

# ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTANTS AND SANITARY RISKS: A STUDY OF FARM WORKER EXPOSURE AND HEALTH IMPACTS

Bianca Mancini

Department of Agro-Environmental Science (DISAAT), Aldo Moro University of Bari, Italy

## Abstract

Farm workers are frequently exposed to a wide range of environmental pollutants, including pesticides, fertilizers, and industrial emissions, which can pose significant health risks. This study investigates the sanitary risks associated with pollutant exposure among farm workers, focusing on both direct and indirect health impacts. Through a combination of environmental sampling, surveys, and health assessments, we identify common pollutants in agricultural settings and assess their potential to cause respiratory issues, skin conditions, and other health problems. Findings indicate that prolonged exposure to these pollutants can lead to chronic health conditions, with vulnerable groups such as seasonal workers and individuals with limited access to healthcare being at heightened risk. This study emphasizes the need for improved safety regulations, access to protective equipment, and regular health screenings for farm workers to mitigate the impacts of pollutant exposure.

**Keywords** Environmental pollutants, Farm workers, Sanitary risks, Health impacts, Agricultural exposure, Occupational health, Pesticide exposure, Respiratory health, Safety regulations.

## INTRODUCTION

Agricultural workers are essential to the global food supply, yet they often face substantial health risks due to prolonged exposure to environmental pollutants. These pollutants include pesticides, fertilizers, heavy metals, and other chemicals used to enhance crop yield or manage pests, as well as industrial pollutants that may contaminate nearby air, water, and soil. Due to the nature of their work, farm workers are frequently exposed to these substances through inhalation, skin contact, and ingestion, which can have cumulative adverse effects on their health over time. Studies have shown that such exposure is linked to various health issues, including respiratory disorders, dermatological conditions, neurological symptoms, and even long-term chronic illnesses such as cancer and reproductive issues.

Understanding the sanitary risks associated with these exposures is crucial to addressing and mitigating the health impacts on farm workers, a group that often has limited access to healthcare and protective equipment. This research aims to analyze the range of pollutants to which farm workers are exposed and assess the direct and indirect sanitary risks associated with these substances. By examining current practices, pollutant concentrations, and health outcomes, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of the risks and offer evidence-based recommendations for minimizing exposure and improving the health and safety of farm workers.

This study contributes to the growing body of research on occupational health risks in agriculture by focusing specifically on the sanitary implications of pollutant exposure. By highlighting

the vulnerabilities and specific health risks that farm workers face, we aim to inform policy, guide preventive measures, and support improved occupational health standards for this essential but often underserved population.

## **METHOD**

This study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining environmental sampling, health surveys, and personal interviews to assess the sanitary risks posed by environmental pollutants to farm workers. The study was conducted in multiple agricultural sites across a specific region, chosen for its range of crop types and diverse use of agricultural chemicals. The methods aimed to capture both the quantitative concentration of pollutants in the environment and qualitative data on health impacts reported by workers.

Environmental sampling involved collecting soil, water, and air samples from areas where farm workers were active. Sampling was conducted during different seasons to capture variations in pollutant levels. Soil and water samples were analyzed for pesticide residues, heavy metals, and other chemicals, while air quality assessments focused on particulate matter, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and any pesticide aerosols. Advanced laboratory techniques, including gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), were employed to quantify pollutant levels. This environmental data provided insights into the types and concentrations of pollutants farm workers may encounter regularly.

In addition to environmental sampling, a detailed health survey was administered to farm workers to document common symptoms and health issues potentially related to pollutant exposure. The survey collected information on respiratory health, skin conditions, neurological symptoms, and general physical well-being. To ensure inclusivity, surveys were available in multiple languages, and trained local health workers assisted in survey administration. The survey data were analyzed to identify trends and correlations between pollutant exposure levels and reported health conditions among farm workers.

To complement the quantitative data, in-depth interviews were conducted with a subset of farm workers. These interviews explored workers' perceptions of exposure risks, their use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and their access to healthcare and sanitation facilities. Insights from these interviews highlighted challenges faced by workers in managing exposure and provided context for understanding the real-world implications of pollutant exposure on health and safety practices. Together, the environmental data, survey results, and interview findings provided a comprehensive understanding of the sanitary risks faced by farm workers exposed to environmental pollutants.

The study followed a systematic process to assess the sanitary risks of environmental pollutant exposure among farm workers. First, we identified high-exposure agricultural sites with intensive use of pesticides, fertilizers, and other agrochemicals. We then conducted environmental sampling across these sites, collecting soil, water, and air samples during both peak and off-peak agricultural seasons to capture fluctuations in pollutant concentrations. Samples were sent to a certified laboratory for detailed analysis, where they underwent testing for common agricultural pollutants, including organophosphates, carbamates, heavy metals, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

Concurrently, we designed and distributed a health survey targeting farm workers at these sites to document prevalent symptoms and health complaints potentially related to pollutant exposure. Health surveys were conducted in the primary languages spoken by workers, with assistance from local health professionals to ensure accurate reporting. After survey collection, data were statistically analyzed to identify patterns and associations between exposure levels and specific health symptoms.

To provide further context, we conducted semi-structured interviews with a subset of surveyed workers. These interviews explored their experiences with pollutant exposure, protective equipment usage, and access to healthcare. Each interview was transcribed and coded for key themes, which offered qualitative insights into the

challenges workers face in managing exposure risks. Finally, findings from the environmental data, surveys, and interviews were integrated to assess the sanitary risks comprehensively and inform recommendations for improving health and safety measures for farm workers exposed to environmental pollutants.

## **RESULTS**

The environmental sampling revealed consistently elevated levels of several pollutants across all tested sites, including pesticide residues, heavy metals, and airborne particulate matter. Soil samples showed particularly high concentrations of organophosphates and carbamate pesticides, which are commonly used in local farming practices. Water samples also revealed traces of pesticide runoff, with some areas exceeding safety thresholds set by environmental health standards. Air samples contained volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter, particularly during peak spraying seasons, indicating a seasonal risk pattern.

Health surveys indicated a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms, such as coughing, wheezing, and shortness of breath, as well as skin issues, including rashes and irritation, among farm workers. Additionally, neurological symptoms like headaches, dizziness, and occasional confusion were reported, which correlated with increased exposure levels. Workers with prolonged exposure histories, particularly those employed full-time or for multiple seasons, exhibited higher incidences of chronic symptoms. Interviews highlighted that most workers lacked adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) and had limited access to healthcare, compounding the impact of these pollutants on their health.

## **DISCUSSION**

The findings underscore a significant sanitary risk posed by environmental pollutants in agricultural settings, affecting farm workers' health in multiple ways. The elevated presence of pesticides and heavy metals in soil and water aligns with the health symptoms observed, indicating direct exposure risks. Respiratory symptoms and skin issues are consistent with prior studies linking

pesticides and airborne particles to respiratory and dermatological conditions. The neurological symptoms reported by some workers may stem from chronic low-dose exposure to organophosphates and carbamates, which are known to affect the nervous system over time.

A notable aspect is the lack of adequate protective measures. Many farm workers reported either not using PPE due to cost or discomfort or using only basic equipment, like masks and gloves, which may not offer sufficient protection from toxic compounds. Moreover, limited access to healthcare facilities means workers are less likely to receive early diagnosis and treatment, exacerbating long-term health impacts. Seasonal patterns in pollutant exposure also suggest that the timing of protective measures could be optimized to reduce peak exposure periods, potentially minimizing health risks.

These findings point to a need for regulatory improvements in agricultural health and safety, with a focus on enforcing protective equipment standards, ensuring availability, and possibly subsidizing PPE for workers. Additionally, greater access to routine health screenings could aid in early detection of health issues linked to pollutant exposure, ultimately reducing the burden of disease in this vulnerable population.

## **CONCLUSION**

This study highlights the significant sanitary risks that farm workers face due to routine exposure to environmental pollutants, including pesticides, heavy metals, and VOCs. The high incidence of respiratory, dermatological, and neurological symptoms among workers illustrates the direct health impacts of these pollutants. Addressing these risks requires a multifaceted approach, including stricter safety regulations, improved PPE access, and enhanced healthcare services for farm workers. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to track the long-term health effects of pollutant exposure and explore interventions that could mitigate these risks effectively. Through better protective measures and health monitoring, the agricultural sector can take critical steps to safeguard the health and well-being of its essential workforce.

**REFERENCE**

1. ARPA Campania (Regional Agency for Environmental Prevention and Protection). 2009. Monitoraggio ambientale zona acerrana. Available from: <http://www.arpacampania.it/index.asp>.
2. Barra M.I., Ricciardi P., Terracina A. 2008. Chemical and carcinogenic agents: health risks and occupational diseases. pp 57-66 in Proc. Congr. The evaluation of the chemical risk inside chemical laboratories of pure and applied search, 10 June, Roma, Italy.
3. Cerruto E., Balsari P., Oggero G., Friso D., Guarella P., Raffaelli M. 2008. Operator safety during pesticide application in greenhouse: a survey on Italian situation. Acta Horticult. 801:1507-14.
4. Cottica D., Grignani E. 2010. Evaluation of the exposure to chemical agents: algorithms and measurements, advantages and difficulty. Ital. J. Med. Jobs Ergonomics 32:113-6.
5. Gino G. 2010. Methodological and normative aspects for the analysis of the chemical risks caused by jobs. Ital. J. Med. Jobs Ergonomics 32:108-12.
6. ISS-ISPEL (Istituto Superiore di Sanità - Istituto Superiore per la Prevenzione E la Sicurezza del Lavoro). 2009b. Banca dati ISS-ISPEL - Proprietà tossicologiche dei contaminanti. Available from: [http://www.iss.it/binary/suol/cont/pro\\_p\\_toss\\_Banca\\_dati\\_ISS-ISPEL\\_Maggio\\_2009.pdf](http://www.iss.it/binary/suol/cont/pro_p_toss_Banca_dati_ISS-ISPEL_Maggio_2009.pdf)
7. Johnson P, Ettinger R. 1991. Heuristic model for predicting the intrusion rate of contaminant vapours into building. Environ. Sci. Technol. 25:1445-52.
8. Mausacchio C., Sanvito I. 2012. Flux Chamber: study and definition of the preparation for the evaluation of the soil emissions. J. Solid. Waste 26:108-14.
9. Nano G. 2010. Models for the evaluation of the exposures. Ital J Med Jobs Ergonomics 32:121-4.
10. Settimi L., Davanzo F., Marcello I. 2007. National system of overseeing for the acute poisonings caused by pesticide: observations carried out in 2005. Reports ISTISAN 2007. Available from: <http://www.iss.it/binary/publ/cont/07-51.1203428194.pdf>
11. Tortora A., Sica C., Capobianco R.L. 2005. Workers' health and safety inside greenhouses. pp 1-12 in Proc. VIII AIIA Natl. Congr., 27-30, June, Catania, Italy.
12. US EPA. 1997. Exposure factors handbook. Volume I - General factors, EPA/600/P-95/002Fa. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, USA.