, stability, and efficiency.

Throughout this paper, we elucidate the theoretical foundations of the proposed feed-forward control schemes, providing insights into their design principles and underlying mechanisms. We present a comprehensive analysis of the benefits they offer, backed by simulation results that highlight their potential to revolutionize the field of flexible robot manipulation. By contributing to the growing body of knowledge on advanced control techniques, this study aims to inspire further research and development in the pursuit of optimizing the capabilities of flexible robot manipulator systems across a spectrum of industrial applications.

### METHODOLOGY

To unveil and evaluate the efficacy of the proposed advancing feed-forward control strategies for flexible robot manipulators, a systematic methodology is adopted. This methodology encompasses several key stages that collectively contribute to the development, implementation, and assessment of the novel control schemes.

### System Modeling and Identification:

The first step involves creating an accurate mathematical model of the flexible robot manipulator system. This model captures the inherent dynamics, including flexibility and non-linearities. Parameters are identified through experimental data or established analytical methods, ensuring the fidelity of the model.

### Feed-Forward Control Scheme Design:

Building upon the established model, the feed-forward control schemes are designed. These schemes incorporate predictive models that anticipate system behavior based on real-time input data. Various techniques such as neural networks, adaptive algorithms, and dynamic compensation strategies are explored to enhance the control scheme's effectiveness.

### Feed-Forward Compensation Mechanism:

The feed-forward compensation mechanism is integrated into the control architecture. It processes the anticipated disturbances, vibrations, and flexibility-related effects in real-time. This mechanism generates pre-compensatory control signals to counteract these effects before they significantly impact the manipulator's performance.

### Controller Implementation:

The designed feed-forward control scheme is integrated into the control loop of the flexible robot manipulator system. This involves developing the necessary software and hardware interfaces to enable seamless communication between the control algorithm, sensors, and actuators.

### Simulation and Validation:

Extensive simulation studies are conducted to evaluate the performance of the feed-forward control schemes. Simulated scenarios include tasks with varying levels of complexity and environmental conditions. The system's response is analyzed in terms of precision,

stability, energy consumption, and resistance to flexibility-induced issues.

### Comparative Analysis:

To ascertain the superiority of the proposed feed-forward schemes, a comparative analysis is performed against traditional feedback control methods. The comparison encompasses both performance metrics and computational efficiency, highlighting the advantages of feed-forward strategies in managing flexibility-related challenges.

### Real-World Experimentation:

The most promising feed-forward control schemes are selected for real-world experimentation on an actual flexible robot manipulator system. These experiments validate the simulation results and provide insights into the practical challenges of implementation.

### Performance Evaluation:

The performance of the advanced feed-forward control strategies is rigorously evaluated based on experimental results. Metrics such as position accuracy, tracking error, vibration reduction, and energy efficiency are quantified to showcase the benefits of the proposed schemes.

By following this comprehensive methodology, this study aims to contribute valuable insights into the realm of advancing control strategies for flexible robot manipulators. The combination of theoretical analysis, simulation, and real-world experimentation ensures a holistic assessment of the proposed feed-forward schemes and their potential to revolutionize the field of flexible robot manipulation.

## RESULTS

The results of the study reveal the substantial benefits of the advancing feed-forward control strategies for flexible robot manipulators. Through extensive simulations and real-world experiments, the performance of these schemes was evaluated in

comparison to traditional feedback control methods. Key findings include:

**Enhanced Precision:** The feed-forward control schemes demonstrated significantly improved position accuracy and tracking performance compared to traditional methods. The anticipatory nature of feed-forward compensation enabled precise manipulation even in the presence of flexibility-induced vibrations.

**Stability Enhancement:** The feed-forward strategies effectively suppressed resonance and oscillations, leading to enhanced system stability. This stability was maintained across a wide range of tasks and operating conditions, ensuring consistent and reliable performance.

**Vibration Reduction:** Flexibility-induced vibrations, a common challenge in manipulator systems, were notably reduced through the feed-forward compensation mechanism. This reduction contributed to smoother operation and reduced wear and tear on the system components.

**Energy Efficiency:** The feed-forward control schemes showcased improved energy efficiency by minimizing the need for reactive control actions. The pre-compensatory nature of feed-forward strategies reduced the overall energy consumption of the system.

## DISCUSSION

The results underscore the transformative potential of feed-forward control strategies in addressing the challenges posed by system flexibility in robot manipulators. The anticipatory nature of these schemes allows them to effectively counteract disturbances before they propagate through the system, leading to superior performance across various metrics. The simulation and experimental results validate the theoretical underpinnings of the feed-forward approaches, demonstrating their ability to push the boundaries of what is achievable with flexible manipulator systems.

The discussion also highlights the adaptability of these feed-forward strategies to different manipulator architectures, task requirements, and environmental conditions. The incorporation of advanced control techniques, such as neural networks and adaptive algorithms, further emphasizes the flexibility and scalability of the proposed schemes.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study introduces and investigates advancing feed-forward control strategies tailored for flexible robot manipulator systems. The research showcases the potential of these schemes to revolutionize the field by addressing the limitations associated with system flexibility. The results demonstrate that feed-forward control can effectively mitigate the adverse effects of flexibility-induced vibrations, enhance precision, improve stability, and contribute to energy efficiency.

By unveiling the advantages of feed-forward control schemes, this research paves the way for further advancements in the control of flexible robot manipulators. The presented methodologies, simulation techniques, and experimental insights provide a valuable foundation for future research and development in this domain. As industries increasingly demand higher performance from manipulator systems, the insights from this study hold the promise of driving innovation and enhancing the capabilities of flexible robot manipulators in diverse applications.

### REFERENCES

1. D.M. Aspinwall. 1980. Acceleration profiles for minimising residual response. Transactions of ASME: Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, vol. 102 (1), pp. 3–6.
2. F. Khorrami, S. Jain, and A. Tzes. 1994. Experiments on rigid body-based controllers with input preshaping for a two-link flexible manipulator. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, vol. 10(1), pp. 55–65.
3. H. Moulin and E. Bayo. 1991. On the accuracy of end-point trajectory tracking for flexible arms by

non-causal inverse dynamic solution. Transactions of ASME: Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, vol. 113, pp. 320-324.

4. J.C. Swigert. 1980. Shaped torque techniques. Journal of Guidance and Control, vol. 3(5), pp. 460-467.
5. Jinjun Shan, Hong-Tao Liu, Dong Sun. 2004. Modified input shaping for a rotating single link flexible manipulator. Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 285, pp. 187-207.
6. M.O. Tokhi, and H. Poerwanto. 1996. Control of vibration of flexible manipulators using filtered command inputs. Proceedings of international congress on sound and vibration, St. Petersburg, Russia, pp. 1019–1026.
7. M.O. Tokhi and A.K.M. Azad. 1996. Control of flexible manipulator systems. Proceedings of IMechE-I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, vol. 210, pp. 283-292.
8. N.C. Singer and W.P. Seering. 1990. Preshaping command inputs to reduce system vibration. Transactions of ASME: Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, vol. 112(1), pp. 76-82.
9. P.H. Meckl and W.P. Seering. 1990. Experimental evaluation of shaped inputs to reduce vibration of a cartesian robot. Transactions of ASME: Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, vol. 112(6), pp. 159-165.