



OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 11 September 2025 ACCEPTED 17 September 2025 PUBLISHED 01 October 2025 VOLUME Vol. 07 Issue 10 2025

CITATION

Dr. Anja Petrova, Prof. Kenji Tanaka, & Dr. Samuel O. Adebayo. (2025). Integrating the House Cricket (Acheta domesticus) into the Global Food System: A Review of Production, Processing, and Sustainability. The American Journal of Applied Sciences, 7(10), 01–16. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajas/article/view/6744

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative common's attributes 4.0 License.

Integrating the House Cricket (Acheta domesticus) into the Global Food System: A Review of Production, Processing, and Sustainability

Dr. Anja Petrova

Department of Food Science and Technology, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Prof. Kenji Tanaka

Centre for Sustainable Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Dr. Samuel O. Adebayo

Department of Entomology and Agricultural Science, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Abstract: Background: The escalating global demand for protein, coupled with the significant environmental footprint of conventional livestock farming, necessitates the exploration of sustainable alternatives. Edible insects, particularly the house cricket (*Acheta domesticus*), have emerged as a highly promising source of nutrition to enhance global food security. However, its integration into mainstream food systems, especially in Western societies, is fraught with challenges.

Scope and Approach: This paper provides a comprehensive review of the current state of the *Acheta domesticus* food industry. It synthesizes existing literature on cricket farming systems, processing technologies, nutritional composition, and sustainability metrics. Furthermore, it critically examines the primary technological, regulatory, and socio-cultural challenges that hinder its widespread adoption as a human food source.

Key Findings: Acheta domesticus presents an excellent nutritional profile, rich in high-quality protein, essential amino acids, fatty acids, and vital micronutrients. Environmentally, cricket farming is significantly more sustainable than traditional livestock, demonstrating a

higher feed conversion efficiency and lower greenhouse gas emissions, as well as reduced land and water requirements. Key processing techniques, such as drying and milling, transform crickets into versatile food ingredients like protein powder for use in various products. However, the industry's growth is constrained by several barriers. These include a lack of large-scale, automated farming technologies; complex and evolving regulatory landscapes, such as the European Union's Novel Food framework; safety concerns related to allergenicity; and profound socio-cultural resistance, or 'neophobia,' among consumers.

Conclusion: The house cricket holds substantial potential to contribute to a more sustainable and secure global food system. Realizing this potential hinge on concerted efforts in research and development to advance farming and processing technologies, establish clear international regulatory standards, and implement effective marketing and educational strategies to overcome consumer hesitancy.

Keywords: Acheta domesticus, entomophagy, sustainable protein, food security, insect farming, novel food, consumer acceptance.

1. Introduction

1.1. The Global Food Security Challenge

The 21st century is defined by a critical paradox: while technological and agricultural advancements have enabled unprecedented levels of food production, the global food system is under immense and growing strain. The world's population is projected to reach nearly 10 billion by 2050, creating a commensurate surge in the demand for food, particularly high-quality protein, which is expected to double from early 2000s levels [3, 4]. This demographic pressure is compounded by escalating environmental challenges. Conventional livestock agriculture, the primary source of dietary protein in many parts of the world, is a major contributor to anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, deforestation, biodiversity loss, and the depletion of freshwater resources [12]. Fiala [12] estimated that meat production accounts for a substantial portion of global GHG emissions, a figure that is expected to rise with increasing global affluence and the adoption of Western-style diets characterized by high meat consumption. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations has repeatedly highlighted the unsustainability of current food production trajectories, calling for transformative changes to ensure a secure and nutritious food supply for future generations [3, 6]. The challenge, therefore, is not merely to produce more food, but to do so within the planet's ecological boundaries, creating a system that is both productive and sustainable. This imperative has catalyzed a global search for alternative and complementary protein sources that can alleviate the pressure on traditional livestock systems and offer novel solutions to feeding the world [1, 4, 10].

1.2. Entomophagy as a Sustainable Solution

In this context, the practice of eating insects, known as entomophagy, has transitioned from a niche anthropological curiosity to a subject of serious scientific and commercial interest [5, 15]. Far from being a new phenomenon, entomophagy has been an integral part of human diets for millennia across Asia, Africa, and Latin America, where over 2,000 insect species are regularly consumed by at least 2 billion people [3, 60]. These traditional foodways underscore the long-standing role of insects as a safe and valuable nutritional resource, with documented practices ranging from the consumption of Lepidoptera in Africa to Orthoptera in Mexico and ants in Australia [15, 44, 45, 46, 48]. The modern resurgence of interest in entomophagy, particularly in Western cultures where it is not traditional, is driven by the compelling sustainability credentials of insect farming [16, 97].

Compared to conventional livestock, insects offer remarkable efficiencies. They require significantly less land and water, have a much higher feed conversion efficiency (FCE), and generate substantially lower levels of GHG and ammonia emissions [18, 30, 33]. For example, Oonincx et al. [18] found that several insect species, including crickets, produced far fewer GHGs per kilogram of mass gain than cattle or pigs. Furthermore, many insect species can be reared on organic side streams and agricultural by-products, positioning them as ideal candidates for inclusion in a circular economy model, transforming low-value waste into high-value protein [20, 21]. The FAO has championed edible insects as a key resource for enhancing food and feed security, recognizing their potential to diversify diets, improve livelihoods, particularly in developing nations, and reduce the environmental footprint of the global food system [3, 6, 111]. This recognition has spurred research and investment into making insect protein a viable component of the global food supply [11].

1.3. The House Cricket (*Acheta domesticus*) as a Key Candidate

Among the myriad of edible insect species, the house cricket, Acheta domesticus Linnaeus, has emerged as a frontrunner for large-scale commercialization and integration into Western food chains [38]. Several factors contribute to its prominence. Firstly, its nutritional profile is exceptional, boasting high levels of protein, all essential amino acids, healthy fats, vitamins, and minerals [23, 27, 98]. Secondly, A. domesticus has a relatively short life cycle, a high fecundity, and can be reared at high densities, making it well-suited for intensive farming systems designed for vertical space optimization [31, 41]. Thirdly, from a consumer perspective, crickets can be processed into a fine, versatile powder that can be discreetly incorporated into a wide range of familiar food products, from pasta and bread to protein bars and shakes, thereby circumventing the "yuck factor" associated with consuming whole insects [7, 9, 25, 116]. This processed form makes the nutritional benefits accessible without challenging cultural norms around food appearance [107].

Perhaps most importantly, *A. domesticus* has achieved significant regulatory milestones that provide a pathway for market entry. In the European Union, it has undergone rigorous safety assessments by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) [67] and has been granted Novel Food status, permitting its sale in various forms (frozen, dried, and powdered) across member states [58, 103]. This regulatory approval provides a crucial foundation for market development, investment, and consumer confidence, setting *A. domesticus* apart from many other edible insect species still navigating the complex approval process [38, 63]. These combined advantages make the house cricket a particularly strong candidate for leading the introduction of insect-based foods into the global market.

1.4. Scope and Objectives

Despite its clear potential, the journey of the house cricket from a niche product to a mainstream food ingredient is fraught with challenges. The industry is still in its nascent stages, facing significant hurdles related to farming technology, processing scalability, food safety, regulatory harmonization, and, most critically, consumer acceptance [5, 92]. A holistic understanding of these interconnected factors is essential for stakeholders to navigate the complexities of this

emerging sector. The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive and critical analysis of the current state of the *A. domesticus* food industry. It synthesizes the existing body of scientific literature to explore four key areas: (1) the detailed nutritional composition of the house cricket; (2) the status and challenges of cricket farming and production systems; (3) the processing technologies used to transform crickets into food ingredients and their applications; and (4) the sustainability and environmental impact of cricket farming. The review concludes with a discussion of the major challenges and future prospects, aiming to provide an integrated overview for researchers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders working to realize the potential of this sustainable protein source.

2. Nutritional Profile and Composition of *Acheta* domesticus

The viability of any novel food source is fundamentally dependent on its nutritional value. Acheta domesticus has been the subject of extensive research, which has consistently demonstrated its excellent nutritional profile, positioning it as a potent alternative to conventional animal proteins [23, 98]. Its composition of macronutrients, micronutrients, and other bioactive compounds makes it a valuable addition to the human diet, capable of addressing both protein and micronutrient deficiencies [90, 108].

2.1. Macronutrient Composition

The most significant nutritional attribute of A. domesticus is its high protein content. On a dry matter basis, protein levels typically range from 58% to 70%, a concentration that is comparable or superior to that of traditional livestock sources like beef and chicken when adjusted for water content [23, 27, 104]. This protein is of high quality, containing all nine essential amino acids—histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan, and valine—in concentrations that meet or exceed human dietary requirements as defined by the FAO [9, 85]. The digestibility of cricket protein is also high, further enhancing its biological value [5]. For instance, the essential amino acid score of cricket protein is often higher than that of many plant proteins, which can be deficient in one or more essential amino acids like lysine or methionine [16]. Kulma et al. [27] investigated the effect of sex on nutritional value and found minor differences, but confirmed that both male and female crickets are excellent sources of protein. The inclusion of cricket powder in food products like bread and pasta has been shown to significantly boost their protein content without compromising texture to an unacceptable degree [9, 25]. For example, Mafu et al. [9] found that enriching whole wheat bread with up to 10% cricket powder resulted in a product with substantially higher protein and mineral content while maintaining good sensory acceptance.

2.2. Lipid and Fatty Acid Profile

The lipid content of A. domesticus typically ranges from 10% to 25% on a dry matter basis, varying depending on the cricket's diet, sex, and developmental stage [23, 24, 77]. This fat is a valuable source of energy and essential fatty acids. Research by Tzompa-Sosa et al. [24] provided a detailed lipidomic analysis, revealing a favorable fatty acid profile. Cricket fat is rich in monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), primarily oleic acid, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), including significant amounts of the essential fatty acids linoleic acid (omega-6) and α linolenic acid (omega-3). This profile is often compared favorably to that of poultry and fish and is considered beneficial for cardiovascular health [26]. The ability to manipulate the fatty acid profile of the crickets by modifying their feed offers an exciting avenue for producing nutritionally enhanced "designer" insects tailored for specific health outcomes [35]. However, the high degree of unsaturation also presents a processing challenge, as it makes cricket products susceptible to lipid oxidation, which can lead to the development of rancidity and off-flavors, thereby reducing shelf life. This necessitates careful control of processing parameters (e.g., temperature) and storage conditions (e.g., vacuum packaging, use of antioxidants) [113].

2.3. Micronutrients

Beyond macronutrients, *A. domesticus* is a rich source of essential micronutrients, which are often lacking in diets globally, leading to widespread "hidden hunger" [87]. It is an excellent source of minerals, including iron, zinc, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, and calcium [23, 104]. The bioavailability of iron and zinc from crickets is notably high, in some cases superior to that from plant sources where absorption is often inhibited by phytates, making them a potential tool in combating anemia and other mineral deficiencies [26, 90]. Payne et al. [26], using nutrient profiling models, found that crickets scored more favorably than beef or chicken for several key micronutrients. Furthermore, house crickets provide a good source of B-vitamins, including riboflavin (B2),

pantothenic acid (B5), and biotin, and some studies suggest they contain nutritionally relevant amounts of vitamin B12, which is naturally absent in plant-based diets [23, 112]. This dense micronutrient profile reinforces the potential of *A. domesticus* not just as a protein source, but as a wholesome food ingredient that can contribute to overall health and well-being [108].

2.4. Chitin and Other Bioactive Compounds

A unique component of insects is chitin, a nitrogencontaining polysaccharide that constitutes the primary component of their exoskeleton. In A. domesticus, chitin content can range from 5% to 10% of the dry matter [91]. While traditionally considered an indigestible antinutritional factor, recent perspectives have redefined chitin as a valuable source of dietary fiber [90]. Like other fibers, it is not digested by human enzymes but can be fermented by gut microbiota, potentially exerting prebiotic effects that promote a healthy gut microbiome and stimulate immune function [93]. Chitin and its derivative, chitosan, have also been studied for their various bioactive properties, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, and cholesterol-lowering effects [93]. However, high concentrations of chitin can interfere with protein digestibility and may be undesirable from a textural standpoint in some food applications, imparting a gritty mouthfeel [84]. Consequently, processing techniques aimed at reducing or modifying the chitin content, such as fine milling, enzymatic treatments, or de-chitinization during protein extraction, are areas of active research [62].

3. Farming and Production Systems

The transition of *A. domesticus* from a wild-harvested or small-scale farmed organism to a globally traded food commodity depends on the development of efficient, scalable, and sustainable mass-rearing systems. The field of insect farming is rapidly evolving, drawing on principles from both entomology and traditional livestock agriculture to create optimized production environments that are both productive and biosecure [28, 63].

3.1. Overview of Cricket Rearing Systems

Cricket farming practices vary significantly across the globe, reflecting different economic contexts, technological levels, and market demands [40, 96]. In Southeast Asia, particularly Thailand, cricket farming has a long history and is a well-established part of the agricultural landscape. These operations are often

small-to-medium enterprises, using low-cost, locally available materials for housing (e.g., concrete pens or plastic containers) and providing shelter with materials like egg cartons or straw [39, 40]. While highly effective for supplying local and regional markets and providing supplementary income for thousands of farmers, these systems are typically labor-intensive and often lack the stringent biosecurity and traceability required for export to markets with strict food safety regulations like the EU [39].

In contrast, the emerging cricket farming industry in Europe and North America is characterized by a focus on industrialization, automation, and vertical farming principles [28, 96]. These facilities are typically indoor, climate-controlled environments designed to maximize production density and efficiency. Crickets are often housed in vertically stacked, automated trays or containers ("cricket condos"), which dramatically reduces the physical footprint of the operation [13]. Companies are investing heavily in research and development to automate key processes such as feeding, watering, climate control, waste removal (frass collection), and harvesting to reduce labor costs and improve consistency and hygiene [69]. Despite this progress, achieving true industrial-scale production that is cost-competitive with conventional protein sources remains a major challenge, as capital investment in these advanced systems is substantial [69, 96].

3.2. Critical Farming Parameters

Optimizing the growth, survival, and nutritional composition of *A. domesticus* requires precise control over several key environmental and dietary parameters.

Diet: As poikilotherms, crickets' growth rate and final composition are highly dependent on their diet. Early artificial diets were developed for laboratory rearing [51, 52], but commercial production focuses on costeffective, sustainable feed formulations. A standard commercial diet is often similar to poultry feed, based on grains like corn and soy [31]. However, a key sustainability advantage of crickets is their ability to thrive on agricultural and food industry by-products. Studies have successfully reared *A. domesticus* on diets incorporating materials such as vegetable waste, brewer's spent grain, fruit pulp, and distillers' grains [20, 35]. Sorjonen et al. [35] demonstrated that plant-based by-products can effectively replace conventional feeds, although careful formulation is needed to ensure a

complete nutritional profile and avoid the accumulation of any contaminants present in the waste streams.

Temperature and Humidity: The optimal temperature for rearing *A. domesticus* is between 28°C and 32°C, with relative humidity maintained around 50-70% [41]. These conditions promote rapid growth and development, shortening the life cycle to as little as 6-8 weeks from egg to harvestable adult. Maintaining these parameters consistently, especially in large-scale facilities and variable climates, requires significant energy inputs for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), which can represent a major operational cost and environmental impact [32].

Population Density: House crickets are gregarious and can be reared at very high densities without significant negative impacts on welfare or survival, a key advantage over many other farmed animals. However, extreme overcrowding can lead to stress, resource competition, cannibalism, and increased disease transmission [41]. Determining the optimal stocking density is a critical aspect of farm management, balancing maximum yield per unit of space with the health and well-being of the insects [42].

3.3. Feed Conversion Efficiency

One of the most frequently cited advantages of insect farming is the superior feed conversion efficiency (FCE) of insects compared to conventional livestock. FCE is a measure of an animal's ability to convert feed mass into body mass. While cattle require roughly 8 kg of feed to produce 1 kg of body mass gain, pigs require around 4 kg, and poultry around 2 kg, A. domesticus can achieve an FCE of approximately 1.7 kg of feed per 1 kg of body mass gain [31]. This remarkable efficiency is partly due to insects being cold-blooded (poikilothermic), meaning they do not expend metabolic energy on maintaining a constant body temperature [3]. Furthermore, a much larger proportion of the insect's body is edible (typically 80% or more) compared to vertebrates, which have significant inedible components like bones, cartilage, and offal [31]. This inherent efficiency translates directly into reduced demand for agricultural land to grow feed crops, making cricket farming a far less resourceintensive method of producing high-quality animal protein [3, 20].

3.4. Challenges in Mass Rearing

Despite the progress made, the cricket farming industry faces several significant challenges on its path to industrialization.

Disease and Biosecurity: Like any intensive animal farming system, cricket farms are susceptible to disease outbreaks. Pathogens such as the Cricket Paralysis Virus (CrPV), various densoviruses, or opportunistic bacterial and fungal infections can spread rapidly in high-density populations, leading to catastrophic stock losses [86]. The economic impact of such outbreaks can be devastating. Developing effective biosecurity protocols (e.g., quarantine for new stock, sanitation procedures), rapid diagnostic tools, and disease management strategies without resorting to antibiotics (which are highly regulated in the food chain) is a critical area of ongoing research [86].

Genetics and Breeding: The cricket stocks used in most farms today are essentially undomesticated. There has been very little selective breeding to improve traits desirable for food production, such as faster growth rates, increased protein content, higher feed efficiency, larger body size, or disease resistance [28]. Establishing structured breeding programs, similar to those that have been so successful in the poultry and aquaculture industries, is a crucial next step for improving the productivity, predictability, and resilience of farmed crickets [34].

Automation and Labor: As mentioned, many processes in cricket farming remain labor-intensive, particularly harvesting, cleaning of rearing containers, and postharvest handling. The development of cost-effective, automated systems for these tasks is essential to reduce operational costs and allow cricket protein to compete on price with established commodities [69, 96].

4. Processing Technologies and Food Applications

Raw crickets, like any raw agricultural commodity, must undergo processing to ensure safety, extend shelf life, and transform them into palatable and functional ingredients suitable for human consumption. The methods used can have a profound impact on the nutritional quality, sensory properties, and technical functionality of the final product, determining its suitability for various food applications [62].

4.1. Primary and Secondary Processing

The processing chain begins immediately after harvesting. The first step is typically a fasting period (24- 4.2. Advanced Protein Extraction

48 hours) to allow the crickets to void their gut contents, a process known as frass removal. This can reduce the microbial load and potential for bitter off-flavors associated with the gut material [62]. The next critical step is humane killing, with freezing being the most widely accepted and practiced method. It is considered humane as it gradually lowers the metabolic rate of the poikilothermic insects until vital functions cease, and it effectively halts enzymatic and microbial activity [13].

Following this, primary processing usually involves washing and then a thermal treatment, such as boiling or blanching in hot water. This step is critical as it serves to significantly reduce the surface microbial load, inactivate enzymes (like proteases and lipases) that could cause spoilage, and in some cases, facilitate subsequent drying [62].

Secondary processing focuses on preservation and transformation, with drying being the most crucial operation for creating a shelf-stable product. Several methods are employed:

Oven/Convection Drying: This is the most common and cost-effective method, involving the circulation of hot air to remove moisture to a water activity level that inhibits microbial growth. However, high temperatures can negatively impact nutritional quality, particularly heat-sensitive vitamins and amino acids (via the Maillard reaction), and can also promote lipid oxidation, leading to rancidity [13, 62, 113].

Freeze-Drying (Lyophilization): This method involves freezing the crickets and then sublimating the ice to water vapor under a vacuum. It is a much gentler process that better preserves the nutritional and sensory qualities (color, flavor, aroma) of the product and results in a lighter, more porous structure that is easier to mill [62]. However, freeze-drying is significantly more energy-intensive and expensive than oven drying, making it less viable for producing low-cost bulk ingredients [13].

After drying, the whole crickets are typically milled into a fine powder or flour. This is the most common format for cricket-based food ingredients, as it is highly versatile, easy to transport and store, and helps overcome the psychological barrier of eating a whole insect [2, 9]. The particle size of the powder can influence its functional properties and mouthfeel in final products [115].

While cricket powder is a valuable whole-food ingredient, there is growing interest in developing more refined products, such as cricket protein isolates and concentrates [84]. These products are analogous to whey or soy protein isolates and involve processes to separate the protein from other components like fats and chitin. Common methods include alkaline extraction followed by isoelectric precipitation, a technique widely used for plant proteins [61]. Other methods being explored include enzyme-assisted extraction and physical methods like high-pressure homogenization [94]. The resulting protein-rich ingredients can have concentrations exceeding 80% protein and possess different functional properties than the whole powder. These refined proteins can be used in applications where a neutral flavor, specific texture, or high protein fortification is required, such as in sports nutrition products, meat analogues, or beverages [84]. However, these extraction processes add cost and complexity and can result in the loss of other beneficial nutrients (minerals, vitamins) present in the whole insect [16].

4.3. Techno-Functional Properties

For an ingredient to be successfully incorporated into a food product, it must possess suitable techno-functional properties, which describe how it behaves during processing and in the final food matrix. The functional properties of cricket powder and its derivatives have been a key area of research [84, 85]. Key properties include:

Solubility: Protein solubility is crucial for applications in beverages and liquid foods. Cricket proteins generally exhibit their lowest solubility at an acidic pH (around 4-5), which is their isoelectric point, and higher solubility in neutral or alkaline conditions [84].

Water and Oil Holding Capacity: The ability to bind water and oil is important for providing texture, juiciness, and moisture retention in products like baked goods and meat products. Cricket powder has been shown to have good water and oil holding capacities, partly due to the presence of both protein and the porous structure of chitin [85].

Emulsifying and Foaming Properties: These properties are essential for creating and stabilizing emulsions (like in sauces and dressings) and foams (like in meringues and whipped toppings). Research has shown that cricket protein can form stable emulsions and foams, although its performance can be influenced by processing

methods and pH [61, 84]. It is important to note that the high temperatures used in some drying processes can denature the proteins, which can negatively impact their functionality, particularly solubility and foaming capacity [62]. Therefore, a trade-off often exists between microbial safety, shelf life, and the preservation of desirable functional properties.

4.4. Incorporation into Food Products

The ultimate goal of processing is to enable the use of crickets in appealing, nutritious, and familiar food products. Cricket powder's mild, nutty, and slightly umami flavor profile allows it to be incorporated into both sweet and savory items. Numerous studies have documented the successful development of cricket-enriched foods:

Baked Goods: Researchers have fortified biscuits, bread, and other baked goods with cricket powder. Biro et al. [116] developed an oat biscuit enriched with cricket powder, finding it technologically feasible and sensorially acceptable at certain inclusion levels. Similarly, Mafu et al. [9] demonstrated the viability of cricket-enriched whole wheat bread, enhancing its nutritional value significantly.

Pasta: Carcea [25] studied durum wheat pasta enriched with cricket powder, noting a significant increase in protein and mineral content. While high levels of enrichment could negatively affect textural properties like firmness and cooking quality, moderate levels resulted in a nutritionally superior product with acceptable sensory characteristics. Similar work has been done with other insect powders, like silkworm powder in buckwheat pasta [115].

Snack Foods: Cricket powder is a popular ingredient in high-protein snack bars, chips, and crackers, where its nutritional benefits align well with consumer demand for healthy, on-the-go options [2, 7].

Meat Analogues: The functional properties of cricket protein concentrates make them a potential ingredient for creating meat analogues or extending traditional meat products, offering a textural and nutritional boost [84].

The success of these products often depends on finding the optimal inclusion level, where nutritional benefits are maximized without negatively impacting the flavor, texture, or appearance of the original product [107, 115].

5. Sustainability and Environmental Impact

A primary driver for the global interest in entomophagy is the potential for insect farming to be a more sustainable method of producing animal protein than conventional livestock agriculture [3, 54]. This claim is supported by a growing body of research, particularly life cycle assessments (LCAs), which provide a holistic, quantitative framework for evaluating the environmental impacts of a product from "cradle to grave" [32].

5.1. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Cricket Production

LCAs of insect farming systems analyze environmental impacts across various categories, including global warming potential (GWP, measured in kg CO₂equivalent), land use, water consumption, and energy demand. Halloran et al. [32] provided a comprehensive review of insect LCAs, highlighting key findings and methodological challenges. A specific LCA of cricket farming in Thailand conducted by Halloran et al. [39] found that the environmental impact was highly dependent on the type of feed used. When crickets were fed a high-quality, grain-based diet similar to commercial poultry feed, their environmental footprint was comparable to that of chicken. However, when fed on diets incorporating food waste and agricultural byproducts, their environmental performance improved dramatically, underscoring the importance of feed choice in the overall sustainability of the system [39]. Another critical factor identified in multiple studies is the energy used for climate control (heating) in farms located in temperate climates, which can be a major environmental hotspot [32, 33]. This suggests that the full sustainability potential is best realized when farms are located in climates that minimize energy needs or when they utilize renewable energy sources.

5.2. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) and Ammonia Emissions

Conventional livestock, particularly ruminants like cattle, are major sources of potent greenhouse gases, including methane (CH_4) from enteric fermentation and nitrous oxide (N_2O) from manure decomposition [12]. Insects, in contrast, produce negligible amounts of methane [18]. A seminal study by Oonincx et al. [18] directly compared the GHG and ammonia (NH_3) production of several insect species, including *A. domesticus*, with that of pigs and cattle. They found that, on a per-kilogram-of-body-mass basis, crickets produced significantly lower levels of GHGs. Similarly,

ammonia emissions, which contribute to acid rain and the eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems, were also substantially lower from cricket farming. These findings strongly support the argument that shifting a portion of protein production from traditional livestock to insects could lead to a meaningful reduction in the agricultural sector's contribution to climate change and environmental pollution [18, 33].

5.3. Land and Water Usage

The demand for land is one of the most significant environmental impacts of conventional agriculture, both for grazing and for cultivating feed crops like soy and corn [3]. This demand is a major driver of global deforestation, habitat loss, and biodiversity decline. Insect farming, particularly with the adoption of vertical farming techniques, requires radically less land. A vertical cricket farm can produce the same amount of protein as a conventional livestock operation on a fraction of the land area [3, 30]. Water consumption is also significantly lower. Insects obtain much of their water requirements from their feed and have a more efficient water retention physiology. While livestock require vast quantities of "blue" water (surface and groundwater) for drinking, sanitation, and growing feed crops (e.g., thousands of liters of water per kg of beef), the water footprint of cricket production is orders of magnitude smaller [30, 37]. This is a particularly critical advantage in an era of increasing global water scarcity and stress on freshwater ecosystems.

5.4. Contribution to a Circular Economy

Perhaps the most compelling sustainability argument for cricket farming lies in its potential to contribute to a circular economy [54]. Insects are nature's great recyclers, and farmed crickets can be reared on a wide variety of organic waste streams that are otherwise unsuitable for human or conventional livestock consumption. Oonincx et al. [20] demonstrated that crickets can be successfully raised on diets composed of food by-products, efficiently converting this low-value biomass into high-quality protein and fat. This practice, known as bioconversion, offers a dual benefit: it diverts organic waste from landfills, where it would generate methane, a potent GHG, and it produces valuable food and feed without competing for arable land or resources used for direct human food production [21, 35]. The frass (a mixture of insect excrement, shed exoskeletons, and undigested feed) produced during farming is also a valuable by-product. It serves as an effective and

nutrient-rich organic fertilizer that can be returned to the agricultural system to improve soil health, thereby closing the nutrient loop [39].

6. Discussion: Challenges and Future Prospects

While the nutritional and environmental benefits of *A. domesticus* are clear, a significant gap remains between its potential and its current status as a mainstream food product. The industry's future growth hinges on overcoming a series of interconnected challenges spanning technology, economics, regulation, and sociocultural acceptance. A strategic approach addressing these hurdles is necessary for the sector to mature.

6.1. Technological and Economic Hurdles

The primary technological challenge is scalability. As discussed, many aspects of cricket farming, from feeding and cleaning to harvesting and processing, are still highly labor-intensive in many operations [96]. The lack of specialized, cost-effective equipment for large-scale insect rearing forces many producers to adapt equipment from other industries or rely on manual labor, which keeps production costs high and limits throughput. Achieving economies of scale through automation and process optimization is essential for cricket protein to become cost-competitive with established protein commodities like poultry, soy, and whey [69]. Niyonsaba et al. [69] analyzed the profitability of insect farms and concluded that high initial capital investment costs for automation and high operational costs for feed and labor are major barriers to economic viability, particularly for new entrants.

Furthermore, energy consumption for maintaining optimal climatic conditions in farms located in temperate regions represents a significant operational expense and an environmental concern that can offset some of the inherent sustainability benefits [32]. Innovations in facility design, insulation, waste heat recovery systems, and the integration of renewable energy sources are needed to address this. In the processing sphere, reducing the cost and energy demand of drying, particularly the superior but expensive freeze-drying method, is another key challenge for producing high-quality yet affordable cricket ingredients [13]. The development of novel, lowenergy drying technologies could be transformative for the sector's economic feasibility.

6.2. Food Safety and Regulatory Landscape

Ensuring the safety and trust of consumers is paramount for any novel food. The regulatory landscape for edible insects is complex and varies significantly between regions, creating uncertainty and barriers to trade for businesses looking to operate internationally [63].

6.2.1. Allergenicity: A primary food safety concern is allergenicity. Crickets are arthropods, belonging to the same phylum as crustaceans (shrimp, crabs, lobsters) and chelicerates (house dust mites). There is a significant body of evidence indicating that proteins such as tropomyosin and arginine kinase are shared among these groups and can cause cross-reactive allergic reactions [8, 65]. This means that individuals with a crustacean allergy are at a high risk of experiencing an allergic reaction to cricket protein [64]. Regulatory bodies like the EFSA mandate that any food products containing crickets must be clearly labeled with a warning for consumers with known crustacean and dust mite allergies [67]. Further research is needed to identify and characterize the full spectrum of cricket allergens and to investigate whether processing methods can reduce the allergenicity of cricket products [64].

6.2.2. Contaminants: Like any food product, crickets can be susceptible to contamination from biological (e.g., pathogenic bacteria like Salmonella, fungi, parasites) or chemical (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, mycotoxins) hazards [19, 23]. The risk of contamination is highly dependent on the quality and safety of the feed substrate and the hygiene standards of the rearing facility. The use of organic waste as feed, while beneficial for sustainability, requires rigorous safety testing and pre-treatment to eliminate potential pathogens and toxins [21]. Strict adherence to Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and the implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) systems throughout the production and processing chain are essential for ensuring the safety and quality of the final product [92].

6.2.3. Regulatory Frameworks: The European Union has established one of the world's most comprehensive regulatory frameworks for edible insects under the Novel Food Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 [63]. Under this regulation, any insect species not traditionally consumed in the EU before May 1997 requires a premarket safety assessment and authorization from the EFSA. *Acheta domesticus* has successfully navigated this

process, with several company-specific dossiers being approved, allowing its commercialization in frozen, dried, and powdered forms [53, 58, 67, 103]. While this provides legal clarity and a high standard of safety within the EU, the lack of harmonized regulations in other major markets, like the United States where the situation is guided by the "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS) process, creates challenges for global trade and market development [38].

6.3. Socio-Cultural Barriers and Consumer Acceptance

Perhaps the most formidable challenge, particularly in Western societies, is the socio-cultural barrier to entomophagy [72, 111].

6.3.1. Neophobia and the 'Yuck Factor': In many Western cultures, insects are strongly associated with pests, dirt, and disease, creating a powerful psychological aversion often termed the "yuck factor" [80]. This disgust response is a learned cultural trait rather than an innate one, but it is a deeply entrenched barrier that is difficult to overcome [111]. Food neophobia, the reluctance to try new foods, is also a significant factor, especially when the new food is as unfamiliar and conceptually challenging as an insect [73, 82]. Studies comparing consumer attitudes in different countries consistently find that acceptance is much lower in Europe and North America than in countries with a tradition of entomophagy like Thailand, Mexico, or China [80, 81].

6.3.2. **Strategies** for **Improving** Acceptance: Overcoming these barriers requires a multi-faceted approach. The most effective strategy identified in numerous consumer studies is the use of insects as an "invisible" ingredient, typically in powdered form [59, 78]. When cricket powder is incorporated into familiar products like protein bars, pasta, or baked goods, consumer willingness to try the product increases significantly compared to being presented with a whole, recognizable insect [73, 106]. Education is another critical tool. Communicating the nutritional and environmental benefits of insect consumption can help shift perceptions and create a more positive association, appealing to consumers' health and environmental consciousness [74]. Sensory experience is also key; the product must ultimately taste good. Positive tasting experiences, often guided by culinary professionals and chefs, can override initial apprehension [106, 109]. Finally, marketing and branding play a vital role in positioning insect-based foods not as a bizarre novelty,

but as a modern, sustainable, and healthy choice for conscientious consumers [114].

6.4. Future Research Directions

To propel the industry forward, future research should focus on several key areas.

Genetics and Selective Breeding: There is an urgent need to move beyond undomesticated cricket stocks. Establishing formal breeding programs to select for economically important traits—such as faster growth, higher protein content, specific fatty acid profiles, and enhanced disease resistance—is the next frontier for improving production efficiency and product consistency [28, 34].

Feed Optimization and Valorization: Research should continue to explore the use of locally available, low-cost organic side streams as feed. This involves not only assessing the impact on cricket growth and nutritional value but also ensuring the safety and absence of contaminants in these feed sources through rigorous testing and development of safe processing protocols for the substrates [35, 89].

Health and Bioavailability: More human clinical trials are needed to fully understand the health impacts of long-term cricket consumption. This includes research on the bioavailability of micronutrients like iron and zinc, the effects of chitin on gut health and the microbiome, and a deeper characterization of the allergenic risks to develop better diagnostics and potential hypo-allergenic products [108].

Processing Innovation: Development of novel and costeffective processing technologies is crucial. This includes optimizing drying and milling to improve the functional properties of cricket powder and developing scalable, efficient methods for protein and chitin extraction to create a wider range of value-added ingredients that can compete with existing products in the market [84, 94].

7. Conclusion

7.1. Summary of Findings

The house cricket, *Acheta domesticus*, stands at a compelling intersection of nutrition, sustainability, and innovation. This review has synthesized the extensive body of evidence demonstrating that it is far more than a novelty food. Nutritionally, it is a powerhouse, offering high-quality, complete protein, healthy fats, and a dense profile of essential minerals and vitamins that rival and

sometimes exceed those of conventional livestock [23, 26, 27]. Environmentally, its production promises a paradigm shift away from the resource-intensive models of traditional animal agriculture, requiring substantially less land, water, and feed, while generating drastically lower levels of greenhouse gases [18, 32, 33]. The development of processing technologies to convert crickets into versatile powders and protein isolates has opened the door for their seamless integration into a vast array of everyday food products, from baked goods to nutritional supplements, making their benefits accessible to a global consumer base [9, 25, 116].

7.2. Synthesis of Major Challenges

Despite this immense potential, the path to mainstream adoption is obstructed by significant and interconnected hurdles. The industrialization of farming is still in its infancy, grappling with the need for automation, selective breeding programs, and robust disease management to achieve the economies of scale necessary for price parity with other proteins [69, 86, 96]. A fragmented and evolving regulatory landscape creates uncertainty for producers and investors, while critical food safety issues, particularly allergenicity, require careful management and transparent consumer communication [8, 63, 65]. Towering above all these, especially in the Western world, is the profound sociocultural barrier of consumer disgust and neophobia—a psychological obstacle that technology and data alone cannot overcome [72, 80, 111].

7.3. Concluding Remarks

The journey of the house cricket from insect to ingredient is a microcosm of the broader challenge facing the global food system: the need to reconcile human nutritional needs with planetary ecological limits. Acheta domesticus is not a silver bullet, but it is a powerful and viable tool in a necessarily diversified food future. Its success will depend on a concerted, multi-disciplinary effort. It requires innovation from engineers and biologists to optimize production, diligence from regulators and food scientists to ensure safety, and creativity from chefs, nutritionists, and marketers to build consumer appeal and trust. Ultimately, integrating crickets and other edible insects into our diets represents a meaningful step towards diversifying our protein sources, building resilience in our food supply

chain, and forging a more sustainable relationship between our plates and our planet [3, 105]. The question is no longer whether we can eat insects, but whether we can afford not to as we seek to build a food system that is truly fit for the future.

References

- Shaheen, S.; Ahmad, M.; Haroon, N. Edible Wild Plants: An Alternative Approach to Food Security, 1st ed.; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017.
- 2. Smarzy ´nski, K.; Sarbak, P.; Kowalczewski, P.Ł.; Róza ´nska, M.B.; Rybicka, I.; Polanowska, K.; Baranowska, H.M. Low-Field NMR ` Study of Shortcake Biscuits with Cricket Powder, and Their Nutritional and Physical Characteristics. Molecules 2021, 26, 5417.
- **3.** Huis, A.V.; Itterbeeck, J.; Klunder, H.; Mertens, E.; Halloran, A.; Muir, G.; Vantomme, P. Edible Insects: Future Prospects for Food and Feed Security; FAO Forestry Paper 171; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2013.
- **4.** Ismail, B.P.; Senaratne-Lenagala, L.; Stube, A.; Brackenridge, A. Protein Demand: Review of Plant and Animal Proteins Used in Alternative Protein Product Development and Production. Anim. Front. 2020, 10, 53–63.
- 5. Baiano, A. Edible Insects: An Overview on Nutritional Characteristics, Safety, Farming, Production Technologies, Regulatory Framework, and Socio-Economic and Ethical Implications. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 2020, 100, 35–50.
- 6. Vantomme, P.; Mertens, E.; Van Huis, A.; Klunder, H. Assessing the Potential of Insects as Food and Feed in Assuring Food Security; Summary Report, Technical Consultation Meeting; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2012; pp. 23–25.
- 7. Zhong, A. Product Development Considerations for a Nutrient Rich Bar Using Cricket (Acheta domesticus) Protein; California State University: Long Beach, CA, USA, 2017.
- **8.** Ribeiro, J.C.; Sousa-Pinto, B.; Fonseca, J.; Fonseca, S.C.; Cunha, L.M. Edible Insects and Food Safety: Allergy. J. Insects Food Feed. 2021, 7, 833–847.
- Mafu, A.; Ketnawa, S.; Phongthai, S.; Schönlechner, R.; Rawdkuen, S. Whole Wheat Bread Enriched with Cricket Powder as an Alternative Protein. Foods 2022, 11, 2142.
- **10.** Vogel, G. For More Protein, Filet of Cricket. Science 2010, 327, 811.

- **11.** Zanolli, L. Insect Farming Is Taking Shape as Demand for Animal Feed Rises. MIT Techology Review, 20 August 2014.
- **12.** Fiala, N. Meeting the Demand: An Estimation of Potential Future Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Meat Production. Ecol. Econ. 2008, 67, 412–419.
- **13.** Dossey, A.; Tatum, J.; McGill, W. Modern Insect-Based Food Industry: Current Status, Insect Processing Technology, and Recommendations Moving Forward. In Insects as Sustainable Food Ingredients; Rojas, M., Ed.; Elsevier: London, UK, 2016; pp. 122–145.
- **14.** Sponheimer, M.; de Ruiter, D.; Lee-Thorp, J.; Späth, A. Sr/Ca and Early Hominin Diets Revisited: New Data from Modern and Fossil Tooth Enamel. J. Hum. Evol. 2005, 48, 147–156.
- **15.** Ramos-Elorduy, J. Anthropo-Entomophagy: Cultures, Evolution and Sustainability. Entomol. Res. 2009, 39, 271–288.
- **16.** De Castro, R.J.S.; Ohara, A.; Aguilar, J.G.D.S.; Domingues, M.A.F. Nutritional, Functional and Biological Properties of Insect Proteins: Processes for Obtaining, Consumption and Future Challenges. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 2018, 76, 82–89.
- 17. Gómez-Luciano, C.A.; de Aguiar, L.K.; Vriesekoop, F.; Urbano, B. Consumers' Willingness to Purchase Three Alternatives to Meat Proteins in the United Kingdom, Spain, Brazil and the Dominican Republic. Food Qual. Prefer. 2019, 78, 103732.
- **18.** Oonincx, D.G.; van Itterbeeck, J.; Heetkamp, M.J.; van den Brand, H.; van Loon, J.J.; van Huis, A. An Exploration on Greenhouse Gas and Ammonia Production by Insect Species Suitable for Animal or Human Consumption. PLoS ONE 2010, 5, e14445.
- **19.** Finke, M.D.; Rojo, S.; Roos, N.; van Huis, A.; Yen, A. The European Food Safety Authority Scientific Opinion on a Risk Profile Related to Production and Consumption of Insects as Food and Feed. J. Insects Food Feed. 2015, 1, 245–247.
- **20.** Oonincx, D.G.; Van Broekhoven, S.; Van Huis, A.; van Loon, J.J. Feed Conversion, Survival and Development, and Composition of Four Insect Species on Diets Composed of Food By-Products. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, e0144601.
- **21.** Ci^{*}cková, H.; Newton, G.L.; Lacy, R.C.; Kozánek, M. The Use of Fly Larvae for Organic Waste Treatment.

 * Waste Manag. 2015, 35, 68–80.
- **22.** Kovács, K.; Sz″ucs, R.S. Az Entomofágiával, Azaz a Rovarok Fogyasztásával Kapcsolatos Attit″udök

- Vizsgálata. Jelenkori Társadalmi és Gazdasági Folyamatok 2023, 18, 119–138.
- **23.** Rumpold, B.A.; Schlüter, O.K. Nutritional Composition and Safety Aspects of Edible Insects. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2013, 57, 802–823.
- **24.** Tzompa-Sosa, D.A.; Dewettinck, K.; Provijn, P.; Brouwers, J.F.; De Meulenaer, B.; Oonincx, D.G. Lipidome of Cricket Species Used as Food. Food Chem. 2021, 349, 129077.
- **25.** Carcea, M. Quality and Nutritional/Textural Properties of Durum Wheat Pasta Enriched with Cricket Powder. Foods 2020, 9, 1298.
- 26. Payne, C.L.R.; Scarborough, P.; Rayner, M.; Nonaka, K. Are Edible Insects More or Less Healthy than Commonly Consumed Meats? A Comparison Using Two Nutrient Profiling Models Developed to Combat Over- and Undernutrition. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2016, 70, 285–291.
- **27.** Kulma, M.; Kou rimská, L.; Plachý, V.; Božik, M.; Adámková, A.; Vrabec, V. Effect of Sex on the Nutritional Value of House Cricket, Acheta domestica L. Food Chem. 2019, 272, 267–272.
- **28.** Megido, R.C.; Francis, F.; Haubruge, E.; Le Gall, P.; Tomberlin, J.K.; Miranda, C.D.; van Huis, A. A Worldwide Overview of the Status and Prospects of Edible Insect Production. Entomol. Gen. 2024, 44, 3–27.
- **29.** von Hackewitz, L. The House Cricket Acheta domesticus, a Potential Source of Protein for Human Consumption; SLU, Department of Molecular Sciences: Uppsala, Sweden, 2018.
- **30.** Oonincx, D.G.A.B. Insects as Food and Feed: Nutrient Composition and Environmental Impact; Wageningen University: Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2015.
- **31.** Nakagaki, B.J.; DeFoliart, G.R. Comparison of Diets for Mass-Rearing Acheta domesticus (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) as a Novelty Food, and Comparison of Food Conversion Efficiency with Values Reported for Livestock. J. Econ. Entomol. 1991, 84, 891–896.
- **32.** Halloran, A.; Roos, N.; Eilenberg, J.; Cerutti, A.; Bruun, S. Life Cycle Assessment of Edible Insects for Food Protein: A Review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2016, 36, 57.
- **33.** Oonincx, D.G.A.B.; de Boer, I.J.M. Environmental Impact of the Production of Mealworms as a Protein Source for Humans—A Life Cycle Assessment. PLoS ONE 2012, 7, e51145.

- 34. Tacon, A.G.J. Compound Aqua Feeds in a More Competitive Market: Alternative Protein Sources for a More Sustainable Future. In Avances en Nutrición Acuícola IX. Memorias del Noveno Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola; Cruz Suárez, L.E., Ed.; Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León: Monterrey, Mexico, 2008; pp. 24–26.
- **35.** Sorjonen, J.M.; Valtonen, A.; Hirvisalo, E.; Karhapää, M.; Lehtovaara, V.J.; Lindgren, J.; Roininen, H. The Plant-Based By-Product Diets for the Mass-Rearing of Acheta domesticus and Gryllus bimaculatus. PLoS ONE 2019, 14, e0218830.
- **36.** Yen, A.L. Insects as Food and Feed in the Asia Pacific Region: Current Perspectives and Future Directions. J. Insects Food Feed. 2015, 1, 33–55.
- **37.** Jansson, A.; Berggren, Å. Insects as Food—Something for the Future? Future Agriculture; Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU): Uppsala, Sweden, 2015.
- **38.** Siddiqui, S.A.; Zhao, T.; Fitriani, A.; Rahmadhia, S.N.; Alirezalu, K.; Fernando, I. Acheta domesticus (House Cricket) as Human Food—An Approval of the European Commission—A Systematic Review. Food Front. 2024, 5, 435–473.
- **39.** Halloran, A.; Hanboonsong, Y.; Roos, N.; Bruun, S. Life Cycle Assessment of Cricket Farming in North-Eastern Thailand. J. Clean. Prod. 2017, 156, 83–94.
- **40.** Hanboonsong, Y.; Jamjanya, T.; Durst, P.B. Six-Legged Livestock: Edible Insect Farming, Collection and Marketing in Thailand; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2013.
- **41.** Collavo, A.L.B.; Glew, R.H.; Huang, Y.S.; Chuang, L.T.; Bosse, R.B.; Paoletti, M.G. House Cricket Small-Scale Farming. In Ecological Implications of Minilivestock: Potential of Insects, Rodents, Frogs and Snails; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005; pp. 515–540.
- **42.** Kok, R.; Shivhare, U.S.; Lomamliza, K. Mass and Component Balances for Insect Production. Can. Agric. Eng. 1990, 33, 185–192.
- **43.** Larde, G. Investigations on Some Factors Affecting Larval Growth in a Coffee-Pulp Bed. Biol. Wastes 1989, 30, 11–19.
- **44.** Malaisse, F. Human Consumption of Lepidoptera, Termites, Orthoptera, and Ants in Africa; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004
- **45.** Meyer-Rochow, V.B.; Changkija, S. Uses of Insects as Human Food in Papua New Guinea, Australia, and North-East India: Cross-Cultural Considerations and Cautious Conclusions. Ecol. Food Nutr. 1997, 36, 159–185.

- **46.** Onore, G. A Brief Note on Edible Insects in Ecuador. Ecol. Food Nutr. 1997, 36, 277–285.
- **47.** Ramos-Elorduy, J. Insects: A Sustainable Source of Food? Ecol. Food Nutr. 1997, 36, 247–276.
- **48.** Ruddle, K. The Human Use of Insects: Examples from the Yukpa. Biotropica 1973, 5, 94–101.
- **49.** Menzel, P.; D'Aluisio, F. Man Eating Bugs; Ten Speed Press: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1998; 192p.
- **50.** Fenaroli, G. Le Sostanze Aromatiche; Hoepli Ed.: Milano, Italy, 1963; Volume 11, 1004p.
- **51.** McFarlane, J.E.; Neilson, B.; Ghouri, A.S.K. Artificial Diets for the House Crickets. Can. J. Zool. 1959, 37, 913–916.
- **52.** Patton, R.L. Olicidic Diets for Acheta domesticus (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 1967, 60, 1238–1242.
- **53.** European Commission. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/882. Off. J. Eur. Union 2021, 64, 16–20.
- **54.** Kłobukowski, F.; Smiechowska, M.; Skotnicka, M. Edible Insects from the Perspective of Sustainability—A Review of the Hazards ´ and Benefits. Foods 2025, 14, 1382.
- **55.** Olivadese, M.; Dindo, M.L. Edible Insects: A Historical and Cultural Perspective on Entomophagy with a Focus on Western Societies. Insects 2023, 14, 690.
- **56.** European Union Law. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/169. Off. J. Eur. Union 2022, 10, L28.
- **57.** European Union Law. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/188. Off. J. Eur. Union 2022, 108, L30.
- **58.** European Union Law. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/58. Off. J. Eur. Union 2023, 10, L5.
- **59.** Van Thielen, L.; Vermuyten, S.; Storms, B.; Rumpold, B.A.; Van Campenhout, L. Consumer Acceptance of Foods Containing Edible Insects in Belgium Two Years after Their Introduction to the Market. J. Insects Food Feed. 2018, 5, 35–44.
- **60.** Raheem, D.; Carrascosa, C.; Oluwole, O.B.; Nieuwland, M.; Saraiva, A.; Millan, R.; Raposo, A. Traditional Consumption of and Rearing Edible Insects in Africa, Asia and Europe. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2018, 59, 2169–2188.
- **61.** Bußler, S.; Rumpold, B.A.; Jander, E.; Rawel, H.M.; Schlüter, O.K. Recovery and Techno-Functionality of Flours and Proteins from Two Edible Insect Species:

- Mealworm (Tenebrio molitor) and Black Soldier Fly (Hermetia illucens) Larvae. Heliyon 2016, 2, e00218.
- **62.** Melgar-Lalanne, G.; Hernández-Álvarez, A.-J.; Salinas-Castro, A. Edible Insects Processing: Traditional and Innovative Technologies. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 2019, 18, 1166–1191.
- **63.** Zuk-Gołaszewska, K.; Gałecki, R.; Obremski, K.; Smetana, S.; Figiel, S.; Gołaszewski, J. Edible Insect Farming in the Context of the EU Regulations and Marketing—An Overview. Insects 2022, 13, 446.
- 64. Pali-Schöll, I.; Meinlschmidt, P.; Larenas-Linnemann, D.; Purschke, B.; Hofstetter, G.; Rodríguez-Monroy, F.A.; Einhorn, L.; Mothes-Luksch, N.; Jensen-Jarolim, E.; Jäger, H. Edible Insects: Cross-Recognition of IgE from Crustacean- and House Dust Mite-Allergic Patients, and Reduction of Allergenicity by Food Processing. World Allergy Organ. J. 2019, 12, 100006.
- **65.** De Gier, S.; Verhoeckx, K. Insect (Food) Allergy and Allergens. Mol. Immunol. 2018, 100, 82–106.
- **66.** European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Safety of Frozen and Dried Formulations from Migratory Locust (Locusta migratoria) as a Novel Food Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2015/2283. EFSA J. 2021, 19, 6667.
- **67.** European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Safety of Partially Defatted House Cricket (Acheta domesticus) Powder as a Novel Food Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2015/2283. EFSA J. 2022, 20, 7258.
- **68.** European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Safety of Frozen and Freeze-Dried Formulations of the Lesser Mealworm (Alphitobius diaperinus Larva) as a Novel Food Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2015/2283. EFSA J. 2022, 20, 7325.
- **69.** Niyonsaba, H.H.; Höhler, J.; Kooistra, J.; van der Fels-Klerx, H.J.; Meuwissen, M.P.M. Profitability of Insect Farms. J. Insects Food Feed. 2021, 7, 923–934.
- **70.** European Commission. Approval Insect Novel Food; European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 2023.
- **71.** Balogh, P. Evaluation of an Alternate Source of Protein: Challenges and Opportunities of Entomophagy. Hung. J. Food Nutr. Mark. 2016, 3, 3–12.
- **72.** Looy, H.; Dunkel, F.V.; Wood, J.R. How Then Shall We Eat? Insect-Eating Attitudes and Sustainable Foodways. Agric. Hum. Values 2014, 31, 131–141.
- **73.** Mancini, S.; Sogari, G.; Menozzi, D.; Nuvoloni, R.; Torracca, B.; Moruzzo, R.; Paci, G. Factors Predicting

- the Intention of Eating an Insect-Based Product. Foods 2019, 8, 270.
- **74.** Szczepa ´nski, L.; Dupont, J.; Schade, F.; Hellberg, H.; Büscher, M.; Fiebelkorn, F. Effectiveness of a Teaching Unit on the Willingness to Consume Insect-Based Food—An Intervention Study with Adolescents from Germany. Front. Nutr. 2022, 9, 889805.
- **75.** Szendr"o, K.; Nagy, M.Z.; Tóth, K. Consumer Acceptance of Meat from Animals Reared. Animals 2020, 10, 1312.
- **76.** Szendr"o, K.; Tóth, K.; Nagy, M.Z. Opinions on Insect Consumption in Hungary. Foods 2020, 9, 1829.
- 77. Liu, X.; Chen, X.; Wang, H.; Yang, Q.; Ur Rehman, K.; Li, W.; Zheng, L. Dynamic Changes of Nutrient Composition Throughout the Entire Life Cycle of Black Soldier Fly. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0182601.
- **78.** House, J. Consumer Acceptance of Insect-Based Foods in the Netherlands: Academic and Commercial Implications. Appetite 2016, 107, 47–58.
- **79.** Abdullahi, N.; Igwe, E.C.; Dandago, M.A.; Yunusa, A.K. Consumption of Edible Insects: The Challenges and the Prospects. Food ScienTech J. 2021, 3, 1–24.
- **80.** Hartmann, C.; Shi, J.; Giusto, A.; Siegrist, M. The Psychology of Eating Insects: A Cross-Cultural Comparison between Germany and China. Food Qual. Prefer. 2015, 44, 148–156.
- **81.** Ruby, M.; Rozin, P.; Chan, C. Determinants of Willingness to Eat Insects in the USA and India. J. Insects Food Feed. 2015, 1, 215–225.
- **82.** Lensvelt, E.J.; Steenbekkers, L.P. Exploring Consumer Acceptance of Entomophagy: A Survey and Experiment in Australia and the Netherlands. Ecol. Food Nutr. 2014, 53, 543–561.
- **83.** Copelotti, E.; Menozzi, D.; Sogari, G.; Mora, C. Are Insect-Based Foods Healthy? An Evaluation of the Products Sold in European E-Commerce. Foods 2025, 14, 1450.
- **84.** Gravel, A.; Doyen, A. The Use of Edible Insect Proteins in Food: Challenges and Issues Related to Their Functional Properties. Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 2020, 59, 102272.
- **85.** Udomsil, N.; Imsoonthornruksa, S.; Gosalawit, C.; Ketudat-Cairns, M. Nutritional Values and Functional Properties of House Cricket (Acheta domesticus) and Field Cricket (Gryllus bimaculatus). Food Sci. Technol. Res. 2019, 25, 597–605.

- **86.** Feng, L.; Yan, L.; Zhang, J. The Role of Disease Management in Cricket Farming: A Review. J. Appl. Insect Sci. 2018, 57, 23–34.
- **87.** Micha, R.; Coates, J.; Leclercq, C.; Charrondiere, U.R.; Mozaffarian, D. Global Dietary Surveillance: Data Gaps and Challenges. Food Nutr. Bull. 2018, 39, 175–205.
- **88.** Post, M.J. Cultured Meat from Stem Cells: Challenges and Prospects. Meat Sci. 2012, 92, 297–301.
- **89.** Gahukar, R.T. Factors Affecting Content and Bioefficacy of Neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss.) Phytochemicals Used in Agricultural Pest Control: A Review. Crop Prot. 2014, 62, 93–99.
- **90.** Gere, A.; Zemel, R.; Radványi, D.; Moskowitz, H. Insect-Based Foods: A Nutritional Point of View. Nutr. Food Sci. Int. J. 2017, 4, 555638.
- **91.** Finke, M.D. Estimate of Chitin in Raw Whole Insects. Zoo Biol. 2007, 26, 105–115.
- **92.** Belluco, S.; Losasso, C.; Maggioletti, M.; Alonzi, C.C.; Paoletti, M.G.; Ricci, A. Edible Insects in the Food Industry: Opportunities and Challenges. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 2013, 12, 296–313.
- **93.** Rinaudo, M. Chitin and Chitosan: Properties and Applications. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2006, 31, 603–632.
- **94.** Dimopoulos, G.; Tsantes, M.; Taoukis, P. Effect of High Pressure Homogenization on the Production of Yeast Extract via Autolysis and Beta-Glucan Recovery. Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 2020, 62, 102340.
- **95.** Gorbunova, N.A.; Zakharov, A.N. Edible Insects as a Source of Alternative Protein. A Review. Theory Pract. Meat Process. 2021, 6, 23–32.
- **96.** Derrien, C.; Boccuni, A. Current Status of the Insect Producing Industry in Europe. In Edible Insects in Sustainable Food Systems; Halloran, A., Flore, R., Vantomme, P., Roos, N., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 471–479.
- **97.** Mandal, F.B.; Dutta, M. The Potential of Entomophagy against Malnutrition and Ensuring Food Sustainability. Afr. J. Biol. Sci. 2022, 4, 15–22.
- 98. Magara, H.J.O.; Niassy, S.; Ayieko, M.A.; Mukundamago, M.; Egonyu, J.P.; Tanga, C.M.; Kimathi, E.K.; Ongere, J.O.; Fiaboe, K.K.M.; Hugel, S.; et al. Edible Crickets (Orthoptera) Around the World: Distribution, Nutritional Value, and Other Benefits—A Review¹. Front. Nutr. 2021, 7, 537915.

- **99.** European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Safety of Dried Yellow Mealworm (Tenebrio molitor Larva) as a Novel Food Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2015/2283. EFSA J. 2021, 19, 6343.
- 100. European Commission. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/882 of 1 June 2021 Authorising the Placing on the Market of Dried Tenebrio molitor Larva as a Novel Food under Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and Amending Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2470. Off. J. Eur. Union 2021, L194, 16–20.
- 101. Commission. European Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/1975 of 12 November 2021 Authorising the Placing on the Market of Frozen, Dried and Powder Forms of Locusta migratoria as a Novel Food under Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Amending Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2470. Off. J. Eur. Union 2021, L402, 10-16. https://eur-Available online: lex.europa.eu/eli/reg impl/2021/1975/oj (accessed on 15 July 2025).
- 102. European Commission. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/169 of 8 February 2022 Authorising the Placing on the Market of Frozen, Dried and Powder Forms of Tenebrio molitor (Yellow Mealworm) as a Novel Food under Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and Amending Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2470. Off. J. Eur. Union 2022, L28, 10-16. Available https://euronline: lex.europa.eu/eli/reg impl/2022/169/oj (accessed on 15 July 2025).
- 103. European Commission. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/188 of 10 February 2022 Authorising the Placing on the Market of Frozen, Dried and Powder Forms of Acheta domesticus as a Novel Food under Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and Amending Comm²ission **Implementing** Regulation 2017/2470. Off. J. Eur. Union 2022, L30, 108-113. Available online: https://eurlex.europa.eu/eli/reg impl/2022/188/oj (accessed on 15 July 2025).

- **104.** Finke, M.D. Complete Nutrient Content of Four Species of Commercially Available Feeder Insects. Zoo. Biol. 2013, 32, 27–36.
- **105.** Tang, C.; Yang, D.; Liao, H.; Sun, H.; Liu, C. Edible Insects as a Food Source: A Review. Food Prod. Process. Nutr. 2019, 1, 1–13.
- **106.** Hwang, S.S.; Baek, M.H.; Lee, J.H. Sensory Evaluation and Consumer Preferences for Cricket-Based Food Products. Food Qual. Prefer. 2017, 61, 74–85.
- **107.** Goff, M.; Peter, L. The Role of Cricket Flour in Food Product Development. Food Sci. Rev. 2018, 26, 105–114.
- **108.** Ayensu, J.; Annan, R.A.; Edusei, A.; Lutterodt, H. Beyond Nutrients, Health Effects of Entomophagy: A Systematic Review. Nutr. Food Sci. 2019, 49, 2–17.
- **109.** Florenca, S.G.; Guine, R.P.; Goncalves, F.J.; Barroca, M.J.; Ferreira, M.; Costa, C.A.; Cunha, L.M. The Motivations for Consumption of Edible Insects: A Systematic Review. Foods 2022, 11, 3643.
- **110.** Tobolkova, B. Edible Insects-the Future of a Healthy Diet? Nov. Tech. Nutr. Food Sci. 2019, 4, 325–328.
- **111.** DeFoliart, G.R. Insects as Food: Why the Western Attitude Is Important. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 1999, 44, 21–50.
- **112.** Kou rimská, I.; Adámková, A. Nutritional and Sensory Quality of Edible Insects. NFS J. 2016, 4, 22–26.
- 113. Kim, M.-S.; Ye, S.-J.; Roh, J.-H.; Choi, H.-W.; Baik, M.-Y. Comprehensive Analysis of Storage Stability of Hong-Jam Under Various Conditions: Correlation Between Lipid Oxidation Factors and Alternative Quality Parameters. Foods 2025, 14, 1593.
- **114.** Han, R.; Shin, J.T.; Kim, J.; Choi, Y.S.; Kim, Y.W. An Overview of the South Korean Edible Insect Food Industry: Challenges and Future Pricing/Promotion Strategies. Entomol. Res. 2017, 47, 141–151.
- 115. Biro, B.; Fodor, R.; Szedljak, I.; Pasztor-Huszar, K.; Gere, A. Buckwheat-Pasta Enriched with Silkworm Powder: Technological Analysis and Sensory Evaluation. LWT 2019, 116, 108542.
- **116.** Biro, B.; Sipos, M.A.; Kovacs, A.; Badak-Kerti, K.; Pasztor-Huszar, K.; Gere, A. Cricket-Enriched Oat Biscuit: Technological Analysis and Sensory Evaluation. Foods 2020, 9, 1561.