

Mediative Effects of *Acalypha Wilkesiana* Leaf Powder on The Cellular, Brain, And Breast Muscle Oxidative Stress Biomarkers Status of Cobb 500 Broiler Chickens Fed Aflatoxin B1-Contaminated Diets

Adesolasi Bridget ADEOLA¹, Clement Oluwafemi OSOWE¹, Ramon Abiodun BAMIGBOYE¹, Aderonke Perpetua AJAMA¹ , Olufemi Adesanya ADU¹, Gbenga Emmanuel ONIBI¹

¹ Department of Animal Production and Health, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria

Received: 19th Oct 2025 | Received Revised Version: 15th Nov 2025 | Accepted: 30th Nov 2025 | Published: 20th Dec 2025

Volume 07 Issue 12 2025 | Crossref DOI: 10.37547/tajabe/Volume07Issue12-04

Abstract

This study evaluated the potential of Acalypha wilkesiana leaf powder (AWLP) to mitigate the toxic effects of Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) in broiler chickens. A 3×3 factorial design was used to assess the interaction between three levels of AFB₁ (0, 0.25, and 0.5 mg/kg) and three levels of AWLP (0, 250, and 500 mg/kg) in the diets of Cobb 500 broilers. One hundred- and eighty-nine-day-old chicks were randomly assigned to nine dietary treatments over a 6-week feeding trial. Oxidative stress biomarkers and cellular stress markers were evaluated. AFB₁ exposure significantly induced oxidative damage in brain and muscle tissues, as reflected by elevated lipid peroxidation and reduced antioxidant enzyme activities (catalase and glutathione peroxidase). AWLP supplementation at 250 mg/kg reduced lipid peroxidation, and significant interaction effects were observed for several parameters, including NF-κB, HSP70, and oxidative stress markers, confirming AWLP's dose-dependent modulatory role. Although AWLP demonstrated antioxidant and hepatoprotective benefits, it was insufficient as a standalone remedy for AFB₁ toxicity. These findings suggest that AWLP at moderate levels may serve as a functional phyto-genic additive in poultry feed, particularly in regions prone to aflatoxin contamination, but further integration with other detoxifiers is recommended.

Keywords: *Acalypha wilkesiana*, Aflatoxin B₁, broiler, oxidative stress, phyto-genic additives, cellular biomarkers, poultry health.

© 2025 Adesolasi Bridget Adeola, Clement Oluwafemi Osowe, Ramon Abiodun Bamigboye, Aderonke Perpetua Ajama, Olufemi Adesanya Adu, and Gbenga Emmanuel Onibi. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and permit others to share, adapt, and redistribute the work, provided appropriate credit is given to the original authors and source.

Cite This Article: Adeola, A. B., Osowe, C. O., Bamigboye, R. A., Ajama, A. P., Adu, O. A., & Onibi, G. E. (2025). Mediative effects of *Acalypha wilkesiana* leaf powder on the cellular, brain, and breast muscle oxidative stress biomarkers status of Cobb 500 broiler chickens fed Aflatoxin B₁-contaminated diets. *The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations*, 7(12), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue12-05>.

1. Introduction

Aflatoxins are among the most important mycotoxins affecting food and feed safety in tropical and subtropical regions, where hot and humid conditions favour *Aspergillus* growth (Mgbeahuruike, 2016; Balendres *et*

al., 2019). Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is the most toxic aflatoxin and is well known for causing liver injury, immune suppression and carcinogenic effects (Habeeb, 2018; WHO, 2018). Even at low levels in poultry feed, AFB₁ stunts growth, reduces carcass quality and

weakens immune responses (Iqbal *et al.*, 2014; Umoh *et al.*, 2011). AFB₁ is also a strong pro-oxidant: In the liver it is converted to reactive metabolites that produce reactive oxygen species, heighten lipid peroxidation and weaken key antioxidant defenses (e.g., SOD, CAT, GPx), so oxidative injury to lipids, proteins and DNA is a central feature of aflatoxicosis in broilers (Rotruck *et al.*, 1973; Misra and Fridovich, 1972; Aebi, 1974). Consequently, oxidative damage to lipids, proteins and DNA plays a major role in aflatoxicosis in broiler chickens.

In addition to classical oxidative effects, AFB₁ exposure also triggers cellular stress and inflammatory signalling pathways associated with tissue damage. In addition to oxidative damage, AFB₁ activates cellular stress and inflammatory pathways, for example, HSP70 rises as a protective chaperone while NF- κ B drives pro-inflammatory and apoptotic signalling (Hou *et al.*, 2022; Zuo *et al.*, 2013). Evaluating several oxidative and cellular stress markers together gives a fuller picture of tissue responses, especially in organs such as the brain and breast muscle that affect welfare and meat quality but are less commonly examined than the liver. Such integrated evaluations are particularly important for organs like the brain and breast muscle, which influence behaviour, welfare and meat quality, yet remain less frequently studied compared to the liver.

Because chemical binders and synthetic detoxifiers can be costly or not very efficient, there has been a rise in the quest for natural phytogetic additions that have antioxidant and protective qualities (Yang *et al.*, 2015; Ogwuegbu and Mthiyane, 2024). Phytogetic compounds—including polyphenols, flavonoids, tannins and terpenoids, can influence oxidative and inflammatory pathways, scavenge free radicals and support endogenous antioxidant enzyme activities. Several medicinal plants have proven the potential to ameliorate mycotoxin-induced biochemical abnormalities in chickens (Nazarizadeh *et al.*, 2019; Achilonu *et al.*, 2018). These findings encourage the assessment of available tropical medicinal herbs as economical supplements for decreasing aflatoxin-induced oxidative stress in broilers.

Acalypha wilkesiana is a common ornamental and medicinal shrub rich in phenolic compounds and flavonoids that exhibit antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects (Omage and Azeke, 2014). Its extracts and leaf powders have demonstrated antimicrobial and metabolic benefits, making AWLP a

practical, locally available phytogetic candidate for reducing aflatoxin-related oxidative damage in poultry.

Although herbal feed additives are increasingly investigated, there are few studies on tissue-specific antioxidant responses to AFB₁ when diets are supplemented with *A. wilkesiana*. In particular, information on oxidative biomarkers in the brain and breast muscle under AFB₁ challenge is limited. These gaps highlight the need to evaluate not only antioxidant enzymes but also cellular stress biomarkers such as HSP70 and NF- κ B to understand how phytogetic additives influence systemic and tissue-level responses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Federal University of Technology, Akure (FUTA). It is located between 70°15'0" North and 50°12'0" East. The weather in Akure is similar to that in Southwest Nigeria, where the southwest monsoon winds from the ocean bring rain, and the dry Northwest winds from the Sahara Desert provide dry air. The rainy season in the study area typically spans April through October, about seven months in duration. The temperature stays between 21 °C and 29 °C all year, and the humidity is considerable. The amount of rain that falls each year ranges from 1,150 mm to 2,000 mm (Ogunrayi *et al.*, 2016).

2.2. Collection and preparation of *Acalypha wilkesiana* leaf powder (AWLP)

Fresh leaves of the copperleaf, *Acalypha wilkesiana* hoffmannii (Green), were collected from their mother plants in Akure, Nigeria. Proper identification of the cultivars was sought out at the Herbarium of the Crop, Soil and Pest Department, FUTA. The leaves were washed, air-dried under shade and milled to a fine powder and stored in a cool, dry environment until use.

We employed established methods to determine the proximate composition, antioxidant capabilities, and phytochemical components of copper leaf powder (AWLP). The proximate chemical composition was ascertained using the methodology outlined by AOAC (2010). The determination of phenol (Ignat *et al.*, 2013), flavonoids (Bohm and Kocipal-Abyazan, 1994), saponin (Brunner, 1984), terpenoids (Sofowora, 1993), 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazine hydrate (Gyamfi *et al.*, 1999), and ferric-reducing antioxidant property (Pulido *et al.*, 2002) was conducted.

2.3. Aflatoxin production and quantification

The aflatoxin was produced from a pure culture of *Aspergillus flavus* (NRRL 3251). The pure culture was maintained on potato dextrose agar for the production of Aflatoxin (AF), which was produced by contaminating grit maize with *Aspergillus flavus* within 7 days at 25 °C before harvesting the mould spores. The spore suspension was thereafter used for the production of aflatoxin on maize. 500 grams of maize grits were placed into autoclavable polypropylene bags, which were heated to 121 °C and subjected to a pressure of 120 kPa for 60 minutes. The autoclaved grit maize was then inoculated with a suspension of *A. flavus* spores and incubated for seven days at 28 °C. The grit maize was dried in an oven at 70 °C after the fungal development and was milled into powder. Thin layer chromatography (AOAC, 2010) was used to measure the content of AFB₁ and other typical *Aspergillus* mycotoxins in the maize, such as *A. niger*, *A. terreus*, *A. nidulans*, and *A. fumigatus* (Sugui *et al.*, 2015).

2.4. Experimental design and diets

The experiment was conducted using a 3 × 3 factorial design in a completely randomised design. There were three levels of inclusion of aflatoxin and 3 levels of inclusion of the leaf powder. The maximum permitted level for AFB₁ for poultry feed ingredients ranges from 0.02 to 0.1mg/kg (Magnoli *et al.*, 2019). In a study carried out by Oloruntola *et al.* (2022) using *Justicia carnea* at inclusion levels of 250mg and 500mg to ameliorate the possible effect of aflatoxin contamination responsible for depressed performance and compromised immunity of birds, 0.5mg/kg of AFB₁ level of contamination was reported to have an adverse effect on the tested birds. A basal diet (Table 1) was formulated to meet the NRC (1994) requirement for broiler chickens.

Thereafter, this diet was divided into equal portions and labelled diets 1 to 9 and described as follows:

Diet 1: Control (No AFB₁ contamination, no AWLP supplementation)

Diet 2: AFB₁ (0.25mg AFB₁/Kg feed)

Diet 3: AFB₁ (0.5mg AFB₁/kg feed)

Diet 4: AWLP (250mg AWLP/kg feed)

Diet 5: AWLP (500mg AWLP/kg feed)

Diet 6: AFB₁ + AWLP (0.25mg AFB₁ + 250mg/kg feed)

Diet 7: AFB₁ + AWLP (0.5mg AFB₁ + 250mg AWLP/kg feed)

Diet 8: AFB₁ + AWLP (0.25mg AFB₁ + 500mg AWLP/kg feed)

Diet 9: AFB₁ + AWLP (0.5mg AFB₁ + 500mg AWLP/kg feed)

2.5. Birds, housing and management

In a completely random design (CRD), one hundred and eighty-nine (189) day-old Cobb 500 broiler chicks were randomly assigned to nine different food treatments (3 replicates; 7 birds/replicate; 21 birds/treatment). Nine birds lived in their pen, which had a floor coated in wood shavings as litter. The feeding experiment lasted six weeks, with three weeks for the Starter phase and three weeks for the Finisher phase. During the trial, animals were provided continuous lighting (23 h/day) and had ad libitum access to feed and clean water. All experimental procedures were approved by the institutional ethics committee (CERAD, APH/16/6148) and complied with ARRIVE guidelines.

Table 1: Composition of the experimental diets

Ingredients (Kg/100kg)	Starter feed (%)	Finisher diet (%)
Maize	52.35	59.35
Rice bran	7.00	0.00
Maize bran	0.00	6.00
Soybean meal	30.00	24.00
Fishmeal	3.00	3.00
Soy oil	3.00	3.00
Limestone	0.50	0.50
Bone meal	3.00	3.00
Salt	0.30	0.30
Premix	0.30	0.30
Methionine	0.30	0.30
Lysine	0.25	0.25
Total	100.00	100.00
Nutrient composition (g/kg)		
Crude protein	22.18	20.03
Metabolizable energy (Kcal/kg)	3018.00	3108.10
Calcium	1.03	1.04
Crude fibre	3.52	3.58
Crude fat	4.23	2.38
Available Phosphorus	0.48	0.43

2.6. Blood sample collection, slaughtering procedure, carcass traits, and internal organ evaluation

On the 42nd day of the experiment, two birds from each replicate were randomly chosen, tagged, weighed, shocked, and killed by severing their necks with a sharp, clean, and dry stainless knife. We found the serum antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, and catalase, as described by Rotruck et al. (1973), Misra and Fridovich (1972), and Aebi (1974).

2.7. Serum Hormonal Biomarkers Assays

Leptin, Heat Shock Protein 70, Deoxyribonucleic Acid Damage Biomarker and Nuclear Factor Kappa B-cells levels were analyzed using commercially available Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA Kit).

2.8. Brain lipid oxidation, antioxidant enzymes, and antioxidant status of the meat

After slaughtering the birds, three were chosen from each treatment group (one bird per replication) to measure total protein and antioxidant levels in the brain, as well as lipid oxidation, antioxidant enzyme levels, and cholesterol levels in the meat. Using a high-speed homogenizer, the whole brains of broiler chickens were

taken out and mixed with cold saline 0.9% in a 1:10 (w/v) ratio. The homogenate samples were spun at 2000 revolutions per minute for 20 minutes. Then, they were divided into 1.0 mL portions and stored at -18 °C until needed. We will also determine the activity levels of catalase and glutathione peroxidase.

After the birds were dressed, a piece of breast flesh was cut from each one, placed in an oxygen-permeable bag, and frozen for 20 days at -18 °C. After that, the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) test was used to determine the extent of oxidation in the meat's lipids. We also measured the activities of glutathione peroxidase and catalase.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Each treatment had three replicates of seven birds each ($n = 21$ per treatment). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA under the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SPSS version 20. Means \pm SEM are presented; means separation by Duncan's multiple range test; significance set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Cellular stress biomarkers

Table 2 below shows the cellular stress biomarker status of Cobb 500 broiler chickens exposed to AFB₁-contaminated diets in response to AWLP. Overall diet significantly affected most cellular stress biomarkers ($P < 0.05$), while leptin showed no diet effect. Heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) displayed a clear treatment response: the highest mean was observed in birds on Diet 6 (AFB₁ + 250 mg/kg AWLP), while the lowest value occurred in birds fed 500 mg/kg AWLP without AFB₁ (Diet 5). The DNA damage marker (Deoxy) was comparatively elevated in the control (Diet 1) and reduced in several AWLP- and AFB₁-combination groups. NF- κ B concentrations varied by treatment, with Diet 8 showing the highest values and Diet 2 the lowest. Analysis of AWLP main effects showed significant differences between inclusion levels for HSP70 (0 and 250 mg/kg > 500 mg/kg). Significant AWLP \times AFB₁ interactions (interaction $P \leq 0.02$) for most cellular markers indicate that AWLP effects depend on both dose and AFB₁ presence.

Table 2: cellular stress biomarker status of Cobb 500 broiler chickens exposed to AFB₁-contaminated diets in response to AWLP

Diet	AWLP	AFB ₁	HSP 70	Leptin	Deoxy	NF-κB
1			3.00 ^{ab}	176.00	2.51 ^a	16.34 ^a
2			2.88 ^{abc}	172.50	2.14 ^{bc}	12.03 ^c
3			2.61 ^{bcd}	168.00	2.09 ^{bc}	13.11 ^{abc}
4			2.36 ^{cd}	171.50	1.97 ^c	15.59 ^{ab}
5			2.22 ^d	170.50	1.89 ^c	14.91 ^{abc}
6			3.20 ^a	165.00	2.01 ^c	12.28 ^c
7			2.99 ^{ab}	173.50	2.06 ^{bc}	14.56 ^{abc}
8			2.54 ^{bcd}	172.00	2.36 ^{ab}	16.44 ^a
9			2.73 ^{abcd}	165.50	2.08 ^{bc}	13.59 ^{abc}
SEM			0.07	1.10	0.04	0.39
<i>P Value</i>			0.01	0.27	0.01	0.02
	0		2.83 ^a	172.16	2.25 ^a	13.82
	250		2.85 ^a	170.00	2.01 ^c	14.14
	500		2.49 ^b	169.33	2.11 ^b	14.98
	SEM		0.09	1.80	0.05	0.52
	<i>P Value</i>		0.03	0.52	0.02	0.29
		0	2.53 ^b	172.66	2.12	15.61 ^a
		250	2.87 ^a	169.83	2.17	13.58 ^b
		500	2.78 ^a	169.00	2.08	13.75 ^b
		SEM	0.09	1.80	0.05	0.52
		<i>P Value</i>	0.05	0.34	0.49	0.02
Interaction <i>P Value</i>			0.02	0.16	0.01	0.02

Means with different superscripts in the same column are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; AWLP: *Acalypha wilkesiana* Leaf Powder; AFB₁: Aflatoxin B₁; HSP 70: Heat Shock Protein 70; Deoxy: Deoxyribonucleic Acid Damage Biomarkers; NF-κB: Nuclear Factor kappa-B cell; SEM: Standard error of the means.

3.2. Brain oxidative stress biomarkers

Table 3 below illustrates how AWLP mitigates the brain oxidative stress biomarkers of Cobb 500 broiler chickens fed diets contaminated with AFB₁. Diet influenced most brain oxidative biomarkers ($P < 0.05$), except ACE and SOD which were largely stable across treatments. Brain lipid peroxidation reached its highest level with Diet 6 and its lowest with Diet 4, indicating certain AWLP–

AFB₁ combinations increased membrane oxidation. CAT and GPx activities varied by treatment, CAT was highest in Diet 7 and lowest in Diet 9, while GPx peaked in Diet 4 and was reduced in Diets 1 and 8. Although AWLP or AFB₁ main effects alone were generally not consistent across brain markers, several AWLP×AFB₁ interactions reached significance (interaction $P \leq 0.05$), suggesting

that the presence of AFB₁ modified how AWLP influenced brain antioxidant enzymes.

Table 3: Mitigating Aflatoxin B₁ Toxicity in Cobb 500 broiler chickens with the Influence of AWLP Supplementation on brain oxidative stress biomarkers

Diet	AWLP	AFB ₁	LIPIDOX	ACE	SOD	CAT	GPx
1			93.22 ^{abc}	0.68	76.59	80.17 ^d	14.23 ^b
2			86.55 ^{bc}	0.73	80.52	90.92 ^{abc}	16.48 ^{ab}
3			91.14 ^{abc}	0.72	83.06	89.90 ^{abc}	16.60 ^a
4			85.41 ^c	0.66	81.32	85.04 ^{cd}	16.85 ^a
5			91.51 ^{abc}	0.74	85.36	91.46 ^{ab}	16.31 ^{ab}
6			99.00 ^a	0.67	88.34	85.56 ^{bcd}	16.71 ^a
7			91.60 ^{abc}	0.73	80.85	92.89 ^a	14.82 ^{ab}
8			87.05 ^{bc}	0.73	81.07	85.11 ^{cd}	14.22 ^b
9			94.69 ^{bc}	0.68	85.55	80.22 ^d	15.26 ^{ab}
SEM			1.09	0.01	0.90	1.01	0.27
<i>P Value</i>			0.04	0.59	0.06	0.01	0.05
	0		90.30	0.71	80.05	86.99	15.77
	250		92.00	0.69	83.50	87.83	16.12
	500		91.08	0.72	83.99	85.60	15.26
	SEM		1.56	0.02	1.32	1.06	0.40
	<i>P Value</i>		0.74	0.57	0.10	0.35	0.32
		0	90.04	0.69	81.09	85.55	15.80
		250	90.86	0.71	83.31	87.19	15.80
		500	92.47	0.71	83.15	87.67	15.56
		SEM	1.56	0.02	1.32	1.06	0.40
		<i>P Value</i>	0.54	0.77	0.43	0.36	0.88
Interaction <i>P Value</i>			0.01	0.33	0.05	0.01	0.01

^{abc} = Means with different superscripts in the same column are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; AWLP: *Acalypha wilkesiana* Leaf Powder; AFB₁: Aflatoxin B₁; LIPIDOX: Lipid Peroxidation; ACE: Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme; SOD: Superoxide Dismutase; CAT: catalase; GPx: Glutathione Peroxidase; SEM: Standard error of the mean.

3.3. Breast muscle oxidative stress biomarkers

The protective potential of AWLP Leaf Powder on breast muscle oxidative stress biomarkers in AFB₁-exposed Cobb 500 broiler chickens are shown in Table 4 below. Breast muscle markers were also diet-sensitive ($P < 0.05$)

with SOD as the notable exception. Breast LIPIDOX reached its maximum in Diet 2 and its minimum in Diet 6. ACE and CAT activities were significantly influenced by dietary treatment, ACE highest in Diet 3 and CAT highest in Diet 2. GPx was highest in Diet 4 and lowest in Diet 6. AWLP main effects were significant for ACE

and CAT ($P \leq 0.02$), and AFB₁ had a significant main effect on GPx ($P < 0.05$). Interaction effects (AWLP×AFB₁) were significant for LIPIDOX, ACE,

CAT and GPx (interaction $P \leq 0.04$), indicating dose- and toxin-dependent responses in muscle oxidative status.

Table 4: The protective potential of AWLP Leaf Powder on breast muscle oxidative stress biomarkers in AFB₁-exposed Cobb 500 broiler chickens

Diet	AWLP	AFB ₁	LIPIDOX	ACE	SOD	CAT	GPx
1			102.50 ^{bc}	49.92 ^{cd}	79.60	21.32 ^a	61.57 ^{abc}
2			107.40 ^a	51.68 ^b	69.07	21.73 ^a	60.32 ^{bc}
3			106.20 ^{ab}	52.93 ^a	70.18	20.53 ^{ab}	62.30 ^{ab}
4			105.00 ^{abc}	51.71 ^b	75.66	18.41 ^{bc}	66.01 ^a
5			101.80 ^c	50.45 ^c	75.05	21.43 ^a	59.17 ^{bc}
6			101.60 ^c	49.07 ^d	75.85	21.03 ^{ab}	52.98 ^d
7			103.80 ^{abc}	49.04 ^d	74.95	18.21 ^{bc}	58.81 ^{bc}
8			104.30 ^{abc}	50.54 ^c	79.37	17.26 ^c	56.70 ^{cd}
9			104.45 ^{abc}	50.07 ^{cd}	74.71	18.93 ^{abc}	63.62 ^{ab}
SEM			0.50	0.25	0.93	0.39	0.82
<i>P Value</i>			0.05	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.01
	0		105.36	51.51 ^a	72.95	21.19 ^a	61.39
	250		103.46	49.94 ^b	75.48	19.21 ^b	59.26
	500		103.51	50.35 ^a	76.38	19.20 ^b	59.83
	SEM		0.72	0.20	1.38	0.50	0.86
	<i>P Value</i>		0.13	0.01	0.22	0.02	0.22
		0	103.10	50.69	76.77	20.39	62.25 ^a
		250	104.43	50.43	74.76	20.00	56.66 ^b
		500	104.81	50.68	73.28	19.22	61.57 ^a
		SEM	0.72	0.20	1.38	0.50	0.86
		<i>P Value</i>	0.23	0.60	0.23	0.27	0.01
		Interaction <i>P Value</i>	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01

^{abc} = Means with different superscripts in the same column are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; AWLP: *Acalypha wilkesiana* Leaf Powder; AFB₁: Aflatoxin B₁; LIPIDOX: Lipid Peroxidation; ACE: Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme; SOD: Superoxide Dismutase; CAT: catalase; GPx: Glutathione Peroxidase; SEM: Standard error of the means.

4. Discussion

4.1. Cellular stress biomarkers status

Changes in HSP70 and NF-κB across treatments indicate altered cellular stress signalling and inflammatory tone

following AFB₁ exposure. The pronounced HSP70 elevation in Diet 6 (AFB₁ + 250 mg/kg AWLP) likely reflects an adaptive stress response to combined toxin and phytochemical stimuli, whereas the lower HSP70 in

birds receiving 500 mg/kg AWLP without AFB₁ suggests that AWLP alone, at this higher inclusion, may reduce baseline cellular stress signalling. These findings align with documented aflatoxin-induced activation of heat-shock proteins and inflammatory pathways (Monson *et al.*, 2015; El Golli-Bennour and Bacha, 2011). The reduction in the Deoxy marker in several AWLP-treated groups may indicate modulated DNA damage signalling or enhanced engagement of repair pathways under certain AWLP×AFB₁ regimes (Shahba *et al.*, 2021). Collectively, the cellular results suggest AWLP can alter stress-sensing pathways, but protection is not uniform across doses or in the presence of substantial AFB₁ challenge (Martínez-Uña *et al.*, 2020; Oloruntola *et al.*, 2024).

4.2. Brain oxidative stress biomarkers

Neural tissue demonstrated sensitivity to AFB₁, showing increased lipid peroxidation in several AFB₁-containing diets and shifts in CAT and GPx activity. Because SOD values remained largely unchanged, the disturbance appears focused on hydrogen-peroxide handling (CAT) and GPx-dependent pathways rather than superoxide dismutation (Surai *et al.*, 2019). The ability of moderate AWLP inclusion (250 mg/kg) to partially restore CAT or GPx in some treatments supports a protective, enzyme-supportive role for AWLP phytochemicals, consistent with phytogenic antioxidant action reported in similar contexts (Abdelli *et al.*, 2021). However, brain markers did not fully normalize, implying that AWLP alone at these doses offers only limited neuroprotection; more potent agents, combination treatments, or earlier intervention may be necessary for full protection (Wang *et al.*, 2023; Manafi *et al.*, 2014).

4.3. Breast muscle oxidative stress biomarkers

Muscle tissue exhibited marked LIPIDOX increases and variable antioxidant enzyme responses across diets, confirming that AFB₁ compromises muscle redox balance and may therefore affect meat quality and tissue integrity (Mavrommatis *et al.*, 2021; Reddy *et al.*, 2015). At 250 mg/kg, AWLP helped improve GPx activity and reduce lipid peroxidation for some diets, but increasing the dose to 500 mg/kg did not consistently increase protection and in some cases resembled the AFB₁-only profile. This non-linear response may reflect hormesis or a metabolic strain from high phytochemical intake that overwhelms detoxification or redox systems (Upadhyay and Dixit, 2015). The observed effects on ACE and CAT further point to systemic influences of AWLP on

oxidative and possibly vascular parameters; these changes merit follow-up with functional measures and histopathology.

4.4. Study limitations

AFB₁ quantification relied on thin-layer chromatography; more sensitive chromatographic methods (HPLC/LC-MS) would improve precision. Biomarker assessments were limited to selected enzymes and oxidative markers; broader panels (e.g., cytokine profiling, histopathology scoring using blinded assessment) would strengthen mechanistic inference. Only two inclusion rates of AWLP were tested; finer dose-response studies would help define an optimal inclusion. The trial used laboratory-produced contaminated maize; naturally contaminated feeds and field conditions may produce different responses.

5. Conclusion

This study revealed that dietary inclusion of *Acalypha wilkesiana* leaf powder (AWLP) at 250 mg/kg moderately alleviated the toxic effects of AFB₁ in broiler chickens. AWLP increased growth performance, antioxidant enzyme activity, and serum protein levels while lowering lipid peroxidation and stress indicators. However, 500 mg/kg inclusion was less effective, potentially due to phytochemical overload. Though AWLP alone demonstrated therapeutic characteristics, it could not totally mitigate AFB₁ toxicity when paired. These findings indicate AWLP's position as a phytogenic supplement with antioxidant and protective properties, but its use should be refined and maybe coupled with other detoxifiers for enhanced efficacy.

6. Declarations

6.1. Ethical Approval

The Centre for Research and Development (CERAD) of the FUTA, reviewed and approved this study under APH/16/6148. Notably, all animal experimentation was conducted in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and guidelines, prioritizing animal welfare and minimizing any potential harm.

6.2. ARRIVE guidelines

The experimentation was conducted in accordance with applicable laws and ARRIVE guidelines.

6.3. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

7. Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely acknowledge the support of the Department of Animal Production and Health at the FUTA, for providing the facilities used during this research. Appreciation also goes to the technical staff and colleagues who contributed to the successful completion of the study.

8. Author's Contribution

Conceptualization, original draft writing, reviewing, and editing: Adesolasi Bridget ADEOLA, Clement Oluwafemi OSOWE, Ramon Abiodun BAMIGBOYE, Olufemi Adesanya ADU. Formal analysis, investigations, funding acquisition, Resources, data curation, and supervision: Adesolasi Bridget ADEOLA, Aderonke Perpetua AJAMA, Gbenga Emmanuel ONIBI, Olufemi Adesanya ADU.

9. Funding

No funding was received.

10. Data Availability Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

References

1. Abdelli, N., Solà-Oriol, D. and Pérez, J. F. (2021). Phytogetic feed additives in poultry: achievements, prospective and challenges. *Animals*, 11(12), 3471.
2. Abou-Zeid, A. E., El-Damarawy, S. Z. and El-Rayes, T. K. (2015). Biochemical, immunological and pathological studies on broiler fed aflatoxin b1 contaminated diet treated Biologically by lactobacillus acidophilus or Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds*, 18(2 Special), 409-420.
3. Achilonu, M., Shale, K., Arthur, G., Naidoo, K. and Mbatha, M. (2018). Phytochemical benefits of agroresidues as alternative nutritive dietary resource for pig and poultry farming. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2018(1), 1035071.
4. Aebi, H. (1974). Catalase estimation. In H. V. Bergmeyer (Ed.), *Methods of enzymatic analysis*. New York, NY: Verlag Chemic, New York Academic Press.
5. Anosike, F.U., Rekwot, G.Z., Owoshagba, O.B., Ahmed, S., and Atiku, J.A. (2018). Challenges of poultry production in Nigeria: A Review. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.* 45(1): 252 – 258.
6. AOAC (2010). Official Methods of Analysis. 16th edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC., USA.
7. Balendres, M.A.O., Karlovsky, P., Cumagun, C.J.R., 2019. Mycotoxigenic fungi and mycotoxins in agricultural crop commodities in the Philippines: a review. *Foods*. 8 (7), 249.
8. Bbosa, G. S., Kitya, D., Odda, J. and Ogwal-Okeng, J. (2013). Aflatoxins metabolism, effects on epigenetic mechanisms and their role in carcinogenesis. *Health*, 2013.
9. Bohm, B. A., and Kocipai-Abyazan, C. (1994). Flavonoids and condensed tannin from leaves of Hawaiian *Vaccinium vaticulatum* and *V. calycinium*. *Pacific Science* 48: 458–463.
10. Brunner, J. H. (1984). Direct spectrophotometer determination of saponin. *Analytical Chemistry*. 34:1324–1326.
11. Cao, J. and Wang, W. (2014). Effects of astaxanthin and esterified glucomannan on hematological and serum parameters, and liver pathological changes in broilers fed aflatoxin-B 1-contaminated feed. *Animal Science Journal*, 85(2), 150-157.
12. CBN (2019). Central Bank of Nigeria. The Poultry Market in Nigeria: Market Structures and Potential for Investment. International Food and Agribusiness Management Association. 18(A): 197-222.
13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2018). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 28th ed. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI Supplement M100.
14. El Golli-Bennour, E. and Bacha, H. (2011). Hsp70 expression as biomarkers of oxidative stress: Mycotoxins' exploration. *Toxicology*, 287(1-3), 1-7.
15. FAOSTAT (2018). Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/OA. Accessed on the 11th May, 2023
16. Govindasamy P., Pavulraj S., Shanmuganathan S., Kirubakaran A., and Mohana N (2016). Early nutrition and its importance in poultry: A review. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*. 33(3): 245-252.
17. Gyamfi, M. A., Yonamine, M., and Aaniya, Y. (1999). Free radical scavenging action of medicinal herbs from Ghana: *Thonningia sanguine* on experimentally induced liver injuries. *General Pharmacology*. 32: 661-667.
18. Habeeb, A. A (2018). Oxidative stress in animals exposed to different stressful conditions. *International Journal of Nutritional Sciences*. 3(2): 1027–1029.
19. Hojnik, N., Cvelbar, U., Tavcar-Kalcher, G., Walsh, J., Križaj, I., (2017). Mycotoxin decontamination of food: cold atmospheric pressure plasma versus “Classic” decontamination. *Toxins*. 9, 151.

20. Hou, L., Qiu, H., Li, A., Dong, J., Zhu, L., Liu, G., & Chen, F. (2022). Effects of aflatoxin B1 on growth performance, antioxidant status, immune response, and pro-inflammatory cytokine mRNA expression in ISA chicks. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9, 993039. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.993039>
21. Ignat, I., Volf, I., and Popa, V. I. (2013). Analytical Methods of Phenolic Compounds. In: Ramawat K., Mérillon JM, editors. *Natural Products*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
22. Iqbal S.Z., Mustafa H.G., Asi M.R., and Jinap S. (2014). Variations in vitamin E levels and aflatoxin contamination in different rice varieties. *J. Cereal Sci.* 60, 352–355. Doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2014.05.012
23. Karaś, M., Jakubczyk, A., Szymanowska, U., Złotek, U. and Zielińska, E. (2017). Digestion and bioavailability of bioactive phytochemicals. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(2), 291-305.
24. Li, S., Muhammad, I., Yu, H., Sun, X. and Zhang, X. (2019). Detection of Aflatoxin adducts as potential markers and the role of curcumin in alleviating AFB1-induced liver damage in chickens. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 176, 137-145.
25. Liu, R. H. (2013). Dietary bioactive compounds and their health implications. *Journal of food science*, 78(s1), A18-A25.
26. Magnoli, A.P., Poloni, V.L., and Cavaglieri, L. (2019). Impact of mycotoxin contamination in animal feed industry. *Food Sci.* 29:99-108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2019.08.009>. Accessed on the 11th May, 2023.
27. Manafi, M., Hedayati, M. and Yari, M. (2014). Application of rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) essence on chicks fed aflatoxin B1: Impacts on internal organ weights, biochemical traits and mortality. *Research in Zoology*, 4(1), 13-19.
28. Martínez-Uña, M., López-Mancheño, Y., Diéguez, C., Fernández-Rojo, M. A. and Novelle, M. G. (2020). Unraveling the role of leptin in liver function and its relationship with liver diseases. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(24), 9368.
29. Mavrommatis, A., Giamouri, E., Tavrizelou, S., Zacharioudaki, M., Danezis, G., Simitzis, P. E. and Feggeros, K. (2021). Impact of mycotoxins on animals' oxidative status. *Antioxidants*, 10(2), 214.
30. Mgbeahuruike A. C. (2016). Aflatoxin contamination of poultry feeds in Nigerian feed mills and the effect on the performance of Arbor acre broilers. *Animal Research International*, 13(2): 2436 – 2445.
31. Misra, H. P., and Fridovich, I. (1972). The univalent reduction of oxygen by flavins and quinines. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 247:188–192.
32. Monson, M. S., Coulombe, R. A. and Reed, K. M. (2015). Aflatoxicosis: Lessons from toxicity and responses to aflatoxin B1 in poultry. *Agriculture*, 5(3), 742-777.
33. Nazarizadeh, H., Mohammad Hosseini, S. and Pourreza, J. (2019). Effect of plant extracts derived from thyme and chamomile on the growth performance, gut morphology and immune system of broilers fed aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A contaminated diets. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(1), 1073-1081.
34. NRC (1994). Nutrient requirement of poultry: Ninth revised edition, 1994 Board on Agriculture. National Academy Press. Washington D.C.
35. Ogunrayi, A.O., Akinseye, F.M., Goldberg, V., and Bernhofer, C., (2016). Descriptive analysis of rainfall and temperature trends over Akure, Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*. 9(11): 195 – 202.
36. Ogwuegbu, M. C. and Mthiyane, D. M. N. (2024). Molecular antioxidant and immunological mechanisms of phytochemicals in the mitigation of aflatoxicosis in poultry. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 100457.
37. Oloruntola O.D., Falowo A.B, Ayodele S.O, Adeyeye S.A, Osowe C.O and Oloruntola D.A. (2022). *Justicia carnea* leaf meal has the potential to improve the performance and immunoglobulins of broiler chickens fed aflatoxin contaminated diets. Proceedings of the 6th International Anatolian Agriculture, Food, Environment and Biology congress. Held at Kutahya, Turkey between 7th – 9th October, 2022. Pg 444.
38. Oloruntola, O. D., Ayodele, S. O., Oloruntola, D. A., Olarotimi, O. J., Falowo, A. B., Akinduro, V. O. and Agbede, J. O. (2024). Dietary supplementation of Capsicum powder affects the growth, immunoglobulins, pro-inflammatory cytokines, adipokines, meat, and liver histology of aflatoxin B1 exposed broiler chickens. *Toxicon*, 240, 107640.
39. Omage K, Azeke A. M, Ihimire I. I, Idagan A. M. (2013). Phytochemical, proximate and elemental analysis of *Acalypha Wilkesiana* leaves. *Scientific Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 2(9):323–31.
40. Omage K, Azeke A. M. (2014). Medicinal potential of *Acalypha wilkesiana* leaves. *Advances in Research (AIR)*. 2(11):655–65.
41. Onasanya, G. O., Oke, F. O., Sanni, T. M., and Muhammad, A. I. (2015). Parameters influencing haematological, serum and bio-chemical references in livestock animals under different management systems. *Open Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 5(08), 181.
42. Onome, M., Iniaghe, O. and Emmanuel B. O (2013). Effect of Aqueous Leaf Extract of *Acalypha wilkesiana* on Haematological Parameters in Male Wistar Albino Rats. *British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 3(3): 465-471, 2013 470

43. Onunkwor, D. N., Udokwu, I. U., Ekundayo, E. O., Ezenyilimba, B. N., Omumuabuike, J. N., & Ezeoke, F. C. (2022). Serum biochemical assay of broiler chickens administered water containing various medicinal plant leaf methanol extract. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 49(2), 95-100.
44. Pisoschi, A. M. and Pop, A. (2015). The role of antioxidants in the chemistry of oxidative stress: A review. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 97, 55-74.
45. Pulido, R., Bravo L., and Saura-Calixto, F. 2002. Antioxidant activity of dietary polyphenols as determined by a modified ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 48: 3396-3402.
46. Reddy, K. E., Song, J., Lee, H. J., Kim, D. W., Kim, M., Kim, H. S. and Kim, B. (2015). Effects of mycotoxins on oxidative stress and expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in chickens. *Toxins*, 7(12), 5224–5234.
47. Rotruck, J. T., Pope, A. L., Ganther, H. E., Hafeman, D. G., and Hoekstra, W. G. 1973. Selenium: Biochemical role as a component of glutathione peroxidase. *Science*. 179: 588–590.
48. Santa, K., Kumazawa, Y. and Nagaoka, I. (2023). Prevention of metabolic syndrome by phytochemicals and vitamin D. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(3), 2627.
49. Sarker, M. T., Wan, X. L., Yang, H. M. and Wang, Z. Y. (2023). Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and its toxic effect on the broilers intestine: a review. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 9(4), 1646-1655.
50. Shahba, S., Mehrzad, J. and Malvandi, A. M. (2021). Neuroimmune disruptions from naturally occurring levels of mycotoxins. *Environmental science and pollution research*, 28(25), 32156-32176.
51. Sofowora, A. (1993). Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd., Ibadan.
52. Su, J., Tan, B. E., Jiang, Z., Wu, D., Nyachoti, C. M., Kim, S. W. & Wang, J. (2024). Accelerating precision feeding with the internet of things for livestock: From concept to implementation. *Science Bulletin*, 69(14), 2156-2160.
53. Sugui, J.A., Kwon-Chung, K.J., Juvvadi, P.R., Latge J. and Steinbach, W.J. (2025). *Aspergillus fumigatus* and related species. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 5(2): a019786. Doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a019786. PMID: PMC4315914/PMID: 25377144.
54. Surai, P. F., Kochish, I. I., Fisinin, V. I. and Kidd, M. T. (2019). Antioxidant defence systems and oxidative stress in poultry biology: An update. *Antioxidants*, 8(7), 235.
55. Umoh N. J., Lesi O. A., Mendy M., Bah E., Akano A. and Whittle H. (2011). Aetiological differences in demographical, clinical and pathological characteristics of hepatocellular carcinoma in The Gambia. *Liver Int*. 31, 215 – 221. Doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2010.02418.
56. Upadhyay, S. and Dixit, M. (2015). Role of polyphenols and other phytochemicals on molecular signaling. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity*, 2015(1), 504253.
57. Wang, Y., Wang, X. and Li, Q. (2023). Aflatoxin B1 in poultry liver: Toxic mechanism. *Toxicon*, 233, 107262.
58. World Health Organization (2018). Mycotoxins. <https://www.who.int>
59. Yang, C., Chowdhury, M. K., Hou, Y. and Gong, J. (2015). Phytogetic compounds as alternatives to in-feed antibiotics: potentials and challenges in application. *Pathogens*, 4(1), 137-156
60. Zuo, R. Y., Chang, J., Yin, Q. Q., Wang, P., Yang, Y. R., Wang, X. and Zheng, Q. H. (2013). Effect of the combined probiotics with aflatoxin B1-degrading enzyme on aflatoxin detoxification, broiler production performance and hepatic enzyme gene expression. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 59, 470-475.