



Reexamining Morphological Investigation Application For Idea Combination In Designing Plan

Beitz, W.

PhD Student, University Of Cologne Cologne, Germany

Journal Website:

<https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet>

Copyright:

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

ABSTRACT

Designing divisions are compelled to raise new ways to deal with stay cutthroat. Henceforth, orderly development is fundamental for progress. One approach to address idea age is the examination of capacities and their division into sub-capacities, for which a few guideline arrangements can be planned or taken from lists. Those singular arrangements can be joined with the assistance of morphological boxes to acquire alleged generally speaking arrangements. Albeit broadly distributed, this technique isn't grounded in mechanical application and frequently abused in scholarly community: it doesn't forestall awful choices. The huge number of conceivable generally arrangements coming about because of combinatorial blast is as yet not reasonable. A writing survey is directed to comprehend the underlying point of morphological examinations. Two repudiating headings can be noticed: the quest for arrangements either towards development potential or towards specialized plausibility. Both accompany disadvantages. To beat these deficiencies, another strategy is introduced meaning to help engineers. It is established on the speculation that considering setting data decreases the general exertion. This prompts an iterative methodology with progressively proving utilizations of low-intricacy morphological boxes. Numerical ideas like pareto-effectiveness are incorporated to streamline the variety coming about because of blend.

KEYWORDS

Morphological investigation, Morphological box, Item idea combination, Deliberate designing plan measure.

INTRODUCTION

To help development measures on the designing side, different techniques have been considered. One well known methodology

investigations the general capacity, which is additionally organized into sub-capacities. This strategy is led to separate the underlying issue

into reasonable subproblems. A short time later, a few potential arrangements are set up for each sub-capacity to cover the total arrangement field. By and by, similar to all strategies that split up bigger issues into more modest ones, the arrangements must be incorporated to fabricate one generally speaking arrangement satisfying the at first characterized reason for the item. Thus, a union advance is required. Morphological boxes are utilized as a rule to collect by and large ideas by deliberately connecting the singular arrangements.

While this technique is totally distributed, it is generally expected not all around executed in mechanical application and habitually inappropriately used in scholarly world. Typically, it doesn't assist with trying not to settle on off-base choices. Also, the morphological box can undoubtedly be utilized to legitimize the originators' favored arrangements without covering the planned total arrangement space. Ludicrously, this makes the utilization of the technique pointless. What's more, strategies joining components experience the ill effects of alleged combinatorial blast. This articulation alludes to the big number of generally speaking arrangements that the precise mix of all single components makes. A few strategies have been distributed, tending to this test. Be that as it may, none of them can resolve the issue of the great exertion in an achievable manner for mechanical application and simultaneously having the option to cover a total arrangement field. All things considered, since a deliberate assessment of the total arrangement field is as yet encouraging, new methodologies must be created.

Morphological Examinations in Principle

Morphological examination doesn't begin from the discipline of plan approach itself and its application isn't restricted to this space. Swiss physicist Fritz Zwicky distributed the

principal musings in the at around the center of the 20th century. He can be viewed as the initiator of the morphological hypothesis. As per Zwicky, it includes both examination and blend of complete arrangement spaces for explicit issues. Thusly, the methodology includes the receptive assessment of all hypothetically conceivable arrangements. He presents a few morphological strategies one of which is the strategy for the morphological box.

Birkhofer investigated examination just as union of specialized items in his postulation, specifically with the assistance of the morphological box. Notwithstanding its motivation as an apparatus for efficient blend, the morphological box empowers straightforward documentation for the different ideas. Harsh or definite representations for standard arrangements can undoubtedly be embedded into layout outlines. Tomiyama audits a few strategies for various creators and arranges an outline. In particular, he contentions that the methodology introduced by Pahl et al. – despite the fact that it very well may be the most shown technique – could undoubtedly be abused by designing plan understudies just as experts. Administrators may utilize the morphological box to legitimize their by and by liked and much of the time naturally discovered arrangements as opposed to having followed to the planned technique for the morphological examination, in other words, to research the total arrangement field.

In addition, much of the time it isn't just not required yet additionally pointless to explore all arrangements. From a monetary demeanor, it is adequate to expand one working arrangement fitting assembling, collecting and other organization requirements. In any case, discover this arrangement with the primary endeavor. These prerequisites bring about an inconsistency with the use of morphological examinations. A few methodologies are

distributed that attempt to beat this unsuitable circumstance. They can be isolated into two fundamental classifications: first, the ones that are utilizing morphological investigations as imagination procedures. These strategies target considering inventive arrangements or attempt to extend the examination concerning regions that were beforehand not inside center.

Preconditions for Advancements

All methodologies that attention on the proficient administration of morphological boxes share practically speaking that they require two hypothetical builds. The first is a need assessment for all singular arrangements bringing about a positioning. The second is a similarity grid that looks at the standard chance to join at least two individual arrangements with one another. Birkhofer presents the builds as sub-buildings N_n . He tends to the need assessment as intricate N_1 though the similarity assessment as sub-complex N_2 .

At the point when the singular arrangements are combined into a general arrangement, more than the information about the positioning is required. The similarity of all subsolutions must be analyzed also. Five rudimentary methods of how to set up similarity frameworks. The work required for the similarity grid can essentially vary contingent upon how the morphological box is applied. The creators played out an investigation in regards to those endeavor. By and large, it is satisfactory to just inspect those blends comprising of precisely two distinctive individual arrangements. With the assessment upgraded this way, the general exertion is diminished to not exactly a large portion of the work for the total assessment. N_2 addresses this similarity appraisal. The choice of one potential arrangement from the arrangement of all hypothetically potential arrangements costs precisely the quantity of assessments communicated by the scalar upsides of sub-

buildings N_1 and N_2 . While recognizing the design of the situations for N_1 and N_2 it turns out to be clear, that more pressing issues highlight unmanageable exertion. While N_1 is rising directly with each extra sub-arrangement added to the morphological box, N_2 acts factorially. That conduct is alluded to as combinatorial blast.

The quantity of examinations for positioning and similarity, nonetheless, stays as before. An outline of various methodologies is yielded. As opposed to that, Schneider presents a methodology that just assesses few agent arrangements. They can be deciphered as model mixes of individual arrangements that address a gathering of related arrangements. The methodology is by all accounts appropriate to diminish assessment exertion. However, the opportunity to discover new and inventive item ideas in the morphological field is diminished correspondingly as just a little piece of the total arrangement field is explored. This is straightforwardly as opposed to the underlying thoughts of Zwicky. Finishing up, the introduced approaches are not appropriate for dissolving the situation of morphological examinations. A potential way is to keep the quantity of capacities and arrangements little. Albeit this will prompt low exertion in its application, the motivation behind the morphological box gets weakened.

The calculation utilized for this must just depend on the position information, as the similarity has not yet been surveyed. Pareto-productivity as depicted above can be executed for this. Another methodology is the most elevated position calculation that drops those arrangements that include a position esteem under a specific edge. The outcome is a bunch of all potential arrangements that are adjusting to the enhancement conspire. Truly, these arrangements are not evaluated in regards to their specialized similarity. Subsequently, the fourth step is acquainted with defeat this impediment. The similarity

assessment, which is currently altogether diminished in its intricacy because of the decreased arrangement field, must be directed with respect to logical inconsistencies and advantages of mixes of two-component chains, bringing about the second sub-complex N2. As an outcome of this iterative methodology, the assessment of N2 may bring about no viable arrangement.

Evaluation with Software Demonstrator

To evaluate the effectiveness of the new method, a prototypical implementation has been conducted displays the modularised structure of the prototype. Separate applications have been conceptualised to e.g. create function structures in order to obtain the sub-function in a convenient way. Another application helps to set up the solution field using the morphological box. In addition, separate modules for the analysis incorporating the methods presented above have been implemented. One module assists the user to make his decisions in order to reduce the combinatorial variety while still being able to properly cover the solution field. All modules are realised as standalone application realised with the Delphi software development environment in Object Pascal programming language. All data exchange within the different modules is based on human readable industry standard xml files. In addition to that, the demonstrator allows for saving all application internal object data types representing the functions, solutions.

Summary and Outlook

The review of the presented approaches consistently shows that the application of morphological analyses implies an unmanageable effort if conducted in the originally intended way. Existing optimisation approaches do not change this fact. Hence, industrial application remains challenging. A new method that iteratively covers parts of the

total solution field with the help of a quality measure was introduced. The underlying methods were detailed and implemented in a software prototype. The evaluation in a case study for MTP conceptualisation has been presented.

REFERENCES

1. Wheelwright, S. C., Clark, K. B. (1992). Revolutionizing product development: Quantum leaps in speed, efficiency, and quality. New York: Free Press.
2. Topcu, IB, Isikdag, B, Tatar, O & Abi, E 2005, 'Depremde hasar görmüş binaların ferrocement panellerle güçlendirilmesi (in Turkish)' Earthquake Symposium, Kocaeli, Turkey.
3. Ozcebe, G, Ersoy, U, Tankut, T, Erduran, E, Keskin, RSO & Mertol, HC 2003, Strengthening of brick infilled RC frames with CFRP, Technical Report No. 2003/1, Structural Engineering Research Unit, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.
4. Frosch, RJ, Li, W, Jirsa, JO & Kreger, ME 1996, 'Retrofit of non-ductile momentresisting frames using precast infill wall panels' Earthquake Spectra, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 741-760.