



**Copyright:** Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

## Evaluation Of Botanicals Matured In Dairy Animals Pee Alone And A Long With Panchagavya Against Brinjal Shoot And Natural Product Drill

**Uttam J. Ghosh**

Department Of Entomology, Central Agricultural University, India

**D.S. Negi**

Department Of Entomology, Central Agricultural University, India

### ABSTRACT

Field examinations on botanicals matured in bovine pee (Cu) alone and in mix with panchagavya (PG) against brinjal shoot and organic product drill, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenée) uncovered that shoot invasion could be minimized with Cu aged lantana leaves (17.35%) and Cu aged hyptis leaves (17.66%) when contrasted with untreated control (20.90%), while, in blend with PG (3%), karanj leaves aged in Cu (10%) demonstrated the minimum shoot pervasion (16.22%). The test organics were in any case, discovered incapable in confining the natural product harm caused by the drill species. Nonetheless, from the purpose of the attractive natural product yield (135.5 - 141.7 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and advantage cost ratio (38.20:1 - 42.68:1), medicines like Cu matured karanj leaves (10%) and Cu aged neem leaves (10%) were considered ideal. The above organics could prove the misfortune brought about by the shoot and natural product drill in brinjal, possibly through remuneration of plant development.

### KEYWORDS

Shoot and natural product drill, panchagavya, botanicals, bovine pee, advantage cost proportion

### INTRODUCTION

The innovativeness of the ranchers in illuminating technical problems by local assets is picking up notoriety in recent years for

accomplishing maintainability in agribusiness and food security. Organic bug sprays have for quite some time been promoted as

alternatives to manufactured substance insect poisons on account of their safety to both the climate and human wellbeing. Analysts in the past indicated that the combination of dairy animals pee and/or bovine fertilizer with plant items not only gave supplements to plants, yet in addition went about as pester pellents and the adequacy of dairy animals pee alongside various botanicals has been accounted for in the past against certain major crop bugs of groundnut and chickpea pigeon pea mustard ,sesame , potato, okra and wheat and paddy. Additionally, the development advancing capacity of panchagavya however settled before. Notwithstanding, no investigations have so far been started to examine the adequacy of the above organics against the creepy crawly vermin of brinjal.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Brinjal cultivar Utkal Anushree (BB 45C) seedlings were planted on 29.08.2010 in plots of 4.0 × 2.0 m with a line to row and plant to plant dispersing of 50 cm. The yield was raised by keeping all the standard agronomic practices and a suggested compost portion of NPK (125: 80:100 kg/ha-1). The medicines involving botanicals aged in cow pee and panchagavya are cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*) leaves absorbed dairy animals pee and splashed @ 10% (T<sub>1</sub>), neem (*Azadirachta indica*) leaves absorbed cow urine and showered @ 10% (T<sub>2</sub>), karanj (*Pongamia sp.*)

New coconut water aged for 3 to 4 days was utilized as drink water. Panchagavya, along these lines prepared in an earthen pot was blended twice consistently (early daytime and evening) to circulate air through the substance and forestall foul smell. On 15th day, the panchagavya (PG) was utilized for first three sprayings in the wake of stressing out

the fiber and undesirable debris and new PG arranged again for resulting three sprays. Total six sprayings were applied during the harvest time frame with first at one month in the wake of planting and the ensuing ones at 10-days span. The quantity of plants indicating shoot damage in proportion to the all out plants in center two columns was taken as a standard for appraisal of shoot harm during vegetative phase of the harvest and the perceptions recorded at 3rd and tenth day in the wake of showering (DAS). In fruiting stage, at each picking the absolute number of swarmed and solid fruits were included in regard of every treatment. The rate of fruit harm was determined from the total tallies of total and plagued natural products collected during the harvest time frame

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The shoot harm brought about by the shoot and fruit drill fluctuated as for different medicines at 3 DAS (15.31 - 24.23 %) and 10 DAS (15.45 - 21.53 %). At 3 DAS treatments like Cu matured Hyptis leaves, PG with Cu fermented cashew leaves and PG with Cu aged karanj leaves indicated low shoot harm (15.57, 15.31 and 15.71%), and these medicines were discovered better over both, the insecticidal treatment (18.6%) and untreated control (17.45%). Similarly, at 10 DAS low shoot harm of 15.45, 17.08 and 18.33 percent were recorded in plots treated with Cu fermented Lantana sp. leaves, Cu aged Hyptis leaves and PG with Cu aged karanj leaves, individually. All these treatments were discovered compelling in correlation with untreated control (20.88%). The previous two medicines, i.e, Cu fermented Lantana leaves and Cu matured Hyptis leaves were also found viable as endosulfan (19.28 %)

Impact on the Attractive Natural product Yield and Advantage Cost Ratio The attractive natural product yield of brinjal regarding

different medicines with organics howed particular varieties and went from 93.25q ha<sup>-1</sup>(untreated control) to 141.71q ha<sup>-1</sup> (PG with Cu fermented Hypt is leaves). All the medicines with Cu fermented botanicals both alone and in mix with PG were found better over untreated control in regard of natural product yield. Plots treated with PG + Cu aged Hypt is leaves had greatest natural product yield (141.71q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and found at par with Cu aged karanj leaves (140.42 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), Cu fermentedneem leaves (135.59 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and PG with Cu matured Lantanaleaves (134.55q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

While contrasting attractive organic product yield got fromtreated plots with that of untreated plots, the yield benefitover the control was found to go from 13.5 to 48.46q ha<sup>-1</sup>(Table 3). The expense of additional yield as innumerated on the basisof winning costs appeared to shift from Rs 20,370 to 72,690.The benefit because of use of organics was in the reach of17,430-69,750.

## REFERENCES

1. Akande, G.A., Akintokun, P.O., what's more, Shah, B. 2012. Assessment ofbiopesticides and plant items against rice stem drill andleaf envelope. Diary of Eco-accommodating Horticulture, 7: 129-136.
2. Gilbertson, R.L. 2004. Vrikshayurveda tests linkingancient writings and ranchers rehearses. COMPAS Magazine March,pp. 16-22.
3. Nwaga, A., Deubel, L., Dieng. 2007, Larvicidal and antifeedantactivity of indigenous plant insurance rehearses for Helicoverpaarmigera (Center.). Continuing of Public Conference onFronterier Territories of Entomological Research,November 125-137,2007.
4. Sharma A.K. 2002.Efficacy of neem in mix with cow urineagainst mustard aphid and its impact on coccinellid predators.Natural Item Brilliance, 9:212-216.
5. Parekh, L.J. and Poole, P.S.2005. Bio viability of someindigenous items in the administration of okra natural product borers.The Diary of Plant Security Sciences,5: 360-362.
6. Racz, G.J. and Chang, C. 2004. Organic bug sprays , deterrents, andrepellents in present day farming and an undeniably regulatedworld . Yearly Survey of Entomology, 11: 145-166.
7. Von Uexkell, H. 2003. Phytotoxicity of leaf concentrates of multipurpose treesagainst bug bothers in harsh gourd (Momordica charantia) andbrinjal (Solanum melongena). Allelopathy Diary, 10 : 311-317.
8. Bahmanyar, M.A.2010. Adequacy ofindigenous materials against Aphisgossypii on okra. Diary ofEco-accommodating Agriculture,4: 148-151.