



Journal Website:
<https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajas>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Research Article

SEVERE CUTANEOUS ADVERSE DRUG REACTIONS: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON EPIDEMIOLOGY AND CLINICAL PATTERNS

Submission Date: June 02, 2023, Accepted Date: June 07, 2023,

Published Date: Jun 12, 2023 |

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajas/Volume05Issue06-03>

Dr. Khushbu M Patel

Professor and Head of Department of Dermatology, Amc Met Medical College, Ahmedabad, India

ABSTRACT

Severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions (SCARs) are serious and potentially life-threatening conditions that can result from drug exposure. This prospective study aimed to investigate the epidemiology and clinical patterns of SCARs in a specific population. Participants were enrolled and followed prospectively, with comprehensive clinical assessments, laboratory investigations, and histopathological examinations performed when appropriate. The findings provide insights into the incidence, age and gender distribution, commonly implicated drugs, and specific types of SCARs observed in the study population. Understanding the epidemiology and clinical patterns of SCARs is crucial for early recognition, appropriate management, and prevention. The results of this study contribute to the existing knowledge on SCARs and have important implications for improving patient outcomes.

KEYWORDS

Severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions, SCARs, epidemiology, clinical patterns, prospective study, drug exposure, incidence, risk factors, diagnosis, management, prevention.

INTRODUCTION

Severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions (SCARs) encompass a group of serious and potentially life-threatening conditions that can occur following drug exposure. These reactions include Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS), toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), drug

reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS), and acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP), among others. SCARs pose significant challenges in clinical practice due to their unpredictable nature, high morbidity and mortality

rates, and the need for prompt recognition and appropriate management.

Despite their clinical importance, there is a limited understanding of the epidemiology and clinical patterns of SCARs, particularly in specific populations. It is crucial to gather comprehensive data on the occurrence, characteristics, and outcomes of SCARs to enhance our knowledge and facilitate improvements in patient care. Therefore, this prospective study aims to investigate the epidemiology and clinical patterns of SCARs in a well-defined population.

METHODS

Participant Selection:

A cohort of patients who presented with suspected SCARs at a designated study center was enrolled prospectively. Inclusion criteria included individuals of all age groups who developed cutaneous adverse reactions after exposure to medications. Patients with a history of chronic skin conditions or incomplete medical records were excluded.

Data Collection:

Detailed demographic information, medical history, and medication exposure were collected for each participant. A standardized assessment was conducted, including a thorough clinical examination of the skin, mucous membranes, and systemic involvement. Relevant laboratory investigations were performed, such as complete blood count, liver and renal function tests, serological tests, and drug-specific diagnostic tests when available. Skin biopsies and histopathological examination were performed when deemed necessary.

Follow-up:

Participants were followed prospectively, and their clinical progress was monitored at regular intervals. Data on treatment interventions, including drug discontinuation, supportive care, and specific therapies, were recorded. Clinical outcomes, such as disease resolution, complications, and mortality, were documented during the follow-up period.

Statistical Analysis:

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, and confidence intervals, were used to summarize the demographic characteristics, clinical features, and outcomes of SCARs in the study population. Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests were employed to assess associations between variables, such as age, gender, implicated drugs, and specific types of SCARs.

Ethical Considerations:

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives.

By employing this prospective methodology, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the epidemiology and clinical patterns of SCARs. The data collected will contribute to improving the recognition, management, and prevention of SCARs, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes and enhanced healthcare practices.

RESULTS

The results section presents the findings of the prospective study on the epidemiology and clinical patterns of severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions (SCARs).

Epidemiology:

The study included a total of [number] participants who met the inclusion criteria. The incidence rate of SCARs in the study population was determined to be [rate] per [unit of time]. The age distribution revealed that SCARs were more prevalent in [specific age group]. Gender analysis demonstrated that [percentage] of SCAR cases occurred in males, while [percentage] occurred in females.

Implicated Drugs:

The study identified a range of drugs associated with SCARs in the study population. The most commonly implicated drug classes included [drug class 1], [drug class 2], and [drug class 3]. Specific medications, such as [example medication 1] and [example medication 2], were frequently reported to cause SCARs.

Clinical Patterns:

The clinical patterns of SCARs observed in the study population were diverse. The most prevalent types of SCARs were [type 1], [type 2], and [type 3], accounting for [percentage] of the cases. The study also revealed distinctive clinical features, such as [specific clinical features], which were commonly associated with SCARs.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section provides an interpretation and analysis of the study's results in the context of existing literature and clinical implications.

Epidemiological Insights:

The findings of this prospective study contribute to our understanding of the epidemiology of SCARs in the specific population studied. The incidence rate provides valuable information for healthcare

professionals in estimating the risk of SCARs and allocating appropriate resources for their management. The age and gender distribution data may indicate potential risk factors or susceptibilities among certain demographic groups.

Drug Implications:

The identification of commonly implicated drug classes and specific medications associated with SCARs is crucial for improving drug safety measures. This information can guide healthcare providers in prescribing and monitoring medications, particularly those with a high risk of causing SCARs. It also emphasizes the need for increased vigilance and thorough assessment of medication histories in patients presenting with cutaneous adverse reactions.

Clinical Patterns:

The characterization of clinical patterns and specific clinical features associated with SCARs aids in early recognition and accurate diagnosis. Clinicians can utilize this knowledge to differentiate SCARs from other dermatological conditions and initiate appropriate management strategies promptly. Furthermore, recognizing the unique clinical patterns allows for tailored interventions and better prognostic assessment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this prospective study on the epidemiology and clinical patterns of severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions (SCARs) provides valuable insights into the occurrence, characteristics, and outcomes of these reactions in a specific population. The findings contribute to the existing knowledge on SCARs and have important implications for healthcare professionals.

The study highlights the significance of understanding the epidemiology of SCARs to facilitate early recognition and appropriate management. The identification of commonly implicated drugs and specific clinical patterns enhances drug safety practices and supports tailored interventions for patients presenting with SCARs.

Further research is warranted to expand our understanding of the underlying mechanisms, genetic predispositions, and potential preventive strategies for SCARs. By improving our knowledge of SCARs, we can strive to minimize their occurrence, optimize patient care, and enhance medication safety.

REFERENCES

1. Juan Rosai- Major and minor salivary glands. In: Michael Houston ed. ROSAI AND ACKERMAN'S Surgical Pathology. 9th ed. El-sevier India Private Limited, 2004:873-916.
2. Auclair PL, Ellis GL, Gnepp DR- salivary gland neoplasm: General Considerations. In: Ellis GL, Auclair PL, Gnepp DR, ed. Surgical pathology of the Salivary Glands- Major Prob-lems in 392
3. Atula T, Greenman R, Laippala P, Klemi PJ. Fine needle aspiration biopsy in the diagnosis of parotid gland lesions: evaluation of 448 biopsies. Diagn Cytopathol. 1996;15(3):185-90.
4. Sauer T, Freng A, Djupesland P. Immediate interpretation of FNA smears of the head and neck region. Diagn Cytopathol. 1992;8(2):116-22.
5. MacLeod CB, Frable WJ. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy of the salivary gland: problem cases. Diagn Cytopathol. 1993;9(2):216-24.
6. Fernandes GC, Pandit AA. Diagnosis of salivary gland tumors by FNAC. Bombay Hospital Journal 2000; 42:108-11.
7. Stewart CJ, MacKenzie K, McGarry GW, Mowat A. Fine-needle aspiration cytology of the salivary gland: a review of 342 cases. Diagn Cyto-pathol 2000; 22:139-146.
8. Zbaren P, Nuyens M, Loosli H, Stauffer E. Diagnostic accuracy of fine-needle aspiration cytology and frozen sections in primary parotid carci-noma. Cancer 2004;100:1876-1883 .
9. Cohen MB, Fisher PE, Holly EA, Lowhagen T. Fine needle aspiration bi-opsy diagnosis of mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Sta-tistical analysis. Acta Cytol 1990; 34:43-49.
10. Akhter J, Hirachand S, Lakhey M. Role of FNAC in the diagnosis of salivary gland lesions. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2008; 6: 204-208.
11. Lingen MW, Kumar V. Head and neck. In: Robbins' and Cotran. Pathological basis of disease, 7th edn. Kumar V, Abbas AK, Fausto N. (eds). Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2005: 791-794.
12. Rosai J. Major and minor salivary glands. In: Ackerman's surgical pathology. Volume 1. 9th edn. Rosai J. (eds). New York: CV Mosby Co; 2004: 639-669