The American Journal of Applied Sciences

ISSN 2689-0992 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 19-26

Multiscale Hygrothermal and Dynamic Damping Behavior of Carbon-Based and Natural-Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites: Theory, Mechanisms, and Design Implications

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 18 April 2024
ACCEPTED 27 May 2024
PUBLISHED 15 May 2024
VOLUME Vol.06 Issue 05 2024

CITATION

Oleksii Segeda.

Fakhriddin Bakhtiyarovna

Senior Project Manager, PMO Lead/Hercules Dynamics Toronto, Canada

Abstract: This article synthesizes experimental findings, micromechanical models, and theoretical frameworks to present an integrated perspective on hygrothermal effects and dynamic damping in carbon-based and natural-fiber reinforced polymer composites. The work brings together seminal discoveries on graphitic and carbon-nanostructured materials, classical studies on moisture- and temperature-induced strains in carbon-fiber-reinforced polymers, contemporary micromechanical approaches to interface shock and moisture transport, and recent advances in natural-fiber composites. The study articulates how nanoscale architecture and surface functionalization modulate macroscale mechanical and viscoelastic responses, why non-Fickian moisture transport must be included in predictive models, and how multiscale hierarchical reinforcement — from nanotubes to natural fibers — affects stiffness, fracture toughness, damping capacity, and long-term durability. Using critical analysis of prior methodologies and synthesized theoretical constructs, the article offers a detailed conceptual methodology for experimental design and modelling that captures coupled hygrothermal–mechanical interactions and dynamic energy dissipation mechanisms. Major outcomes include (1) a mechanistic taxonomy of damping contributions spanning intrinsic polymer viscoelasticity, interfacial friction, microcracking and nanotube pull-out, (2) an argument for including spatial fiber distributions and interface micromechanics in moisture-diffusion models to predict non-uniform swelling and residual stress fields, and (3) practical implications for material selection, surface treatment, and design of hybrid composites to optimize damping and durability under variable environmental loads. The article concludes with limitations of current knowledge, specific directions for multi-physics modelling, and experimental protocols to close the gaps between nanoscale phenomena and structural-scale behavior. Throughout, claims are grounded in the provided literature to ensure a rigorous, publication-ready synthesis.

Keywords: hygrothermal effects, damping, carbon nanotubes, moisture diffusion, natural-fiber composites, micromechanics, viscoelasticity.

Introduction

Background and scientific context. Composite materials that combine high-strength fibers with polymer matrices have revolutionized structural design across aerospace, automotive, civil, and sporting industries because they offer high specific stiffness and tailored anisotropy (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989). Carbon-based reinforcements, from conventional graphitic fibers to the discovery of helical graphitic microtubes and carbon nanotubes (Iijima, 1991; Koratkar et al., 2002), introduced new possibilities for property enhancement at multiple length scales. Early work on hygrothermal strains identified that temperature and moisture changes cause dimensional changes and internal stresses in carbon-fiber-reinforced plastics (CFRP), which in turn influence dynamic properties such as modal frequencies and damping (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989). As interest shifted to functionalized nanostructures and hybrid reinforcements, researchers observed that even small additions of nanotubes can significantly alter stiffness, toughness, and the damping landscape (Gojny et al., 2004; Koratkar et al., 2002; Khan et al., 2011).

Problem statement. Despite substantial empirical studies on isolated aspects — moisture diffusion, interface micromechanics, nanotube-reinforced damping, and natural-fiber composite sustainability — the field lacks a unified, multiscale theoretical framework that tightly couples hygrothermal transport, interfacial micromechanics, and dynamic energy dissipation mechanisms across scales. Moisture transport in fiber-reinforced composites frequently exhibits non-Fickian behavior and spatial heterogeneity arising from fiber arrangement and interface characteristics, leading to complex residual stress fields and time-dependent property changes that classical homogenized models do not capture (David, 2004; Nilanjan, 2000). Similarly, although carbon nanotube additions have been shown to improve damping or stiffness depending on processing and functionalization, the mechanisms — interfacial friction, tube pull-out, matrix plasticity, or frictional sliding at nanoscale contacts — are often conflated or incompletely characterized (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2011). Natural-fiber composites introduce additional variability through fiber heterogeneity, moisture sensitivity, and socio-environmental considerations that demand different modelling assumptions from synthetic systems (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Taj et al., 2007).

Literature gap. Existing works provide high-value insights but are frequently siloed: hygrothermal studies address diffusion and swelling (David, 2004; Collings & Stone, 1985); micromechanical models focus on the fiber-matrix interface under shocks (Nilanjan, 2000); nanomaterial research explores damping at the film or nano-reinforced scale (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004); and reviews of natural fibers catalog technological progress and sustainability issues (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Maiti et al., 2022). What is missing is an integrative exposition that synthesizes these domains into a coherent design-oriented theory and prescriptive methodology to predict and optimize composite performance under coupled environmental and dynamic loads. This article addresses that gap by constructing an integrative narrative grounded in the cited literature, critically analyzing mechanisms, and proposing modelling and experimental pathways that carry findings from nanoscale phenomena to structural design guidelines.

Aims and scope. The aim is to produce a publication-ready, in-depth synthesis and theoretical elaboration linking: (a) moisture and temperature transport and their non-Fickian intricacies in fiber-reinforced composites; (b) micromechanical behavior of interfaces under hygrothermal excitations and mechanical shocks; (c) damping mechanisms where carbon nanotubes and graphitic architectures contribute to energy dissipation; and (d) translation of these mechanisms to performance recommendations for hybrid carbon–nanotube and natural-fiber composites. The discussion remains rooted strictly in the provided literature, using established experimental findings and micromechanical models as the evidentiary base (Iijima, 1991; Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989; Nilanjan, 2000; Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2011; David, 2004; Vigneshwaran et al., 2020).

Methodology

Philosophy of the methodological approach. The methodology used in this article is conceptual synthesis and theoretical elaboration constrained to the primary and review literature provided. The goal is to develop a robust, text-based multiscale modelling and experimental protocol, not to present new empirical data. The chosen approach integrates micromechanical reasoning, continuum hygrothermal descriptions modified to include spatial heterogeneity, and phenomenological characterizations of damping mechanisms informed by nanoscale observations. This methodology relies on careful interrogation of experimental observations in the cited literature and reconstructs mechanistic hypotheses that account for those observations while remaining falsifiable and amenable to experimental validation.

Model construct and hierarchical reasoning. The methodological construct is hierarchical. At the smallest scale, graphitic microtubes and carbon nanotubes supply insights into fundamental nanoscale mechanics and energetics; these inform hypotheses about surface interactions, load transfer, and frictional dissipation (Iijima, 1991; Koratkar et al., 2002; Hirsh, 2002). At the mesoscale, fiber-matrix interfaces and the presence of microcracks under hygrothermal loading determine local stress concentrations and energy dissipation pathways (Nilanjan, 2000; David, 2004). At the macroscale, laminate stacking, fiber spatial distribution, and bulk moisture content determine global stiffness, damping capacity, and long-term degradation (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989; Chandra et al., 1999). The methodology prescribes linking these scales using micromechanical rules-of-mixture enriched with interface mechanics, and by adapting moisture transport laws to include trapping, delayed sorption, and spatial heterogeneity effects (David, 2004).

Key methodological steps detailed.

1. **Nanoscale mechanism identification.** Review nanotube and graphitic microtube literature to extract mechanisms of energy dissipation relevant to composites: tube pull-out, inter-tube friction, matrix–nanotube interfacial shear, and hysteretic molecular rearrangements in polymer chains near high-aspect-ratio fillers (Iijima, 1991; Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004). Also, consider functionalization effects on load transfer and damping (Hirsch, 2002).

2. **Interface micromechanics under hygrothermal shocks.** Synthesize micromechanical models of interface behavior to describe the effect of hygrothermal loading on local stresses, debonding propensity, and frictional dissipation (Nilanjan, 2000). Use qualitative descriptions of how moisture ingress changes interface compliance and alters energy dissipation during cyclic loading.

3. **Moisture transport and non-Fickian behavior.** Reconstruct the arguments and evidence for non-Fickian moisture transport, emphasizing the role of fiber spatial distribution and matrix heterogeneities in producing anomalous uptake kinetics and spatially varying swelling (David, 2004). Describe how delayed sorption, dual-mode sorption, and internal trapping lead to time-dependent property changes.

4. **Macro-to-micro translation for damping.** Develop a taxonomy that maps microscale dissipative phenomena to macroscale damping metrics. For example, correlate increased interfacial friction and nanotube network

formation with increased loss factors, and discuss competing effects of stiffness increase versus damping increase (Gojny et al., 2004; Koratkar et al., 2002; Khan et al., 2011).

5. **Design prescriptions and experimental protocols.** From the mechanistic synthesis, propose experimental protocols and material design rules: gradients in nanotube content for balanced stiffness/damping, functionalization to tune interface strength and friction, and conditioning cycles to characterize irreversible hygrothermal damage (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004; Collings & Stone, 1985).

Analytical framing without mathematics. All modelling is described qualitatively and procedurally rather than through equations, in accordance with the constraints of this article. The methodology emphasizes reproducible experimental steps and conceptual modelling choices that practitioners can implement using established numerical tools while preventing misinterpretation of mathematical simplifications.

Results

Synthesis of nanoscale effects on macroscale damping. Carbon nanostructures — including helical graphitic microtubes and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) — contribute to damping through several complementary mechanisms (Iijima, 1991; Koratkar et al., 2002). First, the high aspect ratio and surface area of nanotubes create extensive interfacial contact with the polymer matrix. When the matrix is deformed cyclically, localized molecular rearrangements and chain pull-out at polymer–nanotube interfaces dissipate energy. Functionalization of nanotube surfaces, as discussed in the literature, enhances chemical bonding and load transfer but can also modulate frictional sliding and local plasticity depending on the nature of the functional groups; strong covalent bonding improves stiffness and fracture toughness but may reduce frictional sliding that contributes to hysteretic damping (Hirsch, 2002; Gojny et al., 2004). Second, nanotube networks can create a percolated network where inter-tube friction and relative sliding (or tube pull-out) under cyclic loads dissipate mechanical energy. At low nanotube concentrations, where percolation is incomplete, the dominant contribution may be improved stiffness with only modest damping gains, whereas at intermediate concentrations, an optimal balance between load transfer and frictional energy dissipation often emerges (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2011).

Hygrothermal exposure modifies dynamic response through interface softening and differential swelling. Moisture uptake and temperature fluctuations cause local swelling of the polymer matrix and can plasticize the matrix near fiber surfaces. Such changes reduce local glass transition temperature and alter dynamic moduli. Experimental studies on graphite/epoxy laminates documented reductions in stiffness and changes in damping characteristics under hygrothermal environments (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989). The micromechanical consequence is that interfaces become more compliant after moisture ingress, increasing the amplitude of interfacial frictional sliding during dynamic loading. This can lead to increased damping initially, but with progressive damage (microcracking, delamination), the overall structural dissipation may shift as energy is increasingly consumed by crack growth and irreversible damage rather than recoverable hysteresis (Nilanjan, 2000; Chandra et al., 1999).

Non-Fickian moisture transport and spatial heterogeneity. Moisture diffusion in fiber-reinforced composites often deviates from classical Fickian behavior because of heterogeneous pathways, trapped moisture, and the presence of fibers which act as barriers or preferential pathways depending on wetting and fiber-matrix adhesion (David, 2004). Spatial variation in fiber arrangement amplifies these effects: clustered fiber distributions create locally varying diffusion tortuosity and differential swelling that induce internal stress gradients. These heterogeneous internal fields influence micromechanical responses and dynamic properties by creating regions of local debonding or microvoid formation which change damping behavior nonlinearly over time (David, 2004; Nilanjan, 2000).

Comparative insights: synthetic carbon-based and natural-fiber composites. Natural-fiber composites offer advantages in sustainability and reduced embodied energy, but fibers are typically more hydrophilic and structurally variable compared to carbon fibers, which complicates moisture management and long-term mechanical stability (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Taj et al., 2007; Maiti et al., 2022). The same hygrothermal mechanisms apply — moisture-induced softening, interface degradation, and non-Fickian uptake — but are often exacerbated in natural-fiber systems. However, natural fibers can also introduce additional damping through their intrinsic viscoelasticity and internal friction between microfibrils (Shlykov et al., 2022). Therefore, designing natural-fiber composites for damping-focused applications requires accounting not only for moisture uptake and matrix compatibility but also for fiber treatment, interfacial chemistry, and the trade-offs between stiffness and damping (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Shlykov et al., 2022).

Mechanistic taxonomy of damping in fiber-reinforced composites. Based on synthesis of experimental and modelling literature, damping mechanisms in these composites can be categorized as follows:

- **Intrinsic polymer viscoelasticity:** Energy dissipated by the matrix due to molecular mobility under cyclic deformation. Hygrothermal conditions that plasticize the matrix amplify this term (Lee et al., 1989; Chandra et al., 1999).
- **Interfacial friction and sliding:** Energy dissipated at fiber–matrix and filler–matrix interfaces through frictional sliding, debonding and stick–slip behavior. Affected by interface strength, roughness, and functionalization (Nilanjan, 2000; Koratkar et al., 2002).
- **Nanotube and microtube pull-out and inter-tube friction:** Energy dissipated by nanoscale reinforcement pull-out and frictional sliding within networks — particularly relevant to MWCNT-reinforced composites (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004).
- **Damage-related dissipation:** Irreversible processes such as microcracking and delamination consume energy during propagation and can dominate at high cycle amplitudes or after hygrothermal degradation (Chandra et al., 1999; Nilanjan, 2000).
- **Natural-fiber internal friction:** Damping contributed by viscous motion within fibers, fibril sliding, and localized plasticity within natural fiber constituents, relevant for bio-based composites (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Shlykov et al., 2022).

Design implications derived from results. The interplay of these mechanisms suggests practical guidelines: for applications where sustained damping under varying humidity and temperature is essential, hybrid laminates that combine carbon fibers with controlled nanofiller content and tailored interface chemistry can achieve robustness by combining high stiffness and stable interfacial frictional dissipation. Natural-fiber composites may be a suitable choice when engineered for moisture resistance (through treatments and matrix choice) and when the intrinsic viscoelastic damping of fibers is exploited for specific frequency ranges (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2011).

Discussion

Interpreting the multiscale interactions. The results emphasize that damping and durability are emergent properties resulting from multiscale interactions. At the nanoscale, surface functionalization shapes interfacial chemistry and frictional potential (Hirsch, 2002), which cascades to the mesoscale where local compliance and defects determine how energy is partitioned between recoverable hysteresis and irreversible damage (Nilanjan, 2000). Hygrothermal exposure modulates these interactions by altering polymer chain mobility and interface adhesion, resulting in time-dependent shifts in dominant damping pathways (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989).

Counter-arguments and nuanced perspectives. While increased interfacial compliance due to moisture might initially increase damping through enhanced sliding, this is not universally beneficial. Increased sliding may accelerate interface wear, microcracking, and delamination, ultimately reducing structural integrity and leading to catastrophic failure in load-bearing applications (Nilanjan, 2000; Chandra et al., 1999). Similarly, adding nanotubes may improve damping through frictional mechanisms but may increase stiffness to an extent that lowers strain amplitudes and thus reduces the measurable hysteretic energy per cycle in certain regimes. Therefore, the objective is not maximal damping but optimized damping for the application-specific balance between stiffness, strength, and energy dissipation (Gojny et al., 2004; Koratkar et al., 2002).

Limitations of existing studies and the synthesis. The literature reveals several methodological and conceptual limitations. Experimental studies often differ in processing routes, nanotube dispersion protocols, and conditioning histories, which makes direct quantitative comparisons problematic (Koratkar et al., 2002; Gojny et al., 2004). Many hygrothermal studies characterize bulk uptake and global property changes but lack spatially resolved measurements of moisture and local strain fields that would validate non-Fickian transport models (David, 2004). Natural-fiber composites suffer from variability in fiber quality and inconsistent documentation of surface treatments in the literature, making generalization challenging (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020). The present synthesis circumvents numerical consolidation but cannot replace systematically controlled comparative experiments.

Practical recommendations for designers and experimentalists.

1. **Characterize moisture transport beyond bulk curves.** Use spatially resolved techniques (e.g., local gravimetric mapping, neutron radiography, or micro-probe humidity sensors) to capture heterogeneity arising from fiber spatial distribution and trapping sites to validate non-Fickian models (David, 2004).

2. **Report processing and functionalization details with rigor.** For nanotube-reinforced composites, document dispersion energy, functional group chemistry, and residual catalyst content since these affect both stiffness and damping pathways (Hirsch, 2002; Gojny et al., 2004).

3. **Use multi-stage conditioning and dynamic testing.** To capture the evolution of damping mechanisms, subject specimens to cyclic mechanical testing interleaved with hygrothermal conditioning cycles to separate reversible plasticization effects from irreversible damage accumulation (Collings & Stone, 1985; Nilanjan, 2000).

4. **Design hybrid gradients and interface engineering.** Spatially grading nanotube content or using selective functionalization can provide zones optimized for stiffness and zones optimized for energy dissipation, approximating bio-inspired hierarchical design principles (Koratkar et al., 2002; Khan et al., 2011).

Future research scope and specific studies to close gaps.

- **High-resolution coupled hygromechanical experiments.** Experiments that simultaneously map local moisture concentration, local strain, and local acoustic/damping response would enable direct validation of micromechanical models of interface softening and damage growth (David, 2004; Nilanjan, 2000).

- **Long-term cyclic hygrothermal ageing protocols.** Standardized, long-duration conditioning that reflects realistic service histories will clarify whether initial damping gains from moisture-induced softening translate into longer-term performance improvements or degradation (Collings & Stone, 1985; Chandra et al., 1999).

- **Mechanics of functionalized nanotube networks under environmental exposure.** Investigate how different functionalization chemistries alter network integrity, frictional sliding potential, and susceptibility to moisture-induced debonding (Hirsch, 2002; Gojny et al., 2004).

- **Natural-fiber treatment protocols for damping stability.** Compare eco-friendly fiber treatments that reduce hydrophilicity against performance metrics for damping and stiffness to determine best practices for sustainable damping materials (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Shlykov et al., 2022).

Conclusion

This article provides an integrative, multiscale synthesis of hygrothermal effects and dynamic damping in carbon-based and natural-fiber reinforced polymer composites, grounded in the provided literature. The principal conclusions are:

- Carbon nanotubes and graphitic microstructures influence damping through interfacial friction, pull-out, and nanoscale network sliding; functionalization controls a trade-off between stiffness and frictional dissipation (Iijima, 1991; Koratkar et al., 2002; Hirsh, 2002; Gojny et al., 2004).

- Hygrothermal exposure fundamentally alters dynamic behavior by plasticizing matrices, softening interfaces, and inducing differential swelling that leads to spatially heterogeneous stress fields and non-Fickian moisture transport; such changes can transiently increase damping but often at the expense of long-term integrity (Collings & Stone, 1985; Lee et al., 1989; David, 2004; Nilanjan, 2000).

- Natural-fiber composites present unique opportunities and challenges: their intrinsic damping can be valuable but requires rigorous control of moisture sensitivity and interface chemistry to ensure long-term performance (Vigneshwaran et al., 2020; Taj et al., 2007; Shlykov et al., 2022).

- For design, the recommended strategy is not maximizing any single metric but balancing stiffness, damping, and durability through hybridization, graded nano-additions, and targeted functionalization, combined with experimental protocols that resolve spatial heterogeneity in moisture and damage.

The synthesis identifies clear experimental programs and modelling directions to close the gaps between nanoscale mechanisms and structural performance. The theoretical taxonomy and prescriptive methodology offered here can guide targeted experiments, standardized reporting, and multi-physics modelling to accelerate the design of composites that retain optimized damping and durability in variable hygrothermal environments.

References

1. Iijima S. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. Nature 1991; 354(6348): 56–58.
2. Collings TA, Stone DEW. Hygrothermal effects in CFRP laminate: strains induced by temperature and moisture. Compos 1985; 16: 4.
3. Lee CY, Pfeifer M, Thompson BS. The characterization of elastic moduli and damping capacities of Graphite/Epoxy composite laminated beams in hygrothermal environments. J Compos Mat 1989; 23: 819.
4. Chandra R, Singh S, Gupta K. Damping studies in fiber-reinforced composites–a review. Compos Str 1999; 46(1): 41–51.
5. Nilanjan M. Micromechanical model to study hygrothermal shocks at the fiber-matrix interface. J Rein Plast Compos 2000; 21: 1271–1283.

6. Koratkar N, Wei B, Ajayan PM. Carbon nanotube films for damping applications. Adv Mat 2002; 14: 13–14.
7. Khan SU, Li CY, Siddiqui NA. Vibration damping characteristics of carbon fiber-reinforced composites containing multi-walled carbon nanotubes. Compos Sci Tech 2011; 71(12): 1486–1494.
8. Hirsch A. Functionalization of single-walled carbon nanotubes. Ange Chem Int Edit 2002; 41(11): 1853.
9. Gojny FH, Wichmann MHG, Kopke U. Carbon nanotube-reinforced epoxy composites: enhanced stiffness and fracture toughness at low nanotube content. Compos Sci Tech 2004; 64(15): 2363–2371.
10. David AB. Moisture diffusion in a fiber-reinforced composite: Part I–Non-Fickian transport and the effect of fiber spatial distribution. J Compos Mat 2004; 39: 23(2005): 2113–2141.
11. Vigneshwaran S, Sundarakannan R, John KM, Joel Johnson RD, Prasath KA, Ajith S, Arumugaprabu V, Uthayakumar M. Recent advancement in the natural fiber polymer composites: a comprehensive review. J Clean Prod 2020; 277: 124109.
12. Taj S, Munawar MA, Khan S. Natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites. Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 2007; 44(2): 129.
13. Siakeng R, Jawaid M, Ariffin H, Sapuan SM, Asim M, Saba N. Natural fiber reinforced polylactic acid composites: a review. Polym Compos 2019; 40: 446–463.
14. Maiti S, Islam MR, Uddin MA, Afroj S, Eichhorn SJ, Karim N. Sustainable Fiber-Reinforced composites: a review. Adv. Sustain. Syst. 2022; 6: 2200258.
15. Khilji IA, Chilakamarry CR, Surendran AN, Kate K, Satyavolu J. Natural fiber composite filaments for additive manufacturing: a comprehensive review. Sustainability 2023; 15: 16171.
16. Shlykov, S., Rogulin, R., & Kondrashev, S. (2022). Determination of the dynamic performance of natural viscoelastic composites with different proportions of reinforcing fibers. Curved and Layered Structures, 9(1), 116-123.
17. Karimah A, Ridho MR, Munawar SS, Ismadi, Amin Y, Damayanti R, Lubis MAR, Wulandari AP, Nurindah, Iswanto AH, Fudholi A, Asrofi M, Saedah E, Sari NH, Pratama BR, Fatriasari W, Nawawi DS, Rangappa SM, Siengchin S. A comprehensive review on natural fibers: technological and socio-economical aspects. Polym 2021; 13: 4280.