The American Journal of Agriculture and Biomedical Engineering
ISSN 2689-1018 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 1-16
DOI 10.37547/tajabe/Volume07Issue08-01

Copper Oxide Nanoparticles in Agricultural Sustainability: Innovations and Applications in Agro-Nanotechnology

Dr. Nourhan M. El-Sharkawy
Agricultural Nanotechnology Research Center, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Prof. Latha S. Ramaswamy
Centre for Nanoscience and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore, India

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 26 june 2025
ACCEPTED 29 July 2025
PUBLISHED 01august2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue08 2025

CITATION

Dr. Nourhan M. El-Sharkawy, & Prof. Latha S. Ramaswamy. (2025). Copper Oxide Nanoparticles in Agricultural Sustainability: Innovations and Applications in Agro-Nanotechnology. The American Journal of Agriculture and Biomedical Engineering, 7(8), 1–16. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajabe/article/view/6496

Abstract: The escalating global population necessitates a paradigm shift in agricultural practices to ensure food security while minimizing environmental impact. Traditional farming methods often rely on excessive chemical inputs, leading to soil degradation, water pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions. Agro-nanotechnology, a burgeoning field, offers innovative solutions to these challenges by leveraging nanomaterials to enhance crop productivity and resource efficiency. Among these, copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) have garnered significant attention due to their multifaceted applications as nano-fertilizers, nano-pesticides, and soil amendments. This comprehensive review explores the recent advances and diverse applications of CuO NPs in sustainable farming. We delve into various synthesis methods, emphasizing green chemistry approaches, and critically examine their mechanisms of action in promoting plant growth, enhancing nutrient uptake, and providing robust protection against a spectrum of plant pathogens and pests. Furthermore, the article addresses the crucial environmental interactions of CuO NPs within soil and aquatic systems, considering factors such as pH, organic matter, and their potential ecotoxicity. While highlighting the immense promise of CuO NPs for revolutionizing agricultural sustainability, this review also discusses the inherent challenges, including concerns regarding long-term environmental fate, bioaccumulation, and regulatory frameworks. By synthesizing current knowledge, this article aims to provide a foundational understanding for researchers and agricultural practitioners, guiding the responsible development and deployment of CuO NPs for a more productive, resilient, and environmentally sound agricultural future.

Keywords: Copper Oxide Nanoparticles, Agro-Nanotechnology, Agricultural Sustainability, Nano-Fertilizers, Nano-Pesticides, Crop Protection, Soil Amendment, Nanomaterials, Sustainable Farming, Plant Disease Management.

Introduction: The global population is projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050, placing immense pressure on agricultural systems to produce more food, fiber, and fuel with dwindling resources and under the increasing threat of climate change [United Nations 2015]. Conventional agricultural practices, characterized by intensive use of synthetic fertilizers and chemical pesticides, have significantly boosted yields but often at a considerable environmental cost. These include soil degradation, water contamination, loss of biodiversity, and increased greenhouse gas emissions [Broberg et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2023]. The challenge for modern agriculture is to transition towards sustainable farming systems that can meet the growing demand for food while simultaneously protecting natural resources and mitigating environmental impacts.

Nanotechnology, the manipulation of matter on an atomic, molecular, and supramolecular scale (1-100 nanometers), has emerged as a transformative discipline with profound implications across various sectors, including agriculture [Singh et al. 2021; Wani and Kothari 2018]. Agro-nanotechnology, specifically, involves the application of nanomaterials and nanodevices to agricultural production, processing, and food safety [Saritha et al. 2022]. This field offers novel solutions to enhance crop productivity, improve nutrient use efficiency, provide targeted pest and disease management, and reduce the environmental footprint of farming [Gupta et al. 2023]. The unique properties of nanoparticles, such as their high surface-to-volume ratio, quantum effects, and tunable reactivity, enable them to interact with biological systems in ways that bulk materials cannot, leading to enhanced efficacy at lower concentrations [Singh et al. 2021; Kah and Kookana 2017].

Among the diverse array of nanomaterials, metal oxide nanoparticles have gained considerable attention for their potential in agriculture. Copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) are particularly promising due to copper's essential role as a micronutrient for plants and its well-known antimicrobial properties [Francis et al. 2024; Balu et al. 2023]. Copper is vital for various physiological processes in plants, including photosynthesis, respiration, and enzyme activation [Adhikari et al. 2016]. Furthermore, copper compounds have a long history of use as fungicides and bactericides in agriculture [Servin et al. 2015]. By reducing copper to the nanoscale, its bioavailability and reactivity are significantly altered, potentially leading to enhanced efficacy as a nutrient source and a more potent antimicrobial agent, often at lower dosages compared to conventional copper-based agrochemicals [Liu et al. 2023; Balu et al. 2023].

This article provides a comprehensive review of the recent advances and diverse applications of copper oxide-based nanoparticles in agro-nanotechnology, focusing on their contributions to sustainable farming. We will explore the various methods used for their synthesis, with a particular emphasis on environmentally friendly "green" approaches. The review will then delve into the specific applications of CuO NPs as nano-fertilizers for enhancing crop growth and nutrient uptake, and as nano-pesticides/fungicides for effective pest and disease management. Crucially, we will also address the critical aspect of their environmental interactions and fate within agricultural ecosystems, considering factors that influence their stability, mobility, and potential ecotoxicity. Finally, we will discuss the broader implications, challenges, and future research directions for the responsible and widespread adoption of CuO NPs in sustainable agriculture.

2. Methodology

This review employed a systematic literature search and analysis approach to identify, synthesize, and critically evaluate research pertaining to copper oxide-based nanoparticles in agro-nanotechnology. The objective was to provide a comprehensive overview of their advances, applications, and implications for sustainable farming.

2.1 Literature Search Strategy

The literature search was conducted across major scientific databases to ensure broad coverage of relevant peer-reviewed publications. The primary databases utilized included:

- PubMed: For biological and health-related aspects, including plant physiology and toxicology.
- Scopus: Comprehensive database covering various scientific disciplines, including environmental science, materials science, and agriculture.
- Web of Science: For interdisciplinary research and citation analysis.
- Google Scholar: For broader coverage, including conference proceedings and preprints, and to identify highly cited foundational works.
- The search queries were constructed using a combination of keywords to capture the breadth of research on CuO NPs in agriculture:
- "copper oxide nanoparticles" OR "CuO nanoparticles"
- "agro-nanotechnology" OR "nanotechnology agriculture" OR "sustainable agriculture"
- "nano-fertilizer" OR "nanofertilizer" OR "plant growth" OR "nutrient uptake"
- "nano-pesticide" OR "nanopesticide" OR "fungicide" OR "plant pathogen" OR "pest management"
- "green synthesis" OR "biosynthesis"
- "environmental fate" OR "ecotoxicity" OR "soil interaction" OR "aquatic environment"

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine these keywords effectively. The search was not limited by publication year to capture the historical development and recent advancements in the field. Reference lists of highly relevant review articles and primary research papers were also manually screened for additional pertinent publications (backward citation chaining).

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies focusing on the synthesis, characterization, and application of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) in agricultural contexts.
- Research investigating the effects of CuO NPs on plant growth, nutrient uptake, crop yield, and stress tolerance.
- Studies exploring the pesticidal, fungicidal, or insecticidal properties of CuO NPs against plant pathogens and pests.
- Papers discussing the environmental fate, transformation, mobility, and ecotoxicity of CuO NPs in soil, water, and biological systems relevant to agriculture.
- Review articles synthesizing knowledge on nanotechnology in agriculture or specific nanomaterials.
- Publications in English.
- Exclusion Criteria:
- Studies focusing on other metal oxide nanoparticles (e.g., ZnO NPs, TiO2 NPs) unless they provided direct comparative data with CuO NPs or discussed general principles highly relevant to CuO NPs.
- Research on bulk copper materials without specific nanoparticle properties.
- Studies primarily focused on industrial applications of CuO NPs (e.g., catalysis, electronics) without agricultural relevance.
- Clinical or medical applications of CuO NPs not directly related to plant or soil health.
- Non-peer-reviewed articles, conference abstracts without full papers, or informal publications, unless they represented a seminal or unique contribution not found elsewhere.

2.3 Data Extraction and Analysis

For each selected publication, the following information was systematically extracted and meticulously analyzed:

- Type of Study: (e.g., experimental, review, modeling).
- Synthesis Method of CuO NPs: (e.g., green synthesis, chemical, physical).
- Application Area: (e.g., nano-fertilizer, nano-pesticide, seed priming).
- Observed Effects/Outcomes: (e.g., increased biomass, disease suppression, altered nutrient uptake).
- Mechanism of Action: (e.g., oxidative stress, nutrient delivery, physical barrier).
- Environmental Interactions: (e.g., aggregation, dissolution, mobility in soil/water, impact on microbes).
- Benefits: Stated advantages for sustainable agriculture.
- Challenges/Limitations: Identified drawbacks, risks, or knowledge gaps.
- Cited References: For cross-referencing and ensuring comprehensive coverage.

The extracted data were then synthesized and grouped based on the categorization framework outlined in the results section. A qualitative synthesis approach was employed to identify recurring themes, emerging trends, and areas of consensus or divergence within the literature. Critical evaluation of methodologies and findings was conducted to assess the robustness of the evidence.

3. Results: Advances and Applications of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles in Sustainable Farming

The review of current literature reveals significant progress in the development and application of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) across various facets of sustainable agriculture. These advances span from innovative synthesis methods to diverse applications in crop nutrition, protection, and soil management.

3.1 Synthesis of CuO Nanoparticles for Agricultural Applications

The method of nanoparticle synthesis significantly influences their physicochemical properties (size, shape, surface area, stability), which in turn dictate their biological activity and environmental fate. Recent trends emphasize "green synthesis" due to its environmental friendliness, cost-effectiveness, and reduced toxicity compared to traditional chemical methods.

3.1.1 Green Synthesis Approaches

Green synthesis utilizes biological entities such as plant extracts, fungi, bacteria, or algae as reducing and capping agents, eliminating the need for hazardous chemicals and high energy inputs [Ogwuegbu et al. 2024; Shah et al. 2022; Sedefoglu et al. 2023; Labanni et al. 2023; Bhuvaneshwari et al. 2018; Lakshimi et al. 2015].

- Plant Extracts: Various plant extracts (e.g., *Ligustrum lucidum*, *Calotropis procera*, *Ocimum americanum*, macrofungi) have been successfully employed to synthesize CuO NPs [Ogwuegbu et al. 2024; Shah et al. 2022; Sedefoglu et al. 2023; Manikandan et al. 2023]. These methods are often simple, scalable, and produce NPs with good stability and controlled morphology.
- Advantages: Green synthesis offers several benefits, including reduced environmental impact, lower production costs, and the potential for enhanced biocompatibility and reduced toxicity of the resulting nanoparticles. The bioactive compounds from the plant extracts can sometimes impart additional beneficial properties to the NPs.

3.1.2 Other Synthesis Methods

While green synthesis is gaining prominence, other methods are also used:

- Sol-gel Synthesis: This method allows for the production of porous CuO nanoparticle aggregates with tunable specific surface areas, which can be advantageous for controlled release applications [Dörner et al. 2019].

- Chemical and Physical Methods: Traditional chemical precipitation, hydrothermal, and thermal decomposition methods are still employed to produce CuO NPs with specific characteristics, though they may involve harsher chemicals or higher energy consumption.

3.2 CuO Nanoparticles as Nano-fertilizers

Copper is an essential micronutrient for plants, crucial for processes like photosynthesis, respiration, and lignin synthesis. CuO NPs, due to their nanoscale properties, offer enhanced nutrient delivery and utilization.

3.2.1 Enhanced Nutrient Uptake and Plant Growth

Numerous studies have demonstrated the positive effects of CuO NPs on various crops:

- Growth Promotion: Foliar application or soil amendment with CuO NPs has been shown to promote biomass accumulation, increase photosynthetic pigments (e.g., chlorophyll), and enhance overall plant growth in crops like lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*), cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), dragonhead (*Dracocephalum moldavica*), and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) [Kohatsu et al. 2021; Mustafa et al. 2024; Nekoukhou et al. 2023; Alhaithloul et al. 2023; Ibrahim et al. 2022].

- Nutrient Absorption: CuO NPs can improve the absorption of not only copper but also other essential nutrients, leading to better plant nutrition [Francis et al. 2022; Singh et al. 2019; Alhaithloul et al. 2023]. This is attributed to their small size, which facilitates uptake through root pores or stomata, and their high reactivity, which can mobilize nutrients in the soil.

- Yield Enhancement: Studies on cowpea and wheat have reported enhanced crop yield attributes following CuO NP application [Mustafa et al. 2024; Alhaithloul et al. 2023].

- Stress Tolerance: CuO NPs have also shown potential in mitigating stress, for example, reducing the toxic effects of nanoparticles themselves when surface-doped with substances like Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) [Hanif et al. 2023].

3.2.2 Nano-priming for Seed Germination and Seedling Growth

Nano-priming, the pre-treatment of seeds with nanoparticles, is an innovative technique to enhance germination, seedling vigor, and early plant development.

- Improved Germination: CuO NPs have been effectively used in nano-priming to improve germination rates and uniformity in various seeds, including *Vigna* radiata [Sarkar et al. 2021; Rohilla et al. 2020] and wheat [Rai-Kalal and Jajoo 2021].

- Enhanced Seedling Growth: This technique promotes robust seedling growth by stimulating metabolic and antioxidant activities [Singh et al. 2017; Imtiaz et al. 2023; Faraz et al. 2023].

- Mechanism: Nano-priming likely works by facilitating water uptake, improving enzyme activity, and enhancing nutrient availability to the germinating embryo, leading to stronger and healthier seedlings that are more resilient to environmental stresses [Singh et al. 2016; Pereira et al. 2021; Pandey et al. 2024; Shelar et al. 2021; Khalaki et al. 2021].

3.2.3 Mechanisms of Action as Nano-fertilizers

The efficacy of CuO NPs as nano-fertilizers stems from several key mechanisms:

- Increased Surface Area: The high surface-to-volume ratio of NPs allows for greater interaction with plant roots and leaf surfaces, facilitating more efficient nutrient absorption [Jakhar et al. 2022].

- Controlled Release: NPs can be engineered to release nutrients slowly over time, providing a sustained supply to plants and reducing nutrient leaching, thereby improving fertilizer use efficiency [Elsabagh et al. 2024; Martins et al. 2024].

- Enhanced Bioavailability: The nanoscale size can increase the solubility and mobility of copper in soil, making it more available for plant uptake compared to bulk copper [Gupta et al. 2023; Saurabh et al. 2024].

- Stimulation of Plant Metabolism: CuO NPs can influence various physiological and biochemical pathways in plants, including photosynthetic activity, antioxidant defense systems, and enzyme activities, leading to improved growth and stress tolerance [Singh et al. 2017; Kohatsu et al. 2021].

3.3 CuO Nanoparticles as Nano-pesticides and Fungicides

Plant diseases and insect pests pose significant threats to global food security, causing substantial crop losses annually [Savary et al. 2019; Figueroa et al. 2018]. Traditional pesticides often have negative environmental impacts and lead to resistance development. CuO NPs offer a promising alternative due to their broad-spectrum antimicrobial and insecticidal properties.

3.3.1 Disease Suppression and Pathogen Management

CuO NPs exhibit potent activity against various plant pathogenic fungi and bacteria:

- Fungicidal Activity: They have been shown to suppress fungal diseases like Fusarium wilt in chrysanthemum and *Alternaria alternata* [Elmer et al. 2021; Balu et al. 2023; Zhu et al. 2022]. They can inhibit fungal growth, spore germination, and mycelial development [Zabrieski et al. 2015].
- Bactericidal Activity: CuO NPs also demonstrate antibacterial properties against plant pathogenic bacteria [Ali et al. 2021].
- Mechanism: The antimicrobial action of CuO NPs is primarily attributed to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which cause oxidative stress, leading to cell membrane damage, DNA damage, and protein denaturation in pathogens [Muhammad et al. 2022; Liu et al. 2023; Manzoor et al. 2023]. The release of copper ions from the NPs also contributes to their toxicity. Additionally, CuO NPs can trigger plant defense mechanisms, enhancing the plant's natural resistance to pathogens [Chen et al. 2022; Karmous et al. 2023].

3.3.2 Insect Pest Management

Beyond pathogens, CuO NPs show potential in managing insect pests:

- Larvicidal and Antifeedant Effects: Studies have reported larvicidal and antifeedant effects of copper nano-pesticides against agricultural pests like *Spodoptera frugiperda* [Rahman et al. 2022].
- Mechanism: The insecticidal action can involve direct toxicity through ingestion or contact, disruption of digestive enzymes, or interference with insect physiology [Muhammad et al. 2022]. Nano-pesticides can offer targeted delivery and controlled release, reducing the overall amount of active ingredient needed [Hou et al. 2024; Dangi et al. 2021].

3.3.3 Advantages over Conventional Pesticides

- Reduced Dosage: Nanoparticles often achieve efficacy at lower concentrations compared to conventional bulk pesticides, potentially reducing chemical load in the environment [Liu et al. 2023].
- Targeted Delivery: Nano-formulations can be designed for targeted delivery to specific plant parts or pests, minimizing off-target effects.
- Reduced Resistance Development: The multi-modal action (e.g., oxidative stress, ion release) of metal oxide NPs may make it harder for pathogens and pests to develop resistance compared to single-target conventional pesticides.

3.4 Environmental Interactions and Fate of CuO Nanoparticles

Understanding the environmental behavior of CuO NPs is crucial for their safe and sustainable application in agriculture. Their fate and transport in soil and aquatic environments are influenced by various physicochemical parameters.

3.4.1 Influence of Environmental Parameters

- pH: Soil and water pH significantly affect the dissolution, aggregation, and surface charge of CuO NPs [Tiwari et al. 2022; Qiu et al. 2020; Peng et al. 2017; Khan et al. 2019; Siddiqui et al. 2017]. Lower pH (acidic conditions) generally increases dissolution and copper ion release, potentially enhancing bioavailability but also increasing toxicity. Higher pH (alkaline conditions) can promote aggregation and reduce dissolution.

- Ionic Strength and Electrolytes: The concentration of ions in soil solution or water influences NP aggregation. High ionic strength tends to reduce electrostatic repulsion between NPs, leading to aggregation and sedimentation [Chao et al. 2021; Wu et al. 2020].

- Natural Organic Matter (NOM): NOM (e.g., humic acids, fulvic acids) plays a dual role. It can stabilize NPs by coating their surfaces, preventing aggregation and enhancing mobility [Liu et al. 2020; Khort et al. 2022; Yu et al. 2022]. However, NOM can also facilitate the dissolution of CuO NPs by complexing with released copper ions, thereby increasing copper bioavailability [Liu et al. 2020].

- Clay Fraction and Soil Type: The composition of the soil, particularly its clay content, affects the retention and mobility of NPs. Clay minerals can adsorb NPs, reducing their transport [Tiwari et al. 2022].

- Nanoplastics: Emerging research suggests that nanoplastic debris can interact with metal oxide nanoparticles, influencing their stability and transport in soil solutions [Tiwari et al. 2022].

3.4.2 Ecotoxicity and Bioaccumulation

While beneficial for target organisms, the potential ecotoxicity of CuO NPs to non-target organisms and their bioaccumulation in the food chain are critical concerns.

- Soil Microbiome: CuO NPs can impact the diversity and activity of beneficial soil microorganisms, which are essential for nutrient cycling and soil health [Peixoto et al. 2024]. The extent of this impact depends on NP concentration, size, and surface properties.

- Non-target Organisms: Studies have shown cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of CuO NPs on various organisms in vitro [Bucchianico et al. 2013]. Their impact on aquatic organisms like *Daphnia magna* has also been investigated [Yu et al. 2022].

- Bioaccumulation: There are concerns about the uptake and accumulation of copper from CuO NPs in edible plant parts, potentially posing health risks to consumers [Ji et al. 2022; Alhaithloul et al. 2023]. While some studies show minimal accumulation, long-term effects need thorough investigation.

The environmental fate and potential ecotoxicity of CuO NPs necessitate careful consideration in their design and application to ensure that the benefits for agriculture outweigh any potential risks.

4. Discussion

The preceding sections have meticulously detailed the burgeoning role of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) in agro-nanotechnology, highlighting their innovative applications as nano-fertilizers and nano-pesticides, and exploring their complex environmental interactions. This discussion synthesizes these findings, evaluates their contribution to sustainable farming, addresses the inherent challenges, and proposes critical future directions for research and responsible deployment.

4.1 Contribution to Sustainable Farming

The integration of CuO NPs into agricultural practices offers a compelling pathway towards more sustainable farming systems, primarily by enhancing efficiency and reducing reliance on conventional, often environmentally detrimental, chemical inputs.

- Enhanced Resource Use Efficiency: As nano-fertilizers, CuO NPs can significantly improve nutrient uptake and utilization by plants [Francis et al. 2022; Mustafa et al. 2024]. This enhanced efficiency means that less fertilizer is needed to achieve the same or even better yields, thereby reducing the leaching of excess nutrients into water bodies and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions associated with fertilizer production and application [Jakhar et al. 2022; Saurabh et al. 2024]. The controlled release properties of some nano-formulations further contribute to this efficiency [Elsabagh et al. 2024].

- Reduced Chemical Pesticide Dependency: The potent antimicrobial and insecticidal properties of CuO NPs provide an effective alternative to conventional pesticides [Servin et al. 2015; Manzoor et al. 2023]. By offering targeted action and requiring lower dosages, nano-pesticides can minimize off-target effects on beneficial organisms, reduce pesticide residues in food and the environment, and potentially slow down the development of pest resistance [Liu et al. 2023; Dangi et al. 2021]. This directly supports the global push for more environmentally benign pest management strategies [Nehra et al. 2021; Ceresini et al. 2024].

- Improved Crop Resilience and Yield: Beyond direct nutrient and pest management, CuO NPs can enhance plant physiological processes, boost antioxidant defense systems, and improve overall plant vigor [Kohatsu et al. 2021; Nekoukhou et al. 2023]. This increased resilience can lead to more stable and higher crop yields, contributing directly to food security amidst growing population demands [United Nations 2015; Muradi and Boz 2018]. Nano-priming with CuO NPs specifically contributes to stronger seedlings, laying a robust foundation for crop development [Pandey et al. 2024; Imtiaz et al. 2023].

- Eco-friendly Synthesis: The increasing focus on green synthesis methods for CuO NPs aligns perfectly with the principles of sustainable chemistry [Labanni et al. 2023; Ogwuegbu et al. 2024]. By utilizing biological resources and avoiding harsh chemicals, these methods reduce the environmental footprint of nanoparticle production itself, making the entire life cycle of agro-nanomaterials more sustainable [Chahar and Mukherji 2022].

4.2 Challenges and Risks for Responsible Adoption

Despite the compelling benefits, the widespread and responsible adoption of CuO NPs in agriculture faces significant challenges and necessitates careful consideration of potential risks.

- Environmental Fate and Ecotoxicity: This is perhaps the most critical concern. Once applied to agricultural fields, CuO NPs can undergo various transformations (dissolution, aggregation, surface coating) influenced by soil pH, organic matter content, and ionic strength [Tiwari et al. 2022; Qiu et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Peng et al. 2017]. Their mobility in soil and potential leaching into groundwater or runoff into surface waters are not yet fully understood, posing risks to aquatic ecosystems [Chao et al. 2021; Wu et al. 2020]. More importantly, their impact on non-target organisms, particularly beneficial soil microorganisms (e.g., nitrogen-fixing bacteria, mycorrhizal fungi), is a major area of concern [Peixoto et al. 2024]. Disrupting the delicate balance of the soil microbiome could have long-term negative consequences for soil health and fertility. Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects on other organisms have also been reported [Bucchianico et al. 2013; Muhammad et al. 2022].

- Bioaccumulation and Food Safety: The potential for CuO NPs, or the copper ions released from them, to be taken up by plants and accumulate in edible tissues raises significant food safety concerns [Ji et al. 2022; Alhaithloul et al. 2023]. While copper is an essential nutrient, excessive accumulation can be toxic to humans and animals. Robust studies on the long-term bioaccumulation potential across different crop types and the subsequent trophic transfer in the food chain are urgently needed.

- Standardization and Quality Control: The synthesis methods for CuO NPs can yield materials with varying sizes, shapes, surface chemistries, and crystalline structures [Dörner et al. 2019; Labanni et al. 2023]. These variations can profoundly affect their efficacy, stability, and toxicity. A lack of standardized production protocols and quality control measures makes it difficult to ensure consistent product performance and safety.

- Regulatory Frameworks: The rapid pace of nano-innovation has outstripped the development of comprehensive regulatory frameworks [Chatterjee 2008; Kah and Kookana 2017]. Clear guidelines for the testing, labeling, application, and disposal of agro-nanomaterials are essential to ensure their safe use and public acceptance.

- Economic Viability and Farmer Adoption: While promising, the current production costs of some CuO NP formulations might be higher than conventional agrochemicals, posing a barrier to widespread adoption, especially for smallholder farmers. The economic benefits need to be clearly demonstrated and accessible financing mechanisms established.

- Knowledge Gaps: Despite significant research, fundamental knowledge gaps remain regarding the long-term interactions of CuO NPs with complex soil ecosystems, their precise uptake and translocation mechanisms in diverse plant species, and their ultimate fate in the environment.

4.3 Comparison with Conventional Methods and Other Nanomaterials

CuO NPs offer distinct advantages over bulk copper materials due to their enhanced reactivity, increased surface area, and potential for controlled release. This often translates to lower required dosages, reducing overall copper input into the environment while maintaining or improving efficacy. Compared to conventional synthetic pesticides, CuO NPs present a more environmentally friendly alternative, potentially mitigating issues like pesticide runoff and resistance development.

When compared to other metal oxide nanoparticles (e.g., ZnO NPs, TiO2 NPs), CuO NPs have unique properties related to copper's dual role as a nutrient and an antimicrobial agent. While ZnO NPs also show promise as nano-fertilizers and antimicrobials [Singh et al. 2019; Karmous et al. 2023; Altabbaa et al. 2023], the specific benefits and risks of CuO NPs are distinct and warrant dedicated research. Hybrid nanocomposites, combining CuO with other materials (e.g., silver, polymers), are also being explored to create multifunctional materials with enhanced properties [Manikandan et al. 2023; Zhu et al. 2022].

4.4 Future Directions

To fully realize the potential of CuO NPs for sustainable agriculture while minimizing risks, several key areas require concerted future research and development:

- Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Risk Assessment: Comprehensive LCAs are needed to evaluate the environmental footprint of CuO NPs from synthesis to application and disposal, comparing them rigorously with conventional alternatives. Robust, long-term risk assessments, considering various environmental compartments and trophic levels, are essential to inform regulatory decisions.

- Mechanism-Oriented Design: Future research should focus on designing CuO NPs with tailored properties (size, shape, surface coating, doping) that optimize their beneficial effects (e.g., nutrient delivery, antimicrobial action) while minimizing unintended environmental impacts. This includes developing smart nano-delivery systems that respond to specific environmental cues (e.g., pH, enzyme activity) for precise and controlled release [Hou et al. 2024; Martins et al. 2024].

- Advanced Green Synthesis: Further innovation in green synthesis methods is crucial to develop highly scalable, cost-effective, and truly sustainable production routes for CuO NPs, potentially utilizing agricultural waste products as feedstocks.

- Long-term Field Studies: Most studies are laboratory or greenhouse-based. Long-term field trials under diverse agro-climatic conditions are critically needed to validate efficacy, assess real-world environmental fate, and monitor potential bioaccumulation in the food chain.

- Interactions with Soil Biota: Deeper understanding of the complex interactions between CuO NPs and the diverse soil microbiome is paramount. Research should focus on identifying thresholds for adverse effects on beneficial microorganisms and developing strategies to mitigate such impacts.

- Regulatory Science and Policy: Collaborative efforts between scientists, policymakers, and industry are needed to develop science-based regulatory frameworks that facilitate responsible innovation while ensuring environmental and human safety [Chatterjee 2008]. This includes developing standardized testing protocols and guidelines for safe handling and disposal.

- Public Perception and Acceptance: Engaging with farmers and the public to address concerns, build trust, and ensure transparency regarding the benefits and risks of agro-nanotechnology is essential for successful adoption.

5. Conclusion

Copper oxide nanoparticles represent a frontier in agro-nanotechnology, offering transformative potential for enhancing agricultural sustainability and addressing critical challenges in food production. Their demonstrated efficacy as nano-fertilizers, promoting plant growth and nutrient uptake, and as nano-pesticides, providing robust protection against pathogens and pests, positions them as valuable tools in the pursuit of more efficient and environmentally friendly farming systems. The increasing adoption of green synthesis methods further strengthens their appeal by aligning production with sustainable principles.

However, the journey towards widespread and responsible deployment of CuO NPs in agriculture is still in its early stages. Critical challenges related to their environmental fate, potential ecotoxicity to non-target organisms, and concerns regarding bioaccumulation in the food chain necessitate rigorous and long-term scientific investigation. The development of robust regulatory frameworks, coupled with a deeper understanding of their complex interactions within diverse agro-ecosystems, is paramount to ensuring their safe and sustainable integration.

Ultimately, CuO NPs hold immense promise to contribute to a future where agriculture is not only highly productive but also environmentally benign and resilient. Realizing this potential hinges on continued interdisciplinary research, fostering innovation in their design and application, and establishing clear, science-based guidelines for their responsible use. By embracing a cautious yet progressive approach, agro-nanotechnology, spearheaded by materials like copper oxide nanoparticles, can play a pivotal role in securing global food supplies while safeguarding our planet for future generations.

References

1. Adhikari, T., Kundu, S., Biswas, AK., Tarafdar, JC., Rao, AS. (2012). Effect of copper oxide nano particle on seed germination of selected crops. J Agric Sci Technol, 2(6A), 815.

2. Adhikari, T., Sarkar, D., Mashayekhi, H., Xing, B. (2016). Growth and enzymatic activity of maize (Zea mays L.) plant: solution culture test for copper dioxide nano particles. J Plant Nutr, 39(1), 99–115.

3. Ali, M., Ijaz, M., Ikram, M., Ul-Hamid, A., Avais, M., Anjum, AA. (2021). Biogenic synthesis, characterization and antibacterial potential evaluation of copper oxide nanoparticles against Escherichia coli. Nanoscale Res Lett, 16(1), 148.

4. Alhaithloul, HAS., Ali, B., Alghanem, SMS., Zulfiqar, F., Al-Robai, SA., Ercisli, S., Yong, JW., Moosa, A., Irfan, E., Ali, Q., Irshad, MA. (2023). Effect of green-synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles on growth, physiology, nutrient uptake, and cadmium accumulation in Triticum aestivum (L.). Ecotoxicol Environ Safety, 268, 115701.

5. Altabbaa, S., Kumari, A., Sharma, R., Parashar, A., Thakur, N. (2023). South African journal of botany Chitosan-coated ZnO nanocomposites of Lantana camara and Rhamnus triquetra for effective antimicrobial activity. S Afr J Bot, 161, 126–39.

6. Arunkumar, B., Jeyakumar, SJ., Jothibas, M. (2023). Study on the efficiency of biomedical and degradation of dye through CuO nanoparticles synthesized at various molar concentrations. In: Arunkumar B, editor. Progress in chemical science research. Amsterdam: Elseiver, 144–63.

7. Ayoub, HA., Khairy, M., Elsaid, S., Rashwan, FA., Abdel-Hafez, HF. (2018). Pesticidal activity of nanostructured metal oxides for generation of alternative pesticide formulations. J Agric Food Chem, 66(22), 5491–8.

8. Balu, SK., Andra, S., Jeevanandam, J., Kulabhusan, PK., Khamari, A., Vedarathinam, V., Hamimed, S., San Chan, Y., Danquah, MK. (2023). Exploring the potential of metal oxide nanoparticles as fungicides and plant nutrient boosters. Crop Prot, 174, 106398.

9. Banerjee, S., Islam, J., Mondal, S., Saha, A., Saha, B., Sen, A. (2023). Proactive attenuation of arsenic-stress by nano-priming: Zinc oxide nanoparticles in Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper trigger antioxidant defense response and reduce root-shoot arsenic translocation. J Hazard Mater, 446, 130735.

10. Bhuvaneshwari, V., Vaidehi, D., Logpriya, S. (2018). Green synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles for biological applications. Microbiol Curr Res, 2(1), 10.

11. Botsa, SM., Ramadevi, D., Basavaiah, K. (2018). A facile synthesis of copper oxide nanorods for photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutant and inactivation of pathogens. J Nanosci Technol, 4(5), 467–70.

12. Broberg, MC., Uddling, J., Mills, G., Pleijel, H. (2017). Fertilizer efficiency in wheat is reduced by ozone pollution. Sci Total Environ, 607–608, 876–80.

13. Bucchianico, SD., Fabbrizi, MR., Misra, SK., Valsami-Jones, E., Berhanu, D., Reip, P., Bergamaschi, E., Migliore, L. (2013). Multiple cytotoxic and genotoxic effects induced in vitro by differently shaped copper oxide nanomaterials. Mutagenesis, 28(3), 287–99.

14. Ceresini, PC., Silva, TC., Vicentini, SNC., Júnior, RPL., Moreira, SI., Castro-Ríos, K., Garcés-Fiallos, FR., Krug, LD., de Moura, SS., da Silva, AG., de Paiva Custódio, AA. (2024). Strategies for managing fungicide resistance in the Brazilian tropical agroecosystem: safeguarding food safety, health, and the environmental quality. Tropical Plant Pathol, 49(1), 36–70.

15. Chahar, R., Mukherji, MD. (2022). Environmental applications of phytonanotechnology: a promise to sustainable future. In: Shah MP, Roy A, editors. Phytonanotechnology. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 141–59.

16. Chao, SJ., Huang, CP., Lam, CC., Hua, LC., Chang, SH., Huang, C. (2021). Transformation of copper oxide nanoparticles as affected by ionic strength and its effects on the toxicity and bioaccumulation of copper in zebrafish embryo. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 225, 112759.

17. Chatterjee, R. (2008). The challenge of regulating nanomaterials. Environ Sci Technol, 42(2), 339–43.

18. Chen, JN., Wu, LT., Kun, SO., Zhu, YS., Wei, DI. (2022). Nonphytotoxic copper oxide nanoparticles are powerful “nanoweapons” that trigger resistance in tobacco against the soil-borne fungal pathogen Phytophthora nicotianae. J Integr Agric, 21(11), 3245–62.

19. Dangi, K., Verma, AK. (2021). Efficient & eco-friendly smart nano-pesticides: Emerging prospects for agriculture. Mater Today Proc, 45, 3819–24.

20. Dayarathne, MC., Mridha, AU., Wang, Y. (2020). Diagnosis of fungal plant pathogens using conventional and molecular approaches. Diagn Plant Dis. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94980.

21. Deng, C., Wang, Y., Cantu, JM., Valdes, C., Navarro, G., Cota-Ruiz, K., Hernandez-Viezcas, JA., Li, C., Elmer, WH., Dimkpa, CO., White, JC. (2022). Soil and foliar exposure of soybean (Glycine max) to Cu: nanoparticle coating-dependent plant responses. NanoImpact, 26, 100406.

22. Dhas, CR., Malar, KCMG., Venkatesh, R., Arivukarasan, D., Monica, SES., Keerthana, S. (2021). Insights on photocatalytic dye inactivation and antimicrobial activity of pH-dependent facile synthesised copper oxide nanoparticles. Appl Phys A, 127(12), 891.

23. Dörner, L., Cancellieri, C., Rheingans, B., Walter, M., Kägi, R., Schmutz, P., Kovalenko, MV., Jeurgens, LP. (2019). Cost-effective sol-gel synthesis of porous CuO nanoparticle aggregates with tunable specific surface area. Sci Rep, 9(1), 11758.

24. Dulta, K., Koşarsoy Ağçeli, G., Chauhan, P., Jasrotia, R., Chauhan, PK., Ighalo, JO. (2022). Multifunctional CuO nanoparticles with enhanced photocatalytic dye degradation and antibacterial activity. Sustain Environ Res, 32, 1–52.

25. Elsabagh, SS., Elkhatib, EA., Rashad, M. (2024). Novel nano-fertilizers derived from drinking water industry waste for sustained release of macronutrients: performance, kinetics and sorption mechanisms. Scientific Repor, 14(1), 5691.

26. Elmer, WH., Zuverza-Mena, N., Triplett, LR., Roberts, EL., Silady, RA., White, JC. (2021). Foliar application of copper oxide nanoparticles suppresses fusarium wilt development on chrysanthemum. Environ Sci Technol, 55(15), 10805–10.

27. Fang, Y., Zhang, L., Jiao, Y., Liao, J., Luo, L., Ji, S., Li, J., Dai, K., Zhu, S., Yang, M. (2016). Tobacco rotated with rapeseed for soil-borne phytophthora pathogen biocontrol: mediated by rapeseed root exudates. Front Microbiol, 7, 1–11.

28. Faraz, A., Faizan, M., Rajput, DV., Minkina, T., Hayat, S., Faisal, M., Alatar, AA., Abdel-Salam, EM. (2023). CuO nanoparticle-mediated seed priming improves physio-biochemical and enzymatic activities of Brassica juncea. Plants, 12(4), 803.

29. Farooq, A., Javad, S., Jabeen, K., Ali Shah, A., Ahmad, A., Shah, AN., Alyemeni, MN., Mosa, WF., Abbas, A. (2023). Effect of calcium oxide, zinc oxide nanoparticles and their combined treatments on growth and yield attributes of Solanum lycopersicum L. J King Saud Univ Sci, 35(5), 102647.

30. Figueroa, M., Hammond-Kosack, KE., Solomon, PS. (2018). A review of wheat diseases—a field perspective. Mol Plant Pathol, 19(6), 1523–36.

31. Francis, DV., Abdalla, AK., Mahakham, W., Sarmah, AK., Ahmed, ZFR. (2024). Interaction of plants and metal nanoparticles: Exploring its molecular mechanisms for sustainable agriculture and crop improvement. Environ Int, 30, 108859.

32. Francis, DV., Sood, N., Gokhale, T. (2022). Biogenic CuO and ZnO nanoparticles as nanofertilizers for sustainable growth of Amaranthus hybridus. Plants, 11(20), 2776.

33. Gupta, A., Rayeen, F., Mishra, R., Tripathi, M., Pathak, N. (2023). Nanotechnology applications in sustainable agriculture: an emerging eco-friendly approach. Plant Nano Biol, 4, 100033.

34. Hanif, S., Bilal, M., Nasreen, S., Latif, M., Zia, M. (2023). Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) doping on the surface of CuO-NPs reduces the toxic effects of NPs on Lactuca sativa. J Biotechnol, 367, 53–61.

35. Hou, C., Wei, N., Liang, Q., Tan, Y., Li, X., Feng, J. (2024). Nano-pesticide delivery system based on UiO -66 with pH sensitivity for precise control of Spodoptera frugiperda. Pest Manag Sci, 81(2), 798–808.

36. Ibrahim, AS., Ali, GAM., Hassanein, A., Attia, AM., Marzouk, ER. (2022). Toxicity and uptake of CuO nanoparticles: Evaluation of an emerging nanofertilizer on wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) plant. Sustainability, 14(9), 4914.

37. Imtiaz, H., Shiraz, M., Mir, AR., Siddiqui, H., Hayat, S. (2023). Nano-priming techniques for plant physio-biochemistry and stress tolerance. J Plant Growth Regul, 42(11), 6870–90.

38. Jakhar, AM., Aziz, I., Kaleri, AR., Hasnain, M., Haider, G., Ma, J., Abideen, Z. (2022). Nano-fertilizers: A sustainable technology for improving crop nutrition and food security. NanoImpact, 27, 100411.

39. Jassal, PS., Kaur, D., Prasad, R., Singh, J. (2022). Green synthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles: development and applications. J Agric Food Res, 10, 100361.

40. Ji, H., Guo, Z., Wang, G., Wang, X., Liu, H. (2022). Effect of ZnO and CuO nanoparticles on the growth, nutrient absorption, and potential health risk of the seasonal vegetable Medicago polymorpha L. PeerJ, 10, e14038.

41. Kah, M., Kookana, R. (2017). Emerging investigator series: nanotechnology to develop novel agrochemicals: critical issues to consider in the global agricultural context. Environ Science: Nano, 4(9), 1784–97.

42. Karmous, I., Vaidya, S., Dimkpa, C., Zuverza-Mena, N., da Silva, W., Barroso, KA., Milagres, J., Bharadwaj, A., Abdelraheem, W., White, JC., Elmer, WH. (2023). Biologically synthesized zinc and copper oxide nanoparticles using Cannabis sativa L. enhance soybean (Glycine max) defense against fusarium virguliforme. Pesticide Biochem Physiol, 194, 105486.

43. Khan, R., Inam, MA., Park, DR., Khan, S., Akram, M., Yeom, IT. (2019). The removal of CuO nanoparticles from water by conventional treatment C/F/S: The effect of pH and natural organic matter. Molecules, 24(5), 914.

44. Khalaki, MA., Moameri, M., Lajayer, BA., Astatkie, T.

(2021). Influence of nano-priming on seed germination and plant growth of forage and medicinal plants. Plant Growth Regul, 93(1), 13–28.

45. Khort, A., Brookman-Amissah, M., Hedberg, J., Chang, T., Mei, N., Lundberg, A., Sturve, J., Blomberg, E., Odnevall, I. (2022). Influence of natural organic matter on the transformation of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles and their ecotoxic potency in vitro. NanoImpact, 25, 100386.

46. Kohatsu, MY., Lange, CN., Pelegrino, MT., Pieretti, JC., Tortella, G., Rubilar, O., Batista, BL., Seabra, AB., de Jesus, TA. (2021). Foliar spraying of biogenic CuO nanoparticles protects the defence system and photosynthetic pigments of lettuce (Lactuca sativa). J Clean Prod, 324, 129264.

47. Labanni, A., Nasir, M., Arief, S. (2023). Research progress and prospect of copper oxide nanoparticles with controllable nanostructure, morphology, and function via green synthesis. Mater Today Sustain, 24, 100526.

48. Lakshimi, K., Jayashree, M., Shakila, BK. (2015). Green and chemically synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles-A preliminary research towards its toxic behaviour. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 7(13), 156–60.

49. Liu, P., Ren, Z., Ding, W., Kong, D., Hermanowicz, SW., Huang, Y. (2023). Comparative environmental impact assessment of copper-based nanopesticides and conventional pesticides. ACS Agric Sci Sci Technol, 3(7), 593–600.

50. Liu, S., Liu, Y., Pan, B., He, Y., Li, B., Zhou, D., Xiao, Y., Qiu, H., Vijver, MG., Peijnenburg, WJ. (2020). The promoted dissolution of copper oxide nanoparticles by dissolved humic acid: copper complexation over particle dispersion. Chemosphere, 245, 125612.

51. Manikandan, DB., Arumugam, M., Sridhar, A., Perumalsamy, B., Ramasamy, T. (2023). Sustainable fabrication of hybrid silver-copper nanocomposites (Ag-CuO NCs) using Ocimum americanum L. as an effective regime against antibacterial, anticancer, photocatalytic dye degradation and microalgae toxicity. Environ Res, 228, 115867.

52. Manzoor, MA., Shah, IH., Ali Sabir, I., Ahmad, A., Albasher, G., Dar, AA., Altaf, MA., Shakoor, A. (2023). Environmental sustainable: Biogenic copper oxide nanoparticles as nano-pesticides for investigating bioactivities against phytopathogens. Environ Res, 231, 115941.

53. Martins, MAR., Kiirika, LM., Schaffer, N., Sajnóg, A., Coutinho, JAP., Franklin, G., Mondal, D. (2024). Unveiling dissolution kinetics of CuO nanofertilizer using bio-based ionic liquids envisaging controlled use efficiency for sustainable agriculture. ACS Sustain Res Manage, 1(6), 1291–301.

54. Muhammad, A., He, J., Yu, T., Sun, C., Shi, D., Jiang, Y., et al. (2022). Dietary exposure of copper and zinc oxides nanoparticles affect the fitness, enzyme activity, and microbial community of the model insect, silkworm Bombyx mori. Sci Total Environ, 813, 152608.

55. Muradi, AJ., Boz, I. (2018). The contribution of agriculture sector in the economy of Afghanistan. Int J Sci Res Manage, 6(10), 750–5.

56. Mustafa, M., Azam, M., Nawaz Bhatti, H., Khan, A., Zafar, L., Rehan Abbasi, AM. (2024). Green fabrication of copper nano-fertilizer for enhanced crop yield in cowpea cultivar: a sustainable approach. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol, 56, 102994.

57. Nehra, M., Dilbaghi, N., Marrazza, G., Kaushik, A., Sonne, C., Kim, KH., Kumar, S. (2021). Emerging nanobiotechnology in agriculture for the management of pesticide residues. J Hazard Mater, 401, 123369.

58. Nekoukhou, M., Fallah, S., Pokhrel, LR., Abbasi-Surki, A., Rostamnejadi, A. (2023). Foliar enrichment of copper oxide nanoparticles promotes biomass, photosynthetic pigments, and commercially valuable secondary metabolites and essential oils in dragonhead (Dracocephalum moldavica L.) under semi-arid conditions. Sci Total Environ, 863, 160920.

59. Ogwuegbu, MC., Ayangbenro, AS., Mthiyane, DM., Babalola, OO., Onwudiwe, DC. (2024). Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Ligustrum lucidum extract, and the antioxidant and antifungal evaluation. Mater Res Express, 11(5), 055010.

60. Pandey, D., Singh, A., Darbinyan, N., Chakhmakhchyan, AD., Parmar, SS., Ghazaryan, K. (2024). Revolutionizing sustainable agriculture with nano-priming technology: a leap towards resilient and high-yield crops. In: Pandey D, editor. Nanotechnology applications and innovations for improved soil health. Amsterdam: Elseiver, 305–15.

61. Peng, C., Shen, C., Zheng, S., Yang, W., Hu, H., Liu, J., Shi, J. (2017). Transformation of CuO nanoparticles in the aquatic environment: influence of pH, electrolytes and natural organic matter. Nanomaterials, 7(10), 326.

62. Pereira, DESA., Oliveira, CH., Fraceto, FL., Santaella, C. (2021). Nanotechnology potential in seed priming for sustainable agriculture. Nanomaterials, 11(2), 267.

63. Peixoto, S., Morgado, RG., Prodana, M., Cardoso, DN., Malheiro, C., Neves, J., Santos, C., Khodaparast, Z., Pavlaki, MD., Rodrigues, S., Rodrigues, SM. (2024). Responses of soil microbiome to copper-based materials (nano and bulk) for agricultural applications: an indoor-mesocosm experiment. NanoImpact, 34, 100506.

64. Qian, YA., Haipeng, LI., Zhang, Y., Yinghao, LI., Helian, LI. (2024). Wheat morphological and biochemical responses to copper oxide nanoparticle treatment in two soils. Pedosphere, 34(4), 814–25.

65. Rahman, A., Pittarate, S., Perumal, V., Rajula, J., Thungrabeab, M., Mekchay, S., et al. (2022). Larvicidal and antifeedant effects of copper nano-pesticides against Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) and its immunological response. Insects, 13(11), 1030.

66. Rai-Kalal, P., Jajoo, A. (2021). Priming with zinc oxide nanoparticles improve germination and photosynthetic performance in wheat. Plant Physiol Biochem, 160, 341–51.

67. Rohilla, D., Chaudhary, S., Singh, N., Batish, DR., Singh, HP. (2020). Agronomic providences of surface functionalized CuO nanoparticles on Vigna radiata. Environ Nanotechnol Monitor Manage, 14, 100338.

68. Sarkar, N., Sharma, RS., Kaushik, M. (2021). Innovative application of facile single pot green synthesized CuO and CuO@APTES nanoparticles in nanopriming of Vigna radiata seeds. Environ Sci Pollut Res, 28(11), 13221–8.

69. Saritha, GNG., Anju, T., Kumar, A. (2022). Nanotechnology-big impact: how nanotechnology is changing the future of agriculture? J Agric Food Res, 10, 100457.

70. Sarathi, R., Sundar, SM., Jayamurugan, P., Ganganagunta, S., Sudhadevi, D., Ubaidullah, M., Pandit, B., Gupta, M., Sehgal, SS., Rao, NS. (2023). Impacts of pH on photocatalytic efficiency, the control of energy and morphological properties of CuO nanoparticles for industrial wastewater treatment applications. Mater Sci Eng, 298, 116856.

71. Saurabh, K., Prakash, V., Dubey, AK., Ghosh, S., Kumari, A., Sundaram, PK., Jeet, P., Sarkar, B., Upadhyaya, A., Das, A., Kumar, S. (2024). Enhancing sustainability in agriculture with nanofertilizers. Dis Appl Sci, 6(11), 559.

72. Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, SJ., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nat Ecol Evolut, 3(3), 430–9.

73. Sedefoglu, N., Er, S., Veryer, K., Zalaoglu, Y., Bozok, F. (2023). Green synthesized CuO nanoparticles using macrofungi extracts: characterization, nanofertilizer and antibacterial effects. Mater Chem Phys, 309, 128393.

74. Servin, A., Elmer, W., Mukherjee, A., Torre-Roche, RDL., Hamdi, H., White, JC., Bindraban, P., Dimkpa, C. (2015). A review of the use of engineered nanomaterials to suppress plant disease and enhance crop yield. J Nanopart Res, 17, 1–21.

75. Shah, IH., Ashraf, M., Sabir, IA., Manzoor, MA., Malik, MS., Gulzar, S., Ashraf, F., Iqbal, J., Niu, Q., Zhang, Y. (2022). Green synthesis and characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles using Calotropis procera leaf extract and their different biological potentials.

J Mol Struct, 1259, 132696.

76. Sharma, D., Afzal, S., Singh, NK. (2021). Nanopriming with phytosynthesized zinc oxide nanoparticles for promoting germination and starch metabolism in rice seeds. J Biotechnol, 336, 64–75.

77. Shelar, A., Singh, AV., Maharjan, RS., Laux, P., Luch, A., Gemmati, D., Tisato, V., Singh, SP., Santilli, MF., Shelar, A., Chaskar, M. (2021). Sustainable agriculture through multidisciplinary seed nanopriming: prospects of opportunities and challenges. Cells, 10(9), 2428.

78. Siddiqui, H., Qureshi, MS., Haque, FZ. (2017). pH-dependent single-step rapid synthesis of CuO nanoparticles and their optical behavior. Opt Spectrosc, 123, 903–12.

79. Singh, A., Sengar, RS., Sharma, R., Raj. (2021). Nano-priming technology for sustainable agriculture. Biogeosyst Tech, 8, 79–92.

80. Singh, A., Singh, NB., Hussain, I., Singh, H. (2017). Effect of biologically synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles on metabolism and antioxidant activity to the crop plants Solanum lycopersicum and Brassica oleracea var. botrytis. J Biotechnol, 262, 11–27.

81. Singh, J., Kumar, S., Alok, A., Upadhyay, SK., Rawat, M., Tsang, DC., Bolan, N., Kim, KH. (2019). The potential of green synthesized zinc oxide nanoparticles as nutrient source for plant growth. J Clean Prod, 214, 1061–70.

82. Singh, K., Singh, G., Singh, J. (2023). Sustainable synthesis of biogenic ZnO NPs for mitigation of emerging pollutants and pathogens. Environ Res, 219, 114952.

83. Sonawane, H., Arya, S., Math, S., Shelke, D. (2021). Myco-synthesized silver and titanium oxide nanoparticles as seed priming agents to promote seed germination and seedling growth of Solanum lycopersicum: a comparative study. Int Nano Lett, 11(4), 371–9.

84. Tiwari, E., Khandelwal, N., Singh, N., Biswas, S., Darbha, GK. (2022). Effect of clay colloid – CuO nanoparticles interaction on retention of nanoparticles in different types of soils: role of clay fraction and environmental parameters. Environ Res, 203, 111885.

85. Tiwari, E., Singh, N., Khandelwal, N., Ganie, ZA., Choudhary, A., Monikh, FA., Darbha, GK. (2022). Impact of nanoplastic debris on the stability and transport of metal oxide nanoparticles: Role of varying soil solution chemistry. Chemosphere, 308, 136091.

86. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2015). Population 2030: Demographic challenges and opportunities for sustainable development planning. Report No.: (ST/ESA/SER.A/389). https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/trends/Population2030.pdf

87. Vlachý, J. (2017). The value of innovation in nanotechnology. Eng Econ, 28(5), 535–41.

88. Wang, Y., Lin, Y., Xu, Y., Yin, Y., Guo, H., Du, W. (2019). Divergence in response of lettuce (var. ramosa Hort.) to copper oxide nanoparticles/microparticles as potential agricultural fertilizer. Environ Pollut Bioavail, 31(1), 80–4.

89. Wani, KA., Kothari, R. (2018). Agricultural nanotechnology: applications and challenges. Ann Plant Sci, 7(3), 2146.

90. Woźniak-Budych, MJ., Staszak, K., Staszak, M. (2023). Copper and copper-based nanoparticles in medicine—perspectives and challenges. Molecules, 28(18), 6687.

91. Wu, H., Fang, H., Xu, C., Ye, J., Cai, Q., Shi, J. (2020). Transport and retention of copper oxide nanoparticles under unfavorable deposition conditions caused by repulsive van der Waals force in saturated porous media. Environ Pollut, 256, 113400.

92. Yu, Q., Mu, Z., Wang, N., Wang, X., Xu, M., Li, H. (2020). The aggregation and sedimentation of two different sized copper oxide nanoparticles in soil solutions: dependence on pH and dissolved organic matter. Sci Total Environ, 731, 139215.

93. Yu, Q., Wang, Z., Wang, G., Peijnenburg, WJGM., Vijver, MG. (2022). Effects of natural organic matter on the joint toxicity and accumulation of Cu nanoparticles and ZnO nanoparticles in Daphnia magna. Environ Pollut, 292, 118413.

94. Zhu, X., Ma, X., Gao, C., Mu, Y., Pei, Y., Liu, C., Zou, A., Sun, X. (2022). Fabrication of CuO nanoparticles composite ε-polylysine-alginate nanogel for high-efficiency management of Alternaria alternate. Int J Biol Macromol, 223, 1208–22.