THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING (ISSN – 2689-1018) volume 06 issue09

PUBLISHED DATE: - 01-09-2024

PAGE NO.: - 1-5

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# **CO-DIGESTED SOLID FRACTION AS A SUSTAINABLE FEEDSTOCK FOR BIOGAS PRODUCTION**

### Gaia Russo

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Science, University of Torino, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

## Abstract

The sustainable management of organic waste is crucial for enhancing the efficiency of biogas production processes. This study investigates the potential of co-digested solid fractions as an alternative feedstock in biogas plants. Codigestion involves the simultaneous processing of multiple organic waste streams, which can improve the nutrient balance and overall digestibility of the substrate. This research focuses on the composition, digestibility, and biogas yield of co-digested solid fractions derived from agricultural and municipal solid waste. Through experimental analysis, the study evaluates the impact of these fractions on biogas production rates, methane content, and overall process stability. Results demonstrate that co-digested solid fractions can significantly enhance biogas yield compared to traditional single-substrate digestion. Additionally, the use of these fractions contributes to more efficient waste management practices and supports sustainable energy production. The findings highlight the viability of incorporating co-digested solid fractions into biogas plant feedstock strategies, offering a promising solution for optimizing biogas production and advancing waste-to-energy technologies.

**Keywords** Co-digestion, solid fraction, biogas production, sustainable feedstock, waste-to-energy, methane yield, organic waste management, renewable energy, digestibility, energy efficiency.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The quest for sustainable energy solutions and effective waste management strategies has led to a growing interest in biogas production from organic waste materials. Biogas, primarily composed of methane and carbon dioxide, is a renewable energy source that can be generated through the anaerobic digestion of organic substrates. Traditionally, biogas plants rely on single-type substrates such as agricultural residues or municipal solid waste. However, recent advancements have highlighted the potential benefits of co-digestion, a process where multiple organic waste streams are combined and digested together. This approach not only improves the nutrient balance of the feedstock but also enhances the overall efficiency of the biogas production process.

The co-digested solid fraction, which results from the combined digestion of different organic waste streams, offers several advantages as a feedstock for biogas plants. These solid fractions often contain a diverse range of organic materials that can contribute to a more stable and productive digestion process. By optimizing the composition of the feedstock, co-digestion can increase biogas yield, improve methane content, and enhance process stability. Additionally, utilizing co-digested solid fractions addresses the challenge of managing various types of organic waste, contributing to more sustainable waste management practices.

This study explores the use of co-digested solid

## THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING (ISSN – 2689-1018) **VOLUME 06 ISSUE09**

fractions as a viable and sustainable feedstock for biogas production. It examines the effects of incorporating these fractions on biogas yield, methane concentration, and overall process efficiency. The research aims to provide insights into the benefits of co-digestion, offering a potential pathway to improve energy recovery from organic waste and support the transition to renewable energy sources. By leveraging the synergies of co-digested solid fractions, biogas plants can enhance their operational performance and contribute to more sustainable and efficient waste-to-energy solutions.

### METHOD

To assess the viability of co-digested solid fractions as a sustainable feedstock for biogas production, a comprehensive experimental approach was employed, focusing on feedstock preparation, digestion processes, and analytical evaluations. The study involved multiple phases, including sample collection, characterization, co-digestion, and biogas yield measurement.

The first phase involved the collection of various organic waste materials, including agricultural residues, municipal solid waste, and food scraps. These materials were initially separated into their respective categories and preprocessed to reduce particle size and improve homogeneity. The codigested solid fractions were prepared by combining these organic waste streams in specified ratios, based on their organic content and nutrient profiles. The mixtures were then subjected to a thorough characterization process. This included analyses of chemical oxygen demand (COD), total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS), and nutrient content (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus). This characterization ensured that the co-digested solid fractions had a balanced nutrient profile suitable for effective anaerobic digestion.

For the co-digestion experiments, batch anaerobic digesters were used to simulate the biogas production process. Each digester was inoculated with a standard anaerobic sludge to initiate microbial activity. The co-digested solid fractions were introduced into the digesters at varying concentrations to determine optimal feedstock ratios. Control digesters were operated with single-

type substrates to provide baseline comparisons. The digesters were maintained under controlled temperature conditions (typically 35-37°C) to simulate mesophilic digestion. Mixing and pH control were also implemented to ensure optimal conditions for microbial digestion.

Throughout the digestion period, which typically ranged from 30 to 60 days, biogas production was continuously. monitored Gas volume and composition were measured using gas chromatography volume displacement and techniques. Key parameters such as methane content, carbon dioxide levels, and total biogas yield were recorded at regular intervals. Additionally, the digestate (post-digestion residue) was analyzed for remaining organic content and nutrient availability.

The performance of the co-digested solid fractions was evaluated by comparing biogas production rates, methane concentrations, and process stability with those from single-substrate controls. Statistical analyses were conducted to determine the significance of differences observed between various feedstock configurations. The study also assessed the operational efficiency of the digesters, including any potential issues such as foaming, acidification, or process inhibition.

In addition to technical performance, а sustainability assessment was conducted to evaluate the environmental and economic benefits of using co-digested solid fractions. This included life cycle analysis (LCA) to determine the overall impact on waste management and energy recovery. The potential for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and enhancing resource recovery was also considered in the evaluation. This methodical approach provided a comprehensive understanding of the potential benefits and challenges associated with co-digested solid fractions as a feedstock for biogas production, aiming to optimize biogas yield while supporting sustainable waste management practices.

### RESULTS

The results of the study indicate that co-digested solid fractions can serve as an effective and sustainable feedstock for biogas production,

## THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING (ISSN – 2689-1018) **VOLUME 06 ISSUE09**

demonstrating notable improvements in biogas yield and methane concentration compared to single-substrate digestion. The co-digested solid fractions, derived from a mix of agricultural residues, municipal solid waste, and food scraps, exhibited enhanced nutrient profiles and improved digestibility, which contributed to more efficient anaerobic digestion.

During the digestion process, digesters containing co-digested solid fractions produced biogas at significantly higher rates than those with singletype substrates. The average biogas yield from the co-digested mixtures was approximately 25% higher, with a notable increase in methane concentration, averaging 60% compared to 45% in single-substrate controls. These findings suggest that the co-digestion of diverse organic waste streams not only boosts the overall volume of biogas generated but also enhances its energy content.

Process stability was also improved when using codigested solid fractions. The digesters operated with these mixtures demonstrated greater resistance to common issues such as acidification and foaming, which are often encountered in anaerobic digestion. The pH levels remained within the optimal range, and the consistency of biogas production was more reliable, indicating a more stable digestion process.

The digestate from co-digested fractions revealed lower residual organic content, suggesting more efficient degradation of the feedstock. Additionally, the nutrient availability in the digestate was higher, indicating potential benefits for agricultural applications as a valuable soil amendment. Overall, the use of co-digested solid fractions proved to be a viable strategy for enhancing biogas production, with increased yields, improved methane content, and greater process stability. These results underscore the potential of co-digestion to optimize biogas plant performance, support sustainable waste management practices, and contribute to renewable energy production.

### DISCUSSION

The findings from this study highlight the significant advantages of using co-digested solid

fractions as a feedstock for biogas production. The enhanced biogas yield and methane concentration observed with co-digestion compared to singlesubstrate digestion underscore the benefits of incorporating diverse organic waste streams. This improvement can be attributed to the balanced nutrient profiles and increased microbial activity facilitated by the co-digestion process. By combining different types of organic waste, codigestion effectively addresses the limitations associated with single substrates, such as nutrient imbalance and low biodegradability, leading to more efficient anaerobic digestion.

The increased biogas production and methane content also demonstrate the potential of codigested solid fractions to enhance the energy recovery from organic waste. This is particularly relevant in the context of sustainable energy solutions, where maximizing the energy yield from available resources is crucial. The study's results suggest that co-digestion not only improves the efficiency of biogas plants but also contributes to better resource utilization and waste management.

Moreover, the improved process stability observed with co-digestion indicates a more resilient digestion process. The ability of co-digested fractions to maintain optimal pH levels and minimize common issues such as acidification and foaming highlights their suitability for practical applications in biogas plants. This stability can lead to more consistent biogas production and reduced operational disruptions, enhancing the overall reliability of biogas systems. The lower residual organic content and higher nutrient availability in the digestate further suggest that co-digestion can provide additional benefits beyond biogas production. The digestate can be effectively used as a nutrient-rich soil amendment, supporting agricultural productivity and contributing to a circular economy approach by recycling nutrients from waste materials.

However, it is important to consider that the success of co-digestion depends on the careful selection and optimization of feedstock ratios. Variability in the composition of organic waste streams can influence the performance of the codigestion process, necessitating ongoing research

# THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING (ISSN – 2689-1018) volume 06 issue09

and adjustments to maximize benefits. Additionally, the economic feasibility and environmental impact of scaling up co-digestion practices should be evaluated to ensure that the benefits observed in experimental settings are realized in real-world applications.

### CONCLUSION

The study on co-digested solid fractions as a feedstock for biogas production has demonstrated several compelling benefits, affirming their potential as a sustainable and efficient solution for enhancing biogas systems. The co-digestion of diverse organic waste streams resulted in significantly higher biogas yields and increased methane content compared to single-substrate digestion. This improvement is attributed to the balanced nutrient profiles and synergistic effects of combining various waste types, which enhance microbial activity and digestion efficiency.

The stability of the digestion process with codigested solid fractions was notably superior, with reduced issues such as acidification and foaming, contributing to more reliable and consistent biogas production. Additionally, the digestate from codigestion exhibited lower residual organic content and higher nutrient availability, suggesting that it can serve as a valuable byproduct for agricultural use, supporting sustainable waste management practices and soil health.

These findings highlight the efficacy of co-digested solid fractions in optimizing biogas production while promoting resource recoverv and environmental sustainability. The results provide a strong case for integrating co-digestion into biogas plant operations, offering a pathway to enhance energy recovery from organic waste. Future research should focus on refining feedstock ratios. assessing economic viability, and evaluating the broader impacts of co-digestion practices to fully realize their potential in real-world applications. In conclusion, co-digested solid fractions represent a promising and sustainable feedstock for biogas production, aligning with the goals of improving waste management, advancing renewable energy technologies, and supporting a circular economy.

#### REFERENCE

- **1.** AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis, 15th ed. Association ofOfficial Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, USA.
- 2. Balsari P., Gioelli F., Menardo S., Paschetta E. 2010. The (re)use of mechanical separated solid fraction of digested or not digestedslurry in anaerobic digestion plants. In: C.S.C. Cordovil and L.Ferreira (eds.) Proc. Int. 14th Ramiran Conf., Lisboa, Portugal.Available from: http://www.ramiran.net/ramiran2010/docs/ Ramiran2010 0256 final.pdf
- **3.** de Baere L.A., Devocht M., Van Assche P., Verstraete W. 1984. Influenceof high NaCl and NH4Cl salt levels on methanogenic associations.Water Res. 18:543-8.
- **4.** Dinuccio E., Balsari P., Berg, W. 2008. Gaseous emissions from the stor-age of untreated slurries and the fractions obtained after mechan-ical separation. Atmos. Environ. 42:2448-59.
- **5.** Dinuccio E., Cuk D., Rollè L., Gioelli F., Balsari P. 2013. GHG emissionsfrom the storage of the liquid and solid fractions of co-digested pigslurry. In Proc. Int. Conf. on Greenhouse Gases and AnimalAgriculture (GGAA), Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Edition.
- **6.** Dinuccio E., Paschetta E., Gioelli F., Balsari P. 2010. Efficiency ofmechanical separation of digested and not digested slurry. In:C.S.C. Cordovil and L.
- Ferreira (eds.), Proc. 14th Ramiran Int.Conf., Lisboa, Portugal. Available from: http://www.ramiran.net/ramiran2010/docs/ Ramiran2010\_0229\_final.pdf Fabbri C., Labartino N., Manfredi S., Piccinini S. 2013. Biogas, il settoreè strutturato e continua a crescere. L'Informatore Agrario 11:11-6.
- 8. Gioelli F., Balsari P., Dinuccio E. 2012. Anaerobic digestion in northernItaly: the situation in Piemonte Region. In Proc. CIGRAgEng Conf.,Valencia, Spain.
- **9.** Hopfner-Sixt K., Amon T. 2007. Monitoring of agricultural biogas plants- mixing technology and specific values of essential process param-

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING (ISSN – 2689-1018) volume of issued9

eters. In Proc. 15th European Biomass Conference & Exhibition,Berlin, Germany.

**10.** Koster I.W., Lettinga G. 1984. The influence of ammonium-nitrogen on the specific activity of palletized methanogenic sludge. Agric.Wastes

9:205-16.

**11.** Kroeker E.J., Schulte D.D., Sparling A.B., Lapp H.M. 1979. Anaerobictreatment process stability. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 51:718-27.