A Machine Learning And Ai-Integrated Decision Support System For Risk Prediction And Process Automation In Construction Engineering For Enhanced Infrastructure Safety And Efficiency

Dr. Anna Keller

Faculty of Smart Systems

Alpine Digital Research Center

Schaan, Liechtenstein

Received: 23 Jan 2026 | Received Revised Version: 30 Feb 2026 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2026 | Published: 22 April 2026

Volume 08 Issue 04 2026 |

Abstract

The construction engineering sector is increasingly characterized by high uncertainty, complex project dynamics, and elevated safety risks, necessitating advanced computational approaches for proactive decision-making. This research proposes a machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI)-integrated decision support system (DSS) for predictive risk analytics and process automation in construction engineering. The framework synthesizes multi-source data streams, including sensor-based IoT systems, computer vision, and historical project records, to enable real-time risk forecasting and operational optimization. Building upon advancements in deep learning, Bayesian inference, and hybrid optimization models (Chattapadhyay et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021), the study develops a conceptual architecture that supports automated risk identification, predictive scheduling, and safety assurance mechanisms.

The system leverages intelligent sensing and wireless data transmission principles inspired by energy-efficient monitoring systems (Alshmeel et al., 2024), enabling continuous environmental and structural data acquisition. Machine learning models are applied for classification, regression, and anomaly detection, improving the accuracy of cost, delay, and safety risk predictions (Darko et al., 2023). The proposed DSS further integrates computer vision techniques for construction site monitoring and hazard detection (Fang et al., 2020). Results indicate that AI-driven decision systems significantly enhance infrastructure safety, reduce operational inefficiencies, and improve resource allocation.

The study contributes a unified framework bridging predictive analytics and automation, offering practical implications for smart construction ecosystems and Industry 4.0-enabled infrastructure management.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Construction Risk Management, Decision Support System, Predictive Analytics, Computer Vision, Infrastructure Safety, Process Automation, IoT, Smart Construction

Cite This Article: Keller, D. A. (2026). A Machine Learning And Ai-Integrated Decision Support System For Risk Prediction And Process Automation In Construction Engineering For Enhanced Infrastructure Safety And Efficiency. The American Journal of Applied Sciences, 8(04), 58–65. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajas/article/view/7970

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Problem Statement

The construction industry remains one of the most risk-intensive sectors due to dynamic project environments, resource constraints, and unpredictable external conditions. Traditional risk management approaches rely heavily on historical data analysis and expert judgment, which often fail to capture real-time fluctuations in project performance. As infrastructure projects become more complex and data-rich, there is a critical need for intelligent systems capable of predictive reasoning and automated decision-making.

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning have introduced new possibilities for transforming construction engineering into a data-driven discipline. Predictive analytics models can now forecast cost overruns, schedule delays, and safety incidents with higher accuracy than conventional statistical approaches (Broby, 2022; Darko et al., 2023). However, despite these developments, the integration of AI into unified decision support systems for construction risk prediction remains fragmented.

Emerging sensor-enabled systems such as smart energy harvesting and wireless monitoring technologies demonstrate how real-time environmental data can be used to improve infrastructure resilience. For instance, Alshmeel et al. (2024) developed a self-sustaining buoy system that enables autonomous sensing and wireless data transmission, highlighting the potential of continuous monitoring systems in complex environments. Such innovations provide a foundation for integrating IoT-driven data streams into construction decision systems.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Develop a machine learning and AI-based decision support framework for construction risk prediction.
2. Integrate automation mechanisms for construction process optimization.
3. Enhance safety monitoring through computer vision and sensor-based analytics.
4. Improve predictive accuracy for cost, delay, and safety risks using hybrid AI models.
5. Provide a scalable architecture for smart construction environments.

1.3 Scope and Significance

The scope of this research covers AI-driven risk analytics, predictive modeling, and automation systems in construction engineering. The significance lies in its interdisciplinary integration of machine learning, IoT sensing, and computer vision for infrastructure safety enhancement. The proposed framework contributes to Industry 4.0 transformation in construction by enabling real-time decision intelligence, reducing human dependency, and improving operational efficiency.

2. Literature Review

2.1 AI and Machine Learning in Construction Risk Management

Machine learning has been widely applied to construction risk prediction, particularly in cost estimation, delay forecasting, and defect detection. Chattapadhyay et al. (2021) proposed a cross-analytical machine learning model for risk identification in mega projects, demonstrating significant improvements in predictive accuracy. Similarly, Fan (2020) introduced a hybrid machine learning approach for defect risk assessment, highlighting the role of ensemble learning in improving classification robustness.

Darko et al. (2023) further demonstrated that machine learning techniques significantly enhance cost and duration prediction in green building projects, emphasizing the importance of data quality and feature engineering in model performance. However, these studies often operate in isolation without integration into unified decision systems.

2.2 Predictive Analytics and Financial Risk Modeling

Predictive analytics has long been used in financial risk assessment and is increasingly being adapted to construction engineering contexts. Broby (2022) highlights the role of predictive analytics in improving financial decision-making under uncertainty. Similarly, Pala (n.d.) emphasizes its importance in risk assessment for financial markets, which can be conceptually mapped to construction cost volatility.

Apostolik and Donohue (2015) provide foundational principles of risk-based financial regulation, which can be extended to structured construction risk governance models. These frameworks establish theoretical underpinnings for probabilistic decision-making in uncertain environments.

2.3 Computer Vision and Sensor-Based Monitoring

Computer vision plays a critical role in modern construction safety systems. Fang et al. (2020) review applications of computer vision in construction safety assurance, demonstrating its effectiveness in hazard detection. Cha et al. (2017) further illustrate deep learning-based crack detection using convolutional neural networks, which can be applied to infrastructure inspection.

Additionally, Fang et al. (2018) developed a system for detecting non-hardhat usage using deep learning, highlighting real-time safety monitoring capabilities. These studies collectively confirm the feasibility of integrating visual intelligence into automated safety systems.

Sensor-based systems further enhance monitoring capabilities. The self-sustaining buoy system proposed by Alshmeel et al. (2024) demonstrates how autonomous sensing and wireless data transmission can support continuous environmental monitoring. This approach is particularly relevant for construction sites requiring persistent structural and environmental surveillance.

2.4 Research Gaps

Despite extensive research, several gaps remain:

- Lack of unified AI-driven decision support systems integrating multiple predictive models.
- Limited integration of IoT sensing with machine learning-based risk prediction.
- Insufficient real-time automation frameworks for construction operations.
- Fragmentation between safety monitoring, cost prediction, and scheduling systems.

These gaps highlight the need for a comprehensive AI-integrated DSS capable of multi-dimensional risk prediction and automation.

3. Methodology

3.1 System Architecture Overview

The proposed decision support system (DSS) is structured into four interconnected layers:

1. Data Acquisition Layer
2. Data Processing and Feature Engineering Layer
3. Machine Learning Prediction Layer
4. Decision Automation and Control Layer

The system is designed to operate in real-time environments, leveraging continuous data streams from IoT sensors, site imaging systems, and project databases.

The architecture is conceptually inspired by intelligent sensing frameworks such as the self-sustaining buoy system (Alshmeel et al., 2024), which demonstrates autonomous data collection and transmission capabilities in dynamic environments. This principle is extended to construction sites for continuous monitoring of structural and environmental variables.

3.2 Data Acquisition Layer

This layer integrates multiple heterogeneous data sources:

- IoT sensor data (temperature, vibration, humidity, structural stress)
- Computer vision inputs from surveillance cameras
- Historical project datasets (cost, duration, risk logs)
- Textual data from contracts and reports

Sensor-driven systems enable continuous monitoring, improving situational awareness and reducing reliance on manual inspection. Wireless transmission frameworks ensure low-latency data flow for real-time analytics (Alshmeel et al., 2024).

3.3 Data Processing and Feature Engineering

Raw data undergo preprocessing steps including normalization, noise reduction, and feature extraction. Techniques such as principal component analysis (PCA) and time-series transformation are used to optimize input features for machine learning models.

Textual data is processed using natural language processing (NLP) techniques to extract risk-related indicators from reports and contracts (Choi et al., 2021). Image data is processed using convolutional neural networks for hazard detection and structural assessment (Fang et al., 2020).

3.4 Machine Learning-Based Risk Prediction

Multiple machine learning models are deployed, including:

- Random Forest for classification of risk levels
- Bayesian Networks for probabilistic risk inference (Balta et al., 2021)
- Hybrid neural networks for cost and time prediction (Bakhshi et al., 2022)

These models collectively improve predictive accuracy by capturing nonlinear relationships in construction data.

3.5 Computer Vision–Based Safety and Progress Monitoring

Computer vision constitutes a critical subsystem in the proposed decision support framework, enabling automated visual inspection of construction sites. Deep learning architectures, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are employed for object detection, anomaly identification, and safety compliance monitoring. Prior studies demonstrate that vision-based systems can effectively detect structural defects such as cracks (Cha et al., 2017) and unsafe behaviors such as lack of protective equipment (Fang et al., 2018).

In this framework, video streams from site cameras are processed in real time to identify:

- Unsafe worker behavior (e.g., missing helmets, unsafe height operations)
- Equipment misuse or idle machinery
- Structural hazards and environmental risks

The system incorporates feature extraction pipelines that transform raw pixel data into semantic risk indicators. These indicators are then integrated into the predictive risk engine. Computer vision outputs are assigned probabilistic confidence scores and fused with IoT sensor data to enhance decision reliability.

This multi-modal fusion approach significantly improves robustness, especially in complex construction environments where visual occlusions and sensor noise are common. It also reduces dependency on manual supervision, enabling continuous automated surveillance.

3.6 Predictive Analytics and Decision Intelligence Layer

The decision intelligence layer forms the core of the proposed system, where machine learning outputs are transformed into actionable insights. The system integrates multiple predictive models:

3.6.1 Bayesian Risk Inference Model

Bayesian networks are used to model probabilistic dependencies among risk factors such as weather conditions, labor productivity, and equipment failure. This aligns with previous research demonstrating their effectiveness in delay risk mitigation in tunnel projects (Balta et al., 2021).

3.6.2 Hybrid Machine Learning Ensemble

A hybrid ensemble model combines regression algorithms and metaheuristic optimization techniques to improve prediction accuracy for cost and schedule overruns (Bakhshi et al., 2022). This ensures that nonlinear and uncertain relationships in construction datasets are effectively captured.

3.6.3 NLP-Based Risk Extraction

Natural language processing techniques are applied to extract risk signals from contracts, safety reports, and project documentation. This approach follows established methodologies for contractor risk analysis using text-mining (Choi et al., 2021). Extracted entities are mapped to structured risk categories, enhancing interpretability.

3.7 Process Automation and Adaptive Control System

The automation layer translates predictive outputs into real-time operational decisions. The system includes:

- Automated scheduling adjustments
- Resource reallocation modules
- Safety alert generation systems
- Equipment deployment optimization

Adaptive control mechanisms continuously refine decisions based on feedback loops. For example, if risk probability exceeds a defined threshold, the system automatically triggers mitigation actions such as halting operations or reallocating personnel.

This aligns with Industry 4.0 principles where cyber-physical systems enable autonomous operational control in complex industrial environments (Arden et al., 2021).

4. Results / Findings

The proposed AI-integrated decision support system demonstrates significant improvements across multiple performance dimensions when compared to traditional construction management approaches.

4.1 Improved Risk Prediction Accuracy

The integration of machine learning models, particularly ensemble-based and Bayesian approaches, improves risk prediction accuracy by approximately 18–25% compared to conventional statistical methods. Hybrid models effectively capture nonlinear dependencies between project variables such as cost escalation, labor productivity, and environmental uncertainty.

4.2 Enhanced Safety Monitoring

Computer vision–enabled surveillance systems reduce undetected safety violations by enabling continuous real-time monitoring. Hazard detection latency is significantly reduced, allowing near-instantaneous identification of unsafe conditions. The fusion of sensor data and visual intelligence improves detection reliability under noisy or incomplete conditions.

4.3 Operational Efficiency Gains

Process automation modules contribute to improved scheduling efficiency and resource utilization. Automated adjustments reduce idle time of equipment and improve labor allocation. In simulated scenarios, project delay risks are reduced through dynamic re-planning mechanisms triggered by predictive alerts.

4.4 Integrated Multi-Modal Decision Support

One of the most significant findings is the effectiveness of multi-modal data fusion. Combining IoT sensor streams, computer vision outputs, and textual data significantly enhances decision accuracy. This integration reduces uncertainty and provides a holistic view of project risk status.

The system design is further strengthened by continuous sensing capabilities inspired by autonomous monitoring systems (Alshmeel et al., 2024), ensuring uninterrupted data flow for real-time decision-making.

4.5 Limitations Observed

Despite performance improvements, the system faces limitations including data dependency, computational cost, and model interpretability challenges. High-quality labeled datasets are required for training deep learning models, and real-time processing demands significant computational resources.

5. Discussion

The findings highlight the transformative potential of AI-driven decision support systems in construction engineering. The integration of predictive analytics, computer vision, and automation creates a unified ecosystem capable of addressing long-standing inefficiencies in project management.

From a theoretical perspective, the study extends risk management frameworks by embedding machine learning models into decision-making processes. Traditional approaches, as discussed by Bahamid and Doh (2017), rely heavily on static risk assessment techniques. In contrast, the proposed system enables dynamic and continuous risk evaluation.

The incorporation of predictive analytics aligns with broader trends in financial and industrial risk modeling (Broby, 2022; Pala, n.d.), where data-driven forecasting is replacing deterministic models. However, construction environments introduce additional complexity due to spatial, temporal, and human behavioral factors.

A key implication of this research is the shift from reactive to proactive risk management. Instead of responding to incidents after occurrence, the system anticipates risks and initiates preventive actions. This paradigm shift significantly enhances infrastructure safety and operational resilience.

Nevertheless, trade-offs exist between model complexity and interpretability. While deep learning models improve predictive accuracy, they reduce transparency, making it difficult for stakeholders to fully understand decision logic. This remains a critical barrier to industry-wide adoption.

Scalability is another concern. Although the architecture is modular, large-scale deployment requires substantial infrastructure investment. Furthermore, data privacy and cybersecurity risks must be addressed, especially when integrating IoT systems and cloud-based analytics platforms.

6. Conclusion

This study presented a comprehensive machine learning and AI-integrated decision support system for risk prediction and process automation in construction engineering. The proposed framework successfully integrates predictive analytics, computer vision, IoT sensing, and automation mechanisms into a unified architecture for enhancing infrastructure safety and efficiency.

The research demonstrates that AI-driven systems significantly improve risk prediction accuracy, reduce safety incidents, and optimize operational performance. By leveraging multi-modal data fusion and real-time analytics, the system enables proactive decision-making and intelligent automation in complex construction environments.

The study contributes to the advancement of smart construction systems by bridging the gap between theoretical AI models and practical engineering applications. Future research should focus on improving model interpretability, reducing computational overhead, and enhancing cybersecurity frameworks for large-scale deployment.

Overall, the proposed system represents a step toward fully autonomous, intelligent construction management ecosystems capable of supporting next-generation infrastructure development.

References

1. Alshmeel, G. H. A., Al-Doori, A. S. B., Ahmed, S. R., Abrahim, Z. A., Ghaffoori, A. J., & Hussain, A. S. T. (2024). Self-sustaining buoy system: Harnessing water wave energy for smart wireless sensing and data transmission.
2. Apostolik, R., & Donohue, C. (2015). Foundations of financial risk: An overview of financial risk and risk-based financial regulation.
3. Arden, N. S., Fisher, A. C., Tyner, K., Lawrence, X. Y., Lee, S. L., &Kopcha, M. (2021). Industry 4.0 for pharmaceutical manufacturing: Preparing for the smart factories of the future. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 602.
4. Assadzadeh, A., Arashpour, M., Li, H., Hosseini, R., Elghaish, F., &Baduge, S. (2023). Excavator 3D pose estimation using deep learning and hybrid datasets. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 55, 101875.
5. Azar, E. R., &Kamat, V. R. (2017). Earthmoving equipment automation: A review of technical advances and future outlook. Journal of Information Technology in Construction, 22, 247-265.
6. Bahamid, R. A., &Doh, S. I. (2017). A review of risk management process in construction projects of developing countries. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 271.
7. Baker, H., Hallowell, M. R., &Tixier, A. J. (2020). Automatically learning construction injury precursors from text. Automation in Construction, 118.
8. Bakhshi, R., Moradinia, S. F., Jani, R., & Poor, R. V. (2022). Presenting a hybrid scheme of machine learning combined with metaheuristic optimizers for predicting final cost and time of project. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 26(8), 3188-3203.
9. Balta, G. C. K., Dikmen, I., &Birgonul, M. T. (2021). Bayesian network based decision support for predicting and mitigating delay risk in TBM tunnel projects. Automation in Construction, 129.
10. Boza, P., &Evgeniou, T. (2021). Artificial intelligence to support the integration of variable renewable energy sources to the power system. Applied Energy, 290.
11. Bornmann, L., Haunschild, R., & Hug, S. E. (2018). Visualizing the context of citations referencing papers published by Eugene Garfield: A new type of keyword co-occurrence analysis. Scientometrics.
12. Bramer, W. M., Rethlefsen, M. L., Kleijnen, J., & Franco, O. H. (2017). Optimal database combinations for literature searches in systematic reviews: A prospective exploratory study. Systematic Reviews, 6.
13. Broby, D. (2022). The use of predictive analytics in finance. The Journal of Finance and Data Science, 8, 145-161.
14. Cakmak, P. I., & Tezel, E. (2018). A guide for risk management in construction projects: Present knowledge and future directions. In Risk Management in Construction Projects.

15. Canesi, R., &D'Alpaos, C. (2024). A fuzzy logic application to manage construction-cost escalation. Buildings, 14(9), 3015.

16. Carolina, I. R. &. I. M. D. N., USA, & Tiwari, S. K. (2025). Automating Behavior-Driven Development with Generative AI: Enhancing Efficiency in Test Automation. Frontiers in Emerging Computer Science and Information Technology, 02(12), 01–14. https://doi.org/10.64917/fecsit/volume02issue12-01

17. Cardillo, M. A., dos, R., & Basso, L. F. C. (2025). Revisiting knowledge on ESG/CSR and financial performance: A bibliometric and systematic review of moderating variables. Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, 10(1).

18. Cha, Y. J., Choi, W., &Büyüköztürk, O. (2017). Deep learning-based crack damage detection using convolutional neural networks. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 32(5), 361-378.

19. Chattapadhyay, D. B., Putta, J., & Rao, P. R. M. (2021). Risk identification, assessments, and prediction for mega construction projects: A risk prediction paradigm based on cross analytical-machine learning model. Buildings, 11(4).

20. Chen, L., Lu, Q., Li, S., He, W., & Yang, J. (2021). Bayesian Monte Carlo simulation-driven approach for construction schedule risk inference. Journal of Management in Engineering, 37(2).

21. Chen, Y., Liang, B., & Hu, H. (2024). Research on ontology-based construction risk knowledge base development in deep foundation pit excavation. Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering.

22. Cheng, L., & Yu, T. (2019). A new generation of AI: A review and perspective on machine learning technologies applied to smart energy and electric power systems. International Journal of Energy Research.

23. Choi, S. J., Choi, S. W., Kim, J. H., & Lee, E. B (2021). AI and text-mining applications for analyzing contractor's risk in invitation to bid and contracts for engineering procurement and construction projects. Energies, 14(15).

24. Choo, H., Lee, B., Kim, H., & Choi, B. (2023). Automated detection of construction work at heights and deployment of safety hooks using IMU with a barometer. Automation in Construction, 147.

25. Chou, J. S., Hsu, S. C., Lin, C. W., & Chang, Y. C. (2016). Classifying influential information to discover rule sets for project disputes and possible resolutions. International Journal of Project Management, 34(8), 1706-1716.

26. Darko, A., Glushakova, I., Boateng, E. B., & Chan, A. P. C. (2023). Using machine learning to improve cost and duration prediction accuracy in green building projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 149(8).

27. Dopazo, D. A., Mahdjoubi, L., Gething, B., &Mahamadu, A. M. (2024). An automated machine learning approach for classifying infrastructure cost data. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 39.

28. Doungsoma, T., & Pawan, P. (2023). Reliable time contingency estimation based on adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system in construction projects. IEEE Access, 11.

29. Elbashbishy, T. S., Hosny, O. A., Waly, A. F., &Dorra, E. M. (2022). Assessing the impact of construction risks on cost overruns: A risk path simulation-driven approach. Journal of Management in Engineering, 38(6).

30. Erfani, A., Cui, Q. B., & Cavanaugh, I. (2021). An empirical analysis of risk similarity among major transportation projects using natural language processing. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 147(12).

31. Fan, C. L. (2020). Defect risk assessment using a hybrid machine learning method. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 146(9).

32. Fang, Q., Li, H., Luo, X., Ding, L., Luo, H., Rose, T. M., & An, W. (2018). Detecting non-hardhat-use by a deep learning method from far-field surveillance videos. Automation in Construction, 85.

33. Gangula, S. (2026). Optimizing Retail Application Performance: A Systematic Review of Monitoring Tools, Metrics, And Best Practices. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 8(01), 07–

19. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume08Issue01-02

34. K. N. Chakravartula and A. Raghu, "Reducing Clou d Storage Costs in Agri-Lending CRM Systems Using Intelligent Data Retention Policies," 2025 8th International Conference on Algorithms, Computing and Artificial Intelligence (ACAI), Nanjing, China, 2025, pp. 1-9, doi: 10.1109/ACAI68217.2025.11406232.

35. Karim, A. S. A. (2025). MITIGATING ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE IN 10G AUTOMOTIVE ETHERNET: HYPERLYNX-VALIDATED SHIELDING FOR CAMERA PCB DESIGN IN ADAS LIGHTING CONTROL. International Journal of Apllied Mathematics, 38(2s), 1257–1268. https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v38i2s.718

36. Fang, W., Ding, L., Love, P. E. D., Luo, H., Li, H., Peña-Mora, F., Zhong, B., & Zhou, C. (2020). Computer vision applications in construction safety assurance. Automation in Construction, 110.

37. H. K. Krishnamurthy Sukumar, "A Novel Hybrid Grey Wolf Whale Optimization for Effectual Job Scheduling and Resource Distribution in Dynamic Cloud Computing," 2025 International Conference on Sustainability, Innovation & Technology (ICSIT), Nagpur, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICSIT65336.2025.11293898.

38. Hebbar, K. S., Sengupta, D., Armo, K. K., Sahu, P., Sahitya, P., & Rana, D. S. (2025). Integrating Sentiment Analysis with a Deterministically Optimized Extreme Learning Machine for Stock Market Prediction. 2025 IEEE 5th International Conference on ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ictbig68706.2025.11323752

39. Lessard, D. R. (1995). Financial risk management for developing countries: A policy overview. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 8(3), 4-18.

40. Modadugu, J. K., Venkata, R. T. P., & Venkata, K. P. (2025). Leveraging KAFKA for Event-Driven architecture in fintech applications. International Journal of Engineering Science and Information Technology, 5(3), 545–553. https://doi.org/10.52088/ijesty.v5i3.1074

41. Mullangi, K. (2017). Enhancing financial performance through AI-driven predictive analytics and reciprocal symmetry. Asian Accounting and Auditing Advancement, 8(1), 57-66.

42. Olaniyi, O., Shah, N. H., Abalaka, A., &Olaniyi, F. G. (2023). Harnessing predictive analytics for strategic foresight: a comprehensive review of techniques and applications in transforming raw data to actionable insights.

43. Pala, S. K. Role and importance of predictive analytics in financial market risk assessment. International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications.

44. Suresh Gangula. (2025). Secure DevOps in Retail Cloud: Strategies for Compliance and Resilience. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(05), 109–122. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume07Issue05-09

45. Sagar Kesarpu. (2025). Chaos Engineering as a Learning Framework: A Human-Centered Model for Developing High-Reliability Engineering Teams. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(12), 57–64. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume07Issue12-05

46. J. Singh, “Analytical Study of Challenges and Opportunities for Business Analysts in Emerging Economies Amidst AI and Automation for Evolving Skill Requirements,” European Journal of Business and Management Research, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 107–112, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.24018/ejbmr.2026.11.1.52852.

47. Thamer, K. A., Ahmed, S. R., Almashhadany, M. T. M., Abdulqader, S. G., Abduladheem, W., &Algburi, S. (2024). Secure data transmission in IoT networks using machine learning-based encryption techniques.

48. Varanasi, S. R. (2025). AI for CAB Decisions: Predictive Risk Scoring in Change Management. International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Technology, 2(06), 16-22.

49. Wiggins, W. F., Caton, M. T., Magudia, K., Glomski, S. H. A., George, E., Rosenthal, M. H., & Andriole, K. P. (2020). Preparing radiologists to lead in the era of artificial intelligence: Designing and implementing a focused data science pathway for senior radiology residents. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence, 2(6).